City of Rapid City Growth Management Dept. 300 6<sup>th</sup> Street Rapid City, SD 57701

RE: file # 07CA062

RECEIVED

JAN 3 0 2008

Rapid City Growth Management Department

Dear Rapid City Council Members,

Please accept this letter as a written notification of my opposition to the "Amendment to the Adopted Comprehensive plan to revise the Major Street Plan by relocating a minor arterial street." The legal description of the land that I own and that would be adversely effected by this revision is 2N 08E Sec 19/ S½ S½ NW ¼. This property is currently used for livestock production. The map I received from your office has the proposed road either going on top of the only shallow well used to water livestock or through a dug out used to collect rain and snow water to provide water for the livestock during the warmer months. If the road were to be moved so as not to destroy these water sources, I would still have a major road separating the pasture land from the livestock barn and hay yard with no practical way to move the livestock from pasture to shelter and hay. If this amendment were to be adopted it would put me out of the livestock production business which would severely effect my livelihood.

Thank you for your consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Larry/Baysinger

591 Neva Way

Rapid City, SD 57701

## RECEIVED

JAN 3 1 2007

Rapid City Growth Management Department

602 Neva Way Rapid City, SD 57701 January 29, 2008

Growth Management Department 300 Sixth Street Rapid City, SD 57701-5035

We are writing in reference to file number 07CA062, concerning the proposed LaCrosse Street north of Seger Drive that would affect premises section 19, T2N, R8E, BHM, Pennington County, South Dakota.

The land was purchased and currently is used for agriculture purposes in conjunction with a cow/calf operation in southeastern Pennington County owned and operated by the Baysinger family.

The proposed revision to extend LaCrosse Street to West Nike Road would cross Section 19, splitting the land in half which would undermine all the improvements - fences, well, water line, corral, and shed - necessary to produce and raise livestock.

Therefore, for the reasons listed above, along with the financial burden that the new proposal would impose on us, we, the land owners, would strongly oppose it. However, we would not be totally opposed to the current major street plan.

Sincerely, Alice Baysinger Clayton Boupings Usplu Bageinger

Jesse and Alice Baysinger

Clayton Baysinger

Rapid City Council and Growth Management

This letter is to address my concerns on the proposed extension of Lacrosse Street, North to West Nike Rd. The proposed extension as shown on the maps and drawings from Growth Management indicates the road will run directly through an existing flood plain that has remained wet or saturated during the last five years of drought.

In addition to this concern, the proposed development on the south side of Country Rd would increase traffic on both Country Rd, and 143rd Street. Both of these roadways are oil roads and questionably able to handle the additional traffic development would bring. Both roads are narrow, and suffer from extensive wear and tear. In order for the these roads to sustain an increase in traffic from development they would probably have to be upgraded. In addition, traffic going west from this proposed development would create a bottleneck at the intersection of Country Rd and Haines Ave. Without the installation of a traffic light at this intersection, access to Haines Ave will be difficult because of volume of traffic both north and south on Haines.

As I understand it, the Lacrosse extension is projected to join W. Nike Rd and continue north to the Meade County line. I have been told by our neighbors, James Carpenter, that a developer has requested this road extension and received a waiver from having to install city water and sewer with the construction of the new road. If in fact this is true, I do not believe it fair that current residents not be offered these city services if we have to accept this city road intruding into our county area.

I would hope the city and the development board consider all aspects of this proposed

Joe Juknow Led 4000 W. NIKE RJ Development and how it affects those of us already living in this area.

FEB 0 6 2008

Rapid City Growth Management Department