City of Rapid City Request for Proposal ADA Accessibility Study

I. General

The City of Rapid City, hereafter referred to as the "City," is soliciting proposals for professional services for a comprehensive ADA facility/program accessibility study to be conducted in the year 2005. The City is soliciting these proposals from firms, groups, or individuals that are qualified to conduct a self-evaluation and develop a comprehensive transition plan which will ensure that City programming is provided in a manner that will not discriminate against or preclude equal access and participation by persons with disabilities. The City is soliciting talent and expertise first and foremost and will use cost estimates as a subordinate factor in the selection process. The City reserves the right to reject any or all proposals, waive technicalities, resolicit, or award contracts as deemed to be in the best interest of the City.

II. Mandate and Objectives

The basic mandate of Title II is that no qualified individual with a disability shall be excluded from participation, denied benefits, services, or access to programs or activities, or be subjected to discrimination by any public entity.

The ADA defines a "program" as any "program, service, or activity" offered to the general public. The Act requires that each program, "when viewed in its entirety, is (to be) reasonably accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities." As a public entity, the City of Rapid City is required to provide access to all of its programs, but is not required to remove all architectural barriers in its facilities. Public entities can choose either administrative solutions (such as relocating a program) and/or architectural barrier removal solutions to achieve program accessibility. A public entity is not required to make changes that would "result in a fundamental alteration in the nature of the service, program, or activity," or result in an undue "financial and administrative burden."

A. Project Objectives

The overall objective of this study will be to achieve a comprehensive evaluation of existing City facilities and program areas throughout the City, to identify existing barriers to full program accessibility at these facilities and program areas, and to establish a transition plan which will achieve necessary barrier removal in a timely and cost effective manner.

The Consultant selected to carry out this project will be expected to achieve the following general objectives:

RECEIVED AUG 0 3 2005

- Identify architectural, communication, and policy/practice accessibility barriers in existing facilities and program areas.
- Recommend modifications and/or measures that will facilitate barrier removal and improve overall program accessibility.
- Prepare a transition plan, which will incorporate barrier removal strategy into short - and long - range facility plans and capital improvement budgeting for the City.

III. Project Description

A. Site Evaluations

The Consultant must conduct on-site evaluations of all identified City facilities and program areas to inspect for compliance with Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) in accordance with the standards of the ADA Accessibility Guidelines (ADAAG). From these evaluations, the Consultant must identify and document:

- 1. Architectural or other physical barriers to access the facility or program area.
- 2. Communication barriers to access programming provided within the facility or program area.
- 3. Policy or procedural barriers to access programming provided at the facility or program area.

B. Project Elements

1. Facility and Program Accessibility

The Consultant will develop a report to include the following information, as applicable, to the facility or program area as a minimum:

- a. The address and location of the facility or program area.
- b. A description of the facility or program area, including department, type of building or facility, and an itemization of public spaces and areas within the facility or program area.
- c. An itemization and description of programming provided within the facility or program area.
- d. A description and itemized accounting of barriers found in the facility or program area accessible to the public where applicable, including but not limited to barriers to:
 - ► Parking and passenger loading zones
 - Routes of travel to entrances or program areas from parking and loading zones

- ▶ Entrance maneuvering clearances and changes in level
- ▶ Door widths, opening force, and accessible lever-type handles
- Routes to public access areas within the facility or program area
- Emergency alarms systems
- Emergency egress routes
- Visual emergency alarm systems
- Public meeting rooms and assembly spaces
- Public address or other amplification systems
- Public rest rooms
- Concession areas
- Water fountains, vending machines, or other amenities
- Signage and other public communication material
- Communication devices and telephones

2. Effective Communication

Title II of the ADA requires that public entities ensure that applicants, participants, and members of the public with disabilities have communication access that is equally effective as that provided to people without disabilities. Accommodation must be made for visual, hearing, speech, and cognitive disabilities to provide program access on an equal basis, unless to do so would result in a fundamental alteration to the program or activity or an undue financial or administrative burden.

The Consultant evaluation is to include an assessment of actual communication practices as well as a review of policies and procedures necessary to ensure that people with visual, hearing, speech, and cognitive disabilities are not excluded, segregated, or restricted in any way as the result of communication barriers. The Consultant must provide a detailed description of the assessment strategy or tool to be used for the effective communication evaluation. The assessment must address each of the following general categories:

- Visual communication
- Aural/oral communication (Aural refers to information that is heard; oral refers to spoken information)
- Existing auxiliary aids and services
- · Needed auxiliary aids and services
- Text teletypewriter (TTY) communication (existing and needed)
- Emergency warning systems

- Access information
- Fundamental alterations and undue burdens

3. Nondiscriminatory Policies and Practices

Title II of the ADA requires that the City may not use official written policies or engage in actual practices that are discriminatory against persons with disabilities. This prohibition includes direct actions by and actions undertaken on behalf of the City under contractual relationships and through cooperative agreements with other agencies.

The Consultant will review the City's policies, practices, and procedures to identify any discriminatory policies and practices that exclude, segregate, or limit the enjoyment of services or participation in programming by individuals or families on the basis of disability. The Consultant must provide a detailed description of the assessment strategy or tool to be used for policy, practice, and program analysis. The analysis of policy and practice must include consideration of the following general policy or procedural topics:

- Facilities and locations where the program operates on a regular or infrequent basis
- Description of program
- Equal opportunity to participate and benefit
- Reasonable program modifications
- Surcharges and additional requirements
- Integrated settings and separate programs
- Contracting or partnering with external organizations
- Procurement contracts
- Licensing and certification requirements

Transition Plan

The Transition Plan will identify the actions needed to eliminate barriers identified in each of the three areas that will be evaluated by the Consultant.

The Transition Plan will mirror the structure of the self-evaluation, except it will detail barrier removal strategies and modifications needed to eliminate discriminatory practices, which may prevent people with disabilities from having an equal opportunity to participate in City programming.

C. Period of Performance

The selected Consultant will be expected to have the project completed by December 31, 2005. This completion date is negotiable. If a later start date and/or completion date is needed, please indicate as such in the proposal. The Consultant will provide a work plan/project timeline to illustrate how the target completion date can be met. To provide an equal basis of comparison for all proposers in determining project approach, proposed schedule of work, cost estimates, and fees, proposers should use this deadline in the preparation of their proposals to the City. The date for initiation of the contract, as well as a detailed schedule for project completion, shall be negotiated between the selected Consultant and the City.

D. Duration of Proposals

Each proposal to the City must state that it is valid for a period of not less than 90 days from the date of receipt by the City.

E. Selection Procedure

The City Pre-Selection Committee will review all responses to this Request for Proposal, which meet the requirements enumerated (see Exhibit A –City of Rapid City Policy Statement for Technical Consultant Selection Process) and are received prior to the designated closing date. Upon review of the qualified proposers, the City Pre-Selection Committee will select the most qualified firms and may schedule them to appear for an oral presentation and evaluation with the Interview Committee. After deliberation and input from the RC Mayors Committee for People with Disabilities, the Pre-Selection Committee will select a firm. The selected Consultant will be notified and contract negotiations will commence. Upon the completion of negotiations, the contract must be approved through established City procedures before work may commence.

IV. Scope and Background

- A. A self-assessment of existing facilities was conducted by the City of Rapid City in 1992 (see Exhibit B City of Rapid City Transition Plan). A variety of program accessibility problems in the form of architectural and communication barriers were identified at various sites throughout the City. While this self-assessment did examine many fundamental accessibility issues at many City facilities, the review was not exhaustive in its review of how facilities were utilized for public programming. In addition, not all public facilities and program areas were assessed through that process. Exhibit A provides an overall summary of City ADA compliance activities, program descriptions, specific facility and program evaluation.
- B. The City owns a number and variety of facilities and program areas located throughout the community. Types of public programs administered from these facilities include:

- 1. Administrative: These are facilities where some type of business is conducted between the City and the public, such as billing offices, offices where public information can be acquired, offices where permits and/or licenses can be purchased, offices where formal business such as employment application occurs, or offices where similar administrative services are transacted. Facility or program access by the public may be limited to certain areas in such administrative facilities, such as front counter/reception areas, specific offices, or small conference rooms. Generally, the program or service transacted in such offices is flexible enough in nature that accommodation for special needs is readily achievable upon request. Parking, accessible entrances to the building, signage, accessible communication, and accessible rest rooms are generally the greatest concern in such facilities.
- 2. Operation or work facilities: These are facilities with little or no areas generally open to the public. Such facilities are designed with specific operational functions in mind. Sites of this nature would include mechanic shops, operation plants, garage and maintenance areas, storage buildings, field offices and out buildings, and other facilities where business is not conducted directly with the public on a routine basis. Program access by the public is generally not an issue at such facilities because the areas are only open to employees. Where limited public services are available at such sites, program access can generally be achieved by offering the same service to the public at an alternative accessible site.
- 3. Public gathering or meeting facilities: These are facilities designed and/or used for public meetings, training, or other special events, and/or facilities available to the public for reserved usage. Such facilities include council chambers, board meeting and conference rooms, community centers, convention center, etc. Generally, physical access to such sites is critical to achieve program accessibility with such facilities. Accessibility issues will usually include parking, accessible entrances to building and to specific rooms and areas, clear aisle ways, accessible sight lines, signage, accessible rest rooms and drinking fountains, and accessible communication.
- 4. Specialty and recreational facilities & program areas: These are facilities and/or program areas primarily designed to serve a relatively specific category of public programming or services. Examples include public pools and water park facilities, sports arenas, theaters, exhibit halls, golf courses, picnic and playground facilities, softball and baseball fields, baseball stadium, soccer fields, walking and bike path systems, band shells/outdoor stages, fishing piers, public gardens, etc. Generally, such facilities exist for the sole purpose of providing specific programs or services to the public. Therefore, facility access is usually critical to program access. Accessibility issues usually include parking, accessible paths of travel, accessible entrances, signage, unobstructed sight lines, accessible rest rooms, counter or concession access, emergency egress, and effective communication. In addition, effective program access at such facilities often requires attention to more specialized accessibility issues, such as integrated accessible seating

- or spectator areas, accessible playground equipment and play areas, or other specialized equipment needed for participation by persons with disabilities.
- C. The City has identified approximately twenty-three (23) facilities distributed throughout the City from which City programming or services with public access are administered at some level. A list of these facilities is included with this RFP (see Exhibit C City Facilities to be evaluated). Facilities which are "operational or work area" in function as described in B of this section, have not been included for evaluation in this project.
- D. The City Parks and Recreation Department encompasses the greatest number of specialized public programming and associated facilities and program areas of any other single department with the City. Within the city wide park system, there are approximately eighteen (18) parks hosting over forty-three (43) facilities and program areas, which include nearly sixty-five (65) individual recreational areas such as sports fields, play structures, picnic shelters, gardens, pools, etc. A summary of these facilities and program areas within the Parks and Recreation Department is included with this RFP (See Exhibit D Parks and Recreation Facilities and Program Areas). A copy of the Rapid City Parks and Recreation 2004 Annual Report (See Exhibit E) is also included with this RFP.

V. Proposal

Please provide separate responses to each of the following items:

- A. Approach/Methodology. Describe the methodology for the collection of data including with whom and when interviews will be conducted, how program materials, City policies, communication resources, and other necessary materials will be gathered. Indicate cost containment measures to be utilized and how the design of the database will allow for easy updates.
- B. Timeline/Project Work Plan. Describe the project work plan and proposed timeline your firm will follow to achieve the objectives set forth in this request, and delineate how key project staff will be involved with accomplishing the necessary project tasks in each of the three areas. Provide a draft outline of the self-evaluation and Transition Plan and identify proposed electronic formats for each document.
- C. City Staff and Resources. Describe what your firm would require of City technical and management staff to assist you in completing this project, including staff time, technical resources, documents, and data, etc.
- D. Fees and Expenses. Provide a description of proposed fees and expenses your firm would charge to provide the services described in your response to this request. Please show a breakdown of these proposed fees and expenses as they are associated with the phases and tasks of the project; i.e., site

- evaluations staff hours and fees, policy and practice review, transition plan development, travel, and expenses, etc.
- E. Resumes of Key Project Staff and Description of Team Structure. Include a list of qualifications and current resumes for key individuals with project responsibility. Describe how the team will be organized.
- F. Experience and References. Describe the firm's current and previous ADA and Section 504 related experience on three similar projects completed within the past three years. Describe the scope of work of each project. Indicate whether the scope changed and the project was completed within budget and on time. Include all relevant experience of each team member involved in the design and implementation of procedures for conducting self-evaluations and developing transition plans. Provide the names of the client organizations and names of a contact with each organization who could speak to the City regarding your services, addresses, and telephone numbers for each of the projects.
- G. Work Sample. Submit one of the completed projects as a writing sample.

VI. Evaluation Criteria

The City will review the submittals taking into consideration past performance, writing samples, quality of work plan, and experience/qualifications of key project staff.

Non-cost factors are considered to be more important than cost-related factors. Cost will be evaluated in the context that the costs presented are consistent with the value and quality offered, and the availability of City funding to accomplish the required objectives.

A low-cost proposal does not guarantee inclusion as a competitive finalist by virtue of its low cost. Conversely, a technically acceptable proposal may be rejected, because the prospective costs of performance are too high, and cannot be reduced sufficiently without detracting from the proposal's technical acceptability.

Respondents who propose substantially higher quality levels or higher performance value than that sought through the solicitation, and substantially higher than that of other acceptable proposals, should be aware that these proposals may be considered not the best value to the City, due to the excessively high costs that may be associated with providing that additional quality and value.

VII. Issuance of Request for Proposal and Questions

The issuing office for the Request for Proposal is:

City of Rapid City Finance Department 300 Sixth Street

Rapid City, SD 57701

Any questions concerning this Request for Proposal should be directed to the attention of Kay Rippentroph at (605) 394-4110.

VIII. Proposal Deadline

Proposals must be delivered to the City of Rapid City Finance Department no later than August 31, 2005 at 2 p.m. It shall be the responsibility of each firm to ensure that their proposal is delivered to the proper place at the proper time. All RFPs will be clocked in upon arrival. Late bids will be clocked in and will remain sealed unless all other bids prove unacceptable. The award of the contract for this program will be made on or before September 30, 2005.

POLICY STATEMENT FOR TECHNICAL CONSULTANT SELECTION PROCESS CITY OF RAPID CITY, SOUTH DAKOTA

GENERAL STATEMENT

. 1.

A. This policy addressing the selection and hiring of technical consultants shall be utilized when it has been determined that the services of a professional technical consultant or technical consulting firm are needed for a specific public works project. The firm or individual shall be selected according to this policy.

A public works project is defined as any public funded project requiring technical consultant services that is assigned to or sponsored by a city contracting agency (CCA) including all departments, boards, authorities or commissions authorized by the Rapid City Common Council.

These projects may include, but are not necessarily limited to:

Preliminary Planning Investigations; Feasibility Studies; engineering Studies; Conceptual Designs; Master Plans; Landscape Architectural Plans and Specifications; Architectural Design Plans and Specifications; Engineering Design Plans and Specifications; surveys for legal descriptions, design or construction; Construction Contract Administration or Inspection; and Geo-Technical and Testing Services.

Each CCA operating within the authority vested in it by the Common Council shall establish its own administrative procedures in determining if and when outside technical consultant services are required. Its Director/Manager/Executive (DME) shall be responsible to assure that its CCA when selecting technical consultants adheres to the selection process set forth herein. Those projects funded by State or Federal Agencies may be subject to that Agency's selection guidelines. The respective CCA shall adhere to the concept of this technical consultant selection process when adapting its format to specific requirements of the State or Federal guidelines.

- B. Other factors to be considered in this policy are size of contract. This policy shall be utilized for contract fees larger than \$10,000 except under conditions (1) and (2) listed below.
 - 1. The DME will also have the option to select a consultant directly if it has been shown that the scope of work for the consultant service is so specialized as to eliminate any other firm from being listed as a qualified consultant.
 - For contract fees smaller than \$10,000 the option will be available to the DME to make a direct selection of a qualified consultant or consulting firm, providing that an agreement can be reached on the scope of services and the cost of providing these services.
- C. As a general rule all city contract agencies (CCA) shall follow these guidelines on the number of firms to be interviewed. The numbers may have

to be adjusted according to the scope of services and availability of qualified technical consultants.

CONTRACT FEE AMOUNT

NUMBER OF CONSULTING FIRMS TO BE INTERVIEWED

\$10,000 - \$30,000 \$30,000 - \$150,000 Over \$150,000 Two (minimum)
Three (minimum)
Four (minimum)

- D. Once a project has been identified through this policy where a technical consultant is to be hired or a number of technical consultants are to be interviewed for a project the CCA shall then proceed by implementing the remaining steps pertaining to the final consultant selection, contract administration, and final consultant review and critique.
- E. The purpose of this Policy is to select the best available qualified professional technical consultant or technical consulting firm to furnish and perform professional technical services for the City of Rapid City at a cost that is fair and reasonable to both the City and the consultant.

II. PRE-QUALIFICATION OF CONSULTANTS

A. The respective city contract agency (CCA) shall keep on file a list of all technical consultants that have submitted a list of their firm's qualifications with statements of interest to be of service to that agency. A master list of these consultants shall be prepared and will define the areas of experience of each firm. This action will be contingent upon the merits of each consultant's qualification submittal. Any consultant failing to make the master list shall be notified and be given an opportunity to resubmit for further consideration. This list will be utilized in the pre-selection process.

Annually the City Public Works Director in behalf of all city contracting agencies (CCA) shall place an announcement in the official newspaper inviting interested technical consultants to make a submittal of qualifications if interested in furnishing consultant services to the respective CCA. The text and frequency of public announcements may vary to meet State or Federal agency requirements. It shall be the sole responsibility of each consultant to annually submit its qualification statement. The information contained within shall be retained by the respective CCA to whom it is addressed to be released only on a "need to know" basis.

- B. Included within the qualification submittal shall be, at a minimum:
 - A statement of current status of professional registration of consulting firm and of principal members of firm with South Dakota Board of Technical Professions;
 - 2. A certificate of insurance including errors and omissions executed by the insurance carrier's authorized agent;
 - 3. The education, experience, and qualifications of the personnel within the submitting firm; and by attachment that of it's associate firm(s), if any.

b. If an architectural project, the expectations must be clearly and openly discussed so they are mutually understood by both staff and Council, and sponsoring user, if there be one.

No further steps are to be undertaken until project scope, character and function has been completely agreed upon and approved by Council.

4. The City Contracting Agency (CCA) shall then proceed with the consulting selection process.

IV. PRE-SELECTION COMMITTEE

A. A committee shall be formed which will have the responsibility of selecting technical consultants for specific project interviews or as defined in the policy may make the direct selection of a consultant for a project.

B. Members

If a Department of Public Works project:

Public Works Director Engineering Division Manager Others (if required and appointed by the Public Works Director)

For all other projects:

Director/Manager/Executive (DME)
Select members of City Contracting Agency (CCA)
Director of Department of Public Works, or his/her representative
Others (if required and appointed by DME)

C. Quarterly Meetings - The Department of Public Works committee shall meet on a quarterly basis to identify the projects which are to be awarded to consultants during the next three months; review all the pre-qualified consultants in relationship to the evaluation criteria and select the group of consultants to be interviewed for each project. Other City Contracting Agencies (CCA) committees will meet as proposed projects require.

The overall objective of these meetings is to examine all the consulting work for this entire timeframe and select the consultants for the interviews which will best serve the needs of the City as well as providing opportunities for consulting firms that receive the highest ratings, are well suited for the work; and are available to provide this service in the required timeframe.

The committee shall also select the specific interview team for each consultant project. For contracts larger than \$20,000 it shall be the general rule to include as a minimum, one of the members of this pre-selection committee.

The interview team chairperson shall be:

Project Manager if a Department of Public Works Project;

VI. INTERVIEWS AND CONSULTANT SELECTION

- A. For projects that have been defined as requiring the interview process prior to the selection of a qualified consultant, the following procedures shall be followed:
 - 1. The firms selected for the interview shall be given the request for professional services and given approximately two weeks to prepare a statement of interest, with GSA Standard Form 255 and such other information the consultant may elect to provide to the City for review.
 - 2. Interviews shall be scheduled with those firms that have submitted a statement of interest. Should a firm elect to not submit a statement of interest on a particular project, that act shall not have adverse impact on future consideration.
 - 3. Interviews evaluation criteria shall include but not necessarily be limited to the following:
 - a. The nine (9) items included in the qualification submittal in Item II.B and the six (6) items of evaluation criteria used by the preselection committee in Item IV. E;
 - b. Names of firm's staff to be assigned to project with description of each person's experience and how it relates to this project's specific requirements;
 - Name, experience and past performance of person to be assigned as project manager and to have direct contact with City staff;
 - d. Description and how implementation of quality control procedures will impact project;
 - e. Past performance regarding timeliness and completeness of submittal documents, project budget control and construction change orders.
 - f. Willingness and ability to secure additional insurance coverage for a particular project if requested by interview committee.
 - g. If a consortium of individuals or firms, amount and type of work to be done in respective offices and how quality and schedule of work will be controlled by assigned project manager.
 - 4. After the interviews by the interview committee, a selection of the top firm and alternates 2 and 3 shall be made based on the results of the scores from the interview forms from all committee members.

VII. CONTRACT NEGOTIATION AND CONTRACT APPROVAL

A. The selected top firm shall be promptly notified and furnished any supplemental data pertaining to Scope of Service and submission dates; and

C. The committee should be of approximately five members with not more than two members of the Council, or one Council member and the Mayor. The remaining three (3) members would be selected from the community; but preferably they would be business or organization executives and managers with no direct business ties to City Hall personnel. They should have experience in evaluation and the hiring and dismissal of employees, or have experience in negotiation of contracts. Members shall be appointed for three-year staggered terms by the mayor subject to confirmation by the Council.

X. IMPLEMENTATION DATE

January 19, 2004 and shall remain in effect until amended or repealed by the Common Council.

Exhibit

City of Rapid City. **Transition Plan** Americans With Disabilities Act (ADA)

The City of Rapid City has appointed ADA coordinators, to work with City Departments and community agencies that receive city funds for services, to begin the compliance actions for the ADA. The City has adopted a Grievance Procedure and a Resolution of Accessibility guaranteeing the disabled population a process to be heard.

The Common Council also appointed an ADA Compliance Committee that was charged with the responsibility of reviewing the programs, policies and procedures of the City and the other funded agencies to assure that program services were being provided in compliance with the ADA regulations. This committee has been meeting on a monthly basis to review the numerous survey forms that were completed by City Department and Division Managers and by agencies that receive City funds to provide direct services. Site visits to publicly owned facilities were conducted so the Compliance Committee could see first hand what types of improvements, if any, were required to be made.

The ADA Coordinators asked all City Departments and programs to complete a structural survey so that the Compliance Committee could determine what type of improvements, if any, may be required in the provision of services from those buildings. The surveys were reviewed and additional cost estimates were requested for improvements that may be necessary to be completed in order that services could be provided to disabled residents. All surveys are on file and available for public inspection in the Mayor's Office. The City of Rapid City surveyed the following city buildings and programs utilizing city funds:

City/School Administration Center

Water Treatment Plant

Fire Stations Civic Center

Parks Department/Cemetery/Parks Facilities

Golf Courses/Clubhouses

Dahl Building

Minneluzahan Senior Center Canyon Lake Senior Center

Child Development Center

Big Brothers/Big Sisters

Community Care Center

Group Theater

Storybook Island Women Against Violence

Street Shop Facility Wastewater Plant

Airport

Police Department **Animal Shelter**

Library **MBTC**

Sioux Museum **FAA Building**

YFS Counseling Center

Black Hills Workshop & Training Center

Elderly Meals Program

Pioneer Museum

West River Mental Health

The City also notified all recreation complexes that lease City owned land that it would be the responsibility of those organizations to comply with ADA as it affects their operations.

In reviewing the surveys submitted, the Compliance Committee found that very few of the services provided by the City or agencies funded by the City were not accessible to the disabled population. Alternate delivery of services is allowed if a building is not accessible. After much



discussion with City staff, the Compliance Committee has determined that the following improvements must be made to City owned facilities for compliance with ADA.

TRANSITION PLAN FOR PROGRAM SERVICES:

The Rapid City Parks system has a number of violations that relate to accessibility of the structures within individual parks. The majority of the improvements that need renovation are the restrooms located in the picnic shelters; properly signed parking areas; hard surfaced walkways to picnic shelters from the designated parking areas; and renovation of existing restroom facilities not connected to picnic shelters. All swimming pools located in our community were identified as having accessibility problems, both to the bathhouse and to the pools themselves. All improvements to pools will be addressed in a study that is being completed by the City.

All leased City property that is being utilized by organizations for ball fields, soccer fields, and other recreational opportunities must address the ADA requirements in their operations. Some of these organizations may need financial assistance from the City in accomplishing the improvements.

Construction improvements scheduled, estimated costs, funding sources:

<u>Parks Facilities:</u> System wide improvements consisting of: restroom renovations

including exterior door replacement; sidewalk repair/replacement or installation; designated parking stalls; ramps from the parking areas; drinking fountain renovation; and, proper signage, (see

attached listing of improvements).

Estimated Costs: \$90,000 - \$110,000

Funding Source(s): Community Development Block Grant funds; Capital Improvement

Funds; Mill levy;

Timeframe: Begin improvements in 1993 - FY93 funds--CDBG

FY94 funds--CIP/CDBG

<u>Dinosaur Park</u> - Explore the possibility of obtaining access to the park itself through a limited access road that could be used only with escorts; complete entrance and restroom renovation at the

concession building.

Estimated Costs: \$30,000

Funding Source(s): Capital Improvement Funds

Timeframe: FY 1993

City Facility:

Mountain View Cemetery office building needs a ramped entrance;

wider entrance door and properly designated disabled parking.

Estimated Costs:

\$3,000

Funding Source(s):

Cemetery Budget

Timeframe:

FY94

Parks Equipment:

Provide one (1) wheelchair accessible picnic table per shelter.

Estimated Costs:

\$7,000

Funding Source(s):

Parks Budget

Timeframe:

FY94

Swimming Pools:

The City of Rapid City is currently evaluating the need for renovation or replacement of the four (4) existing community pools. By the end of FY94, the City's goal is to have completed

either a new swimming pool or an aquatic park facility.

Additionally, disabled parking issues and accessible routes will be identified at all existing pool facilities. The City currently has a lift that can be used to lower disabled persons into existing pools.

Estimated Costs

Renovation of existing pools has not been identified as of this date.

Replacement pool or aquatic park: \$2 million - \$2.5 million.

Funding Source(s):

Mill Levy funds or Capital Improvement Funds;

Timeframe:

FY93 and FY94

Civic Center:

Arena seating—wheelchair locations—egress issues; assistive listening system—Theater; detectable warnings at entrances; provide door openers for exterior entrances and modify thresholds; when and audite fire plants must be installed; concession areas

Constant her const

will provide a lower counter.

Estimated Costs:

\$75,000

Funding Source(s):

Civic Center Operational Budget

Timeframe:

FY93 and FY94

Ramped Sidewalks: Ramped intersections will be completed as existing streets are

reconstructed; subdivision improvements are completed by developers; and, major streets are reconstructed by other

government agencies.

Estimated Costs:

\$900,000 over ten (10) years;

Funding Source(s):

Capital Improvements funds, 1/2 cent sales tax dollars and,

Intermodel Surface Transportation Efficiency Act (ISTEA) funding for streets; subdivision improvements funded by private developers;

Timeframe:

1993 - 2003

ADA Grievance Procedures City of Rapid City, South Dakota Title II - Public Services

The City of Rapid City, South Dakota has adopted an internal grievance procedure providing for prompt and equitable resolution of complaints alleging any action prohibited in Subpart B (Sections 35.177) of 28 CFR Part 35, Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990. Any individual who believes that he or she has been discriminated against by the Rapid City municipality, on the basis of disability (or the individual's authorized representative) may file a complaint.

Complaints should be addressed to the following person who has been designated to coordinate complaints for the ADA compliance efforts for the City of Rapid City:

Kay Rippentrop, Executive Assistant to the Mayor Office of the Mayor 300 6th Street, Rapid City, South Dakota 57701 605-394-4110 - TTY - 605-394-6010

- A complaint should be filed in writing and contain the name, address and telephone number of the person filing the complaint and a brief description of the alleged violation of the regulation for Title II.
- A complaint must be filed no later than 30 days from the date of alleged discrimination. A complaint will be treated as filed on the date it is first filed with the city.
- 3) An investigation, as appropriate, shall follow a filing of a complaint. The investigation will be conducted by the designated official and a written determination as to the validity of the complaint will follow no later than 30 days after filing.
- 4) The ADA Coordinator shall maintain the files and records of the City relating to the complaints filed.

Adopted by the Rapid City Council 3/3/92

City of Rapid City, South Dakota ADA Grievance Form

1)	Type of Complaint –			
	• FacilityProgram	Sidewalk	_ Curb	
	CutOther:			
	• Department/Program:_			
2)	Name:			
3)	Address:			
4)	Phone:			
Co	omplaint:			
·				
				
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
7				
Tn	vestigation:			
		······································		
A a+	ian'			
AG 6	ion:			_
·				
·····		,		~~~
)ate	Received:	•		
	Completed:			
1	الممثلم ٨ [ألم			

Exhibit C

									Additions	
			MENT OF VALUES					Reds	Deletions	
			2005 to January 1, 2006							
		Git	y of Rapid City							
		D	300 6th St.							
Indate	ed 12/21/04	кар	id City SD 57701	,					! 	
puare	W 12/2 104			<u> </u>	 			1		
NO.	ITEM DESCRIPTION	cov	VALUE	COMPTRICATION		SQFT	TOTAL			L
	TIEM DECOMA TION	COV	YALUE	CONSTRUCTION	Age	PER FLOOR	SQ FT	SPRK	C/A	Premiu
	LANDFILL									·
	Landfill-Storage Shop, Hwy 79 S	Bldg	\$ 42,800	Frame	1979		2376	<u> </u>		
		BPP		1-1	1919		2316			<u> </u>
2	Landfill Office/Scales, Hwy 79 S	Bldg		Frame	1990		700			
		BPP			+ 1330		100			
3	Work Shap, Hwy 79 S	Bldg		Frame	1996	·	2560	ļ		
		BPP	\$ 10,000		000		2300			
4	MRF Bldg., 5165 Hwy 79 S	Bldg		Non-Combustible	1996		62150	YES	YES	
		BPP			1333		02,00	120	(120	 -
	MSW Compost Facility, 5055 Hwy 79 S	Bidg	\$ 4,000,000	Non-Combustible	2003		49200	YES	YES	
4b	MSW Secondary Building, 5049 Hwy 79 S	Bidg	\$ 1,000,000	Non-Combustible	2003		20400			
40	MSW Screening Building, 5051 Hwy 79 S	Bidg	\$ 2,000,000	Non-Combustible	2003		2850	YES	YES	
	TOTAL LANDFILL		\$ 16,950,500		1					\$ 13.89
										<u>_</u>
	FIRE DEPARTMENT	<u>-</u>								
5	Fairmont Fire Station, 700 E. Fairmont Blvd.	Bidg			1994		5083			
	NA Maria Fire Order a 4005 Notes	BPP								
	N. Maple Fire Station, 1205 N. Maple	Bidg		Masonry noncomb	1960	4360 up/2913 dn	7273			
	Fire Station - Main, 10 Main St.	BPP								
	True Station - Watt, TO Wall St.	Bidg		Masonry noncomb	1975		21933			
	Airport Fire Station,	BPP Bldg			+		 			·
	miport is oration,	BPP		Masonry noncomb	1978		4610	YES		
10	Southwest Fire Station, 2902 Park Dr.	Bldg		Masonry/Frame .	+ 4070		4.55			
	The section of the se	BPP			1979		4139			
11	Fire Station #6 1930 Promise Dr.	· Bldg			2000	E024 H770			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		BPP	\$ 75,000		2003	5934 up/1778 dn	7712	YES	YES	ļ -
11a	Fire Station, 102 Federal	Bidg			2004		7000	YES	1000	
		BPP			2004		7000	YES	YES	<u> </u>
	TOTAL FIRE DEPARTMENT	, <u> </u>	\$ 6,268,300		- 		<u> </u>			\$ 5,14

NO.	ITEM DESCRIPTION	COV	,	VALUE			SQ FT	TOTAL			
	The state of the s	COV	-	VALUE	CONSTRUCTION	Age	PER FLOOR	SQFT	SPRK	C/A	Premium
	STREET DEPARTMENT	+	 		 	ļ	<u> </u>				
12	Sign Shop, 709 Steele St.	Bldg	\$	250,000	Masonry Veneer	1978		40004	 		
		BPP	\$	174,000	Made in y verteer	1910		10894			
13	Maint. Street Dept., 605 Steele St.	Bidg	\$		Masonry	1952		3840			
		BPP	\$	20,000		1302		3040			
14	Water Maint Street Shop, 609 Steele St.	Bldg		350,000	Masonry	1981		10000			
15	Sweeper Shed, 607 Steele St.	Bidg	\$		Wood frame/metal exterio	1980		3360			}
16	Salt Storage #1, 602 Steele St.	Bldg		150,000	Joisted masonry	1996		7854			
	Salt Storage #2	Bldg			Joisted masonry	1998		7854			
 '	Salt Storage #3	Bldg			Concrete/metal/fabric	2004		8000			
	Equipment Storage Bldg, 606 Steele	Bldg	\$		Wood pole/metal sided	1998		3200			
	Sand Salt Storage Bidg, #3 325 E Main N	Bldg	\$	120,000	Non Combustible	2004		8000	No		
	TOTAL STREET DEPARTMENT		\$	1,763,970					110		\$ 1,446
	1874 TEN DELLA MINISTRALIA	1	<u> </u>								Ψ 1,440
47	WATER DEPARTMENT										
- 11	Water Filteration Plant, 1111 Mt. View	Bldg	\$		Masonry noncomb	1961	59339 up/23073 dn	82412			
10	Poporton Station I/Communication	BPP		1,159,000						-	
10	Booster Station Kepp, 1200 St. Pat. Booster Mt. View.	Bldg		225,000				173			
	Booster Station, 8 Anamosa	Bldg	\$		Fire Resistive	1960		698			
	Booster Station, 2800 Canyon Lake	Bldg	\$		Fire Resistive			213			
22	Booster Pinedale 1, 634 City Springs	Bldg		225,000	Masonry			207	+		
23	Pump House Girl Scout Camp, Jackson Blvd	Bldg		225,000	Fire Resistive	1964		160			
24	W. Pump House Girl Scout Camp, Jackson Blvd.	Bldg		225,000		1960		160			
25	Meadowbrook Pump House	Bldg		380,000		1987		175			
	Pump House	Bldg			Fire Resistive	1951		1813			
27	Main Pump House	Bldg			Fire Resistive	1951		840			
28	Pump House 4, 3650 Sunnyvale	Bldg	\$		Fire Resistive	1951		576			
20	North Pump House 1, Canyon Lake Park	Bldg		225,000				432			
30	Jackson Springs Pump House	Bldg			Fire Resistive			175			
31	Well House 3, 1800 Hillview	Bldg		1,500,000		1973		720			
	West St. Booster, West & St. Joe	Bldg			Fire Resistive '			252			
33	Cedar Hill Booster, Canyon Lk. & Minneka	Bldg			Fire Resistive			190			
34	Pinedale Booster 2, 1800 Hillview	Bldg			Fire Resistive			128			 -
35	Robbinsdale Booster, Parkview & Nebraska	Bldg		225,000				184			
36	Parkridge Pump Station	Bldg		225,000				83			
37	Westview Booster, Pinetree Dr.	Bldg			Masonry						
38	Southwest Booster, Sheridan Lk Rd.	Bldg		309,765				532			
	THE TAX DOORSE, OREHUMIE LK INU.	Bldg	\$	225,000	Masonry			252			

.

40	Broadmoor Booster	Bldg	\$ 225,000	Masonry	1		165			1
						SQ FT	TOTAL			1
NO.	ITEM DESCRIPTION	COV	VALUE	CONSTRUCTION	Age	PER FLOOR	SQ FT	SPRK	C/A	Premium
							 			1
41	Guard Camp Well 5	Bldg		Masonry	1990		290			
		BPP			1		T			1
42	City Springs Well 6, 4424 City Springs	Bldg		Masonry	1990		290			
		BPP			1		 			1
43	Off Country Rd. Well 8	Bldg		Masonry	1991		310	, 1		1
		BPP					 			
44	Arrowhead Well 9	Bldg		Masonry	1992		515			1
		BPP					1	 	·	+
45	N. Plaza Weil 10, 2001 N Plaza Dr.	Bldg	\$ 426,705	Masonry	1992	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	596			
		BPP					1			
46	Park Dr. Well 11, 4595 Park Dr.	Bldg		Masonry	1992		310			——
		BPP			+-+		 			+
49	Water Tank Res., 4660 S. Hwy 16	Bldg	\$ 1,750,000	Fire Resistive	1998					
	Tilford Pit, 2119 Sheridan Lk. Rd	Bldg	\$ 100,000	Fire Resistive	-		 			
	Arrowhead Res., 2451 Vista Rd.	Bldg		Masonry noncomb	++		 			
53	North Rapid Res., County Rd. 2003	Bldg		Masonry noncomb	1		 			
	Cedar Hills Res., 2205 Pinecrest Dr.	Bldg		Masonry noncomb	1					
55	2 Mill. Low level Res., 130 Signal Heights	Bldg		Masonry noncomb			 -			+
56	5 Mill. Low Level res., 130 Signal Heights	Bldg	\$ 5,000,000	Masonry noncomb	1		 			
	High Level Res., 1814 Pal Verde	Bldg		Masonry noncomb	1		 			
	Soo San Booster	Bldg	\$ 225,000	Fire Resistive			 			
	Robinsdale Res., 5th & Minnesota	Bldg		Masonry noncomb	1		1	 		
	Kepps Res., 1320 Skyline Dr.	Bldg	\$ 600,000	Masonry noncomb	1		 			
61	North Rapid Booster, 602 Anamosa	Bldg		Fire Resistive	+-+		 			
	Southwest Res., 5555 Pinetree	Bldg		Fire Resistive	+		 			
63	South Canyon Res., 810 City Springs	Bldg	\$ 2,000,000	Masonry noncomb	1		 			
64	Terracita Res., 1252 Alta Vista	Bidg		Masonry noncomb	 		 	 		
65	Skyline Booster, 1220 Alta Vista	Bldg		Маѕолгу	 		 			
66	Terricita Booster, 561 Terracita Dr.	Bldg		Masonry	++		 	 		
67	Springbrook 1, Pressure Reducier .	Bldg		Fire Resistive	1					+
68	Springbrook 2, Pressure Reducier	Bldg		Fire Resistive	 		 			
	Enchanted Hills 1, Valve Pit	Bldg		Fire Resistive			 	 		+
		Bldg	\$ 25,000	Fire Resistive	+		 	 		
	Pinedale Reservoir 5305 Pinedale Heights	· ,		Masonry noncomb	1969					
	Red Rocks Booster Station 7150 Prestwick Rd	$+$ $ \downarrow$		Masonry	2003		 	 		
	TOTAL WATER DEPARTMENT	1	\$ 63,663,280		2003		 			+
		ــــا	- 00,000,200	<u> </u>			<u></u>	<u> </u>		\$ 52,

ļ						SQ FT	TOTAL			
NO,	ITEM DESCRIPTION	COV	VALUE	CONSTRUCTION	Age	PER FLOOR	SQFT	SPRK	CIA	Premium
	POLICE DEPARTMENT									
	Rapid City Police, 300 Kansas City	BPP	\$ 570,000	Masonry Veneer	Joint c	ity/county bldg				
72	Evidence Building, 129 St. Joe	BPP		Masonry/Steel Frame	1995	500 ea for 2 floors	15,000	YES	YES	
		PPO	T							
73	Police Storage, 710 Steele	Blag	\$ 29,000	Metal bldg						
	TOTAL POLICE DEPARTMENT	'	\$ 799,000							\$ 65
	GOVERNMENT BUILDINGS									
74	Dahl. 713 7th St	Bldg	\$ 1.490.445	Superior/Res		7500 111/2000 411	40000			
	Minneluzahan Center, 315 W. 4th St.	Bidg			1976	7500 up/3300 dw	10800			1314 7
	Youth & Fam. Services, 410 E. Monroe	Bldg		Masonry	1976		5240			656+56
	Barber Trans. Center, 333 6th St.	Bldg	\$ 861,721		1977		10000	 		58245
	Friendship House, 211 W. Blvd N.	Bldg	\$ 283,550				9200			707
	City/School Admin., 300 6th St.	Bldg		Masonry Veneer	1092	30 000/40 000/40 0	60500			23247
		BPP	\$ 547,000		1903	20,000/18,000/12,0	635,00			5120,43
82	Visitor Info Center, 3601 Mail Dr	Bldg	\$ 1.770.000		1997	ļ	12480			448
	Parking Ramp, 410 6th St.	Bidg		Concrete/Steel	2001		12400			1451
84	703 Kansas City Mbi Aldar	Bldg		CONDICTOROL	2001					1640
	TOTAL GOVERNMENT BUILDINGS	1	\$ 15,196,166	 	+					\$ 12,46
		1.			<u> </u>					Ψ 1 <u>2,</u> 10
	WATER RECLAMATION	1								
	Preliminary Treatment Bldg,	Bldg	\$ 1,049,000	Masonry non comb	1967	<u> </u>	3059			
	Sludge Pump Pit	Bldg	\$ 122,515	Masonry non comb	1967					
	Operations Bldg, 7960 County Rd 239	Bldg		Joist masonry	1967		3456			
	Trickling Filter Dome	Bldg		Metal dome/concrete	1992					
	Trickling Filter Dome Cever	Bldg		Metal dome/concrete	—					
	Digester Complex	Bldg		Masonry non comb	1967		·			<u> </u>
	Wastewater Shop	Bldg		Masonry non comb	1967		3395			
92	Water Treatment, 7910 County Rd. 239	Bldg		Joist masonry	1967		560			
93	Primary Clarifier	Bldg			1967		4800 x 4			
						† 		 		



		<u> </u>]	SQFT	TOTAL			1	
NO.	ITEM DESCRIPTION	COV		ALUE	CONSTRUCTION	Age	PER FLOOR	SQ FT	SPRK	C/A	Pre	emium
	Fig. LOL US	<u> </u>		·								
	Final Clarifier	Bldg		1,288,900	Masonry	1967						
	Waste Water Treatment Was is this??	Bldg		5,216,250	Masonry			8800				
97		BPP		530,000							i	
	Generator	Bldg			Metal bldg	1990						
<u></u> _	Gas Scrubber	Bldg		248,183	Masonry	1990						
	Administration Building	Bldg			Joisted masonry	2003			, ,			
		Bldg		8,445,999	Masonry noncomb	2003		6518		YES	-	
		Bldg		† † ††††	Masonry tank	2003		3931		YES		
<u> </u>		Bldg		11111	Masonry	2003		30580				
		BPP		629,000	Centrifuge equip			2688		YES		
	Primary Blower Building	Bidg		<u> </u>	Masonry	2002						
	Clarifloculator				Masonry tank	2003		215	 -	YES		
	UV Building	Bldg			Masonry	2003		9498				
		BPP	\$		Ultraviolet equip	1		1728		<u> </u>		
	TOTAL WATER RECLAMATION		\$	26,781,821		 				 i	\$	21,961
						 			 		Ψ-	_,,,,,,,
						 			·	<u></u>		
	SWIMMING POOLS					 			ļ			 _
102	Sioux Park Pump House, 900 Sheridan Lk. Rd.	Bldg	\$	1,510,000	Masonry	2001		2268				
103	Sioux Park Bath House, 920 Sheridan Lk. Rd.	Bldg		450,000		2001		3588				
104	Horace Mann Bath House, 818 Anamosa	Bldg	\$		Masonry noncomb	1971		2960	l			
105	Parkview Pool, 4221 Parkview (Bath house/Pump h	Bldg	\$	2,200,000		L	pump 1027/bath 275	3777	ļ	 -	├	
105a		Bldg		8,330,000			12245 up/33330 dn	45575	YES	YES		
	TOTAL SWIMMING POOLS		\$	12,790,000		2004	12240 aproduct an	40010	123	150		10,488
			 -	,,.	 	 			 -		Ψ	10,400
	,	-				 -			ļ	ļ	-	
	AIRPORT										-	
106	Admin Bldg , 4430 Airport Rd.	Bldg	\$	1,025,195	Macong	1965		40000	Date Co	0.81.8085	-	
	Federal Service Office, 4410 Airport Rd.	Bldg			Masonry noncomb	1905				2 ALARM	├	
	Airport	Bldg			Joist Masonry		2000011111110000-1-1	10099		VES	<u> </u>	
		BPP		635,250	JUIST MISSOLILY	1808	30000up/50000dn	80000	YES	YES	 	
109		Bldg			Metal bidg	4000	0000	4.000				
		BPP			Metal bidg .	1998	2000 up/12800 dn	14800	YES	YES	<u> </u>	
 	TOTAL AIRPORT	577		10,000		<u> </u>			\			
 	TOTAL AND ON		\$	14,649,230	 	ļ					\$	12,012
L		!			<u> </u>	<u> </u>						

,

NO.	ITEM DESCRIPTION	0.01	 				SQ FT	TOTAL	{		1
	CIVIC CENTER	COV	\	VALUE	CONSTRUCTION	Age	PER FLOOR	SQ FT	SPRK	C/A	Premium
110	Civic Center, 444 Mt. Rushmore Rd.	Co. I									T
	own derical, 444 Mr. Additione Ad.	Bldg	3		Superior/Res	1977		446490	YES	YES	
	TOTAL CIVIC CENTER	BPP		1,500,000							T
	TOTAL STATE OF THE REAL PROPERTY.	-	\$	75,586,400	<u> </u>						\$ 61,981
	PARKS	 		· — — — — — —							
111	Sioux Indian Halley Park Mus.,515 W. Blvd.	T 1 -1				<u> </u>					
112	Office Bldg Sloux Park, 2915 Canyon Lk.	Bldg	\$		Superior/Res	1935		2500	, ,		
113	Head & Green House, 2931 Canyon Lk.	Bldg		100,000		1976	1250/1250	2500			
114		Bldg	\$	70,000		1994		960			
115	Auto Repair Shop, 2917 Canyon Lk	Bldg	\$	80,000	1	1957		1176			
118	Picnic Shelter Sioux Park, 2911 Canyon Lk.	Bldg		100,000		1959		2600			
117		Bldg		100,000		1979		1125			
117	Wildernson Both Books and 544.0%	Bldg	\$		Masonry	1992		576			
110	Wilderness Park Restroom, 514 City Springs Rd.	Bldg			Masonry	1966		396			
120	Sioux Park Restroom, 1012 Sheridan Lk. Rd.	Bldg		100,000		1992		850			
120	Robbinsdale Shelter/Restrooms, 641 E. Oakland	Bldg		100,000		1961		1104			
400	Robbinsdale Restroom/Shelter, 631 E. Oakland	Bldg		100,000		1961		1104			
122		Bldg			Masonry	1976		1125			
123	Canyon Lake Shelter, 4021 Jackson Blvd.	Bldg			Masonry	1976		1125		 -	
124	Canyon Lake Shelter, 4111 Jackson Blvd.	Bldg			Masonry	1976		1125			
120	Cemetery Office/Shop/Storage, 1901 Mt. View	Bldg	\$	100,000		1964		1400			
120	Thompson Shelter Sioux Park, 880 E. Meadowlark				Masonry	1976		1125			
12/		Bidg		246,100	Masonry	1978		6000			
400		BPP		65,000		 +					
128		Bldg		57,780	Masonry	1					
129	Meadowbrook Golf Course, 3625 Jackson Blvd	Bldg	\$	1,100,000	Frame	2002		4600			}
		BPP		70,000		 					
130		Bldg		44,940	Frame	1977		280			
131	College Park Restrooms, 220 College	Bldg	\$	60,000	Masonry	1990		468			
132		Bldg		170,130		1963		4000			
133	Cemetery Storage, 1949 Mt. View	Bidg	\$	20,000		1992		720			
134	Meadowbrook Pele Barn Shop, 2507 Arrowhead Dr	Blag	\$	34,775		 		2400			
135	Pro Shop Exec., 200 12th St.	Bldg	\$	44,940		1979		2160			
		8PP		40,000		+		2100			
]	Exec GC Maintenance, 210 12th St	Bldg		50,000	Frame	1994		1600			
136]	Bandshell	Bldg		310,000		1994		4016			
137		Bldg		80,250		1004		4016			
		ВРР	ŝ	500,000		 					<u> </u>
138		Bidg		69,550		1983		4600			
		BPP I		43,000	, and	1903		1500			
			<u> </u>	,	<u></u>	<u> </u>					1

Г

	ITEM DESCRIPTION	COV	VALUE	CONSTRUCTION	Age	SQ FT PER FLOOR	TOTAL SQ FT	SPRK	C/A	+
139	Lacroix Pump House, 830 E. Minnesota St.	Bldg		Frame	1983		170		UA	Premiur
		BPP			(800		170			
140	Cold Storage Building, 2933 Canyon Lk. Rd	Bldg		Frame	1992		5000	ļ		
141	Sioux Park Tennis Courts.	Bldg		Masonry	2001		3000	ļ		
	Sioux ParkSoccer Field	Bldg			2001			ļ		+
143	Sioux Park Concessions, 940 Sheridan Lk Rd.	Bldg			2001		2482		······	
144	Meadowbrook Cart Storage #1, 2501 Arrowhead Dr.	Blda	\$ 85 250	Frame	2001		6900	, ,		-}
44a	Meadowbrook Cart Storage #2, 2505 Arrowhead D	Bidg		Frame	2004		6900			 -
145	United Girls Softball, Rushmore LL 280 E. Main	Bldg		Masonry	1999		816			
146	Star of the West Concessions N. 1515 Sedivy Ln.	Bldg		Masonry		ROSALBADITASAN	700			75
146a	Parkview Softball Complex 4415 Parkview Dr. \	Bldg		Masonry	2004	KI SI I DAVIDA	2664	, ,		49+
50	Meadowbrook Golf Course Well House	Blaa	\$ 225,000	Masonry	2004	Tim Chillahan Res	2004			166+
	A Share France	BPP	\$ 75,000				 			-}
	Pete Lien Field Concess/RR, 2601 C.L.Drive	Bìda	\$ 120,000	Masonry	2002		840			-\a
	Robbinsdale Park Shelter (south)	Bldg		Frame	2001	 	320			198+1
	Roosevelt Park Restrooms, 203 E St Louis 1/2 8/16	Bida		Masonry	1995		384			
1	Rushmore LL Concess/RR, 131 E New York OV	Bldg		Masonry	1998		864			
	Rushmore LL Softball Storage, 109 E New York	Bldg		Frame	1990		3731			
	Rushmore Pony Field, 730 Anamosa St	Bidg	\$ 50,000	Masonry	1972		414			
		Bldg		Frame	2000		280			
l	Sioux Park Track, 960 Sheridan Lk Rd	Bldg	\$ 100,000	Masonry	2001		847			
	Timberline LL Concess, 1450 32nd St	Bidg		Masonry	1976		576			
1	United Girls Softball Concess, 1710 32nd St	Bldg		Masonry	1977	Δ	368			
[Whitehead Field RR/Shelter 3105 C.L.Drive	Bldg		Masonry	2004	<u> </u>	1300	 		1 2/1 +
	Whitehead Softball Complex 3101 C.L.Drive	Bldg		Masonry	1970	<u> </u>	352			
	Wilson Park Gazebo, Mt Rushmore Rd	Bldg		Frame	1995		900			
		Bldg			2002		4016			
		Bldg		Frame	1997		400			
]	Canyon Lake LL Concess, 1610 32nd St	Bldg		Masonry	1998		1767			1 44 .
	Canyon Lake Pump House, 4181 Jackson Blvd	Bldg		Masonry	1990		320			824
	Canyon Lake Shelter (west) 4515 Jackson	Bldg	\$ 50,000	Log/Frame	2003		894			
	Canyon Lake Storage Bldg, 4161 Jackson	Bldg		Masonry	1977		320			
	College Park Shelter, 224 College Ave	Bidg		Frame	1970		988			
}	Star of West Concess/RR 1515 Sedivy	Bldg		Masonry	1992		1195			1 121
		Bidg	<u></u>	Masonry	1963					/3/
		Bldg		Masonry/Frame		two bidgs	4000			140
		Bidg	priceless	Log	1876	two blags	1008/900			164
		Bidg		Masonry			180			
		Bldg		Frame	1992 1992		560 800			82

			L		1		SQ FT	TOTAL				
NO.	ITEM DESCRIPTION	COV		VALUE	CONSTRUCTION	Age	PER FLOOR	SQ FT	SPRK	C/A	Pre	mium
	Jackson Park Shelter, 3040 Jackson Blvd	Bldg		50,000	Masonry	1976		589				
	J Johnson Pony League, 1720 32nd St	Bldg		60,000	Frame/Masonry	1976		1320				
ļ	McKeague Field Concess/RR, 2817 C.L.Drive	Bldg	\$	60,000	Masonry	1998		416				
	Parks Auto Repair Shop, 2917 C.L.Drive	Bldg	\$	100,000	Frame	1959		2600	·			
<u></u>	Parks Dept Garage (2 stall), 2923 C.L.Drive	Bidg	\$	20,000	Frame	1998		600				
	Parks Dept Washbay, 2929 C.L.Drive	Bldg	\$	60,000	Frame	1997		1950				
146b	Storage Bulding 4315 Parkview Dr	Bldg	\$	48,000	Frame	2004		2400	. 1			
146c	Lighting for Complex		\$	280,000								
1460	Fencing for Complex		\$	200,000		1						
147	Star of the West Complex Offices, 1511 Sedivy	Bidg	\$	50,000	Masonry	1992		1202				
148	Midget Football Storage, 1509 Sedivy (Star/West)	Bldg		30,000	Masonry	1994		900				
149	Rushmore Softball Complex Rest/Concess.	Bldg	\$	65,000	Masonry	1999		816				
150	Canyon Lake New Rest. 4501 Jackson Blvd	Bldg			Masonry	1999		504				
151	Memorial Park Tennis Ct RR 201 Mt Rushmore Rd	Bidg		60,000	Masonry	1979		475				
	Sioux Park Pavillion, 980 Sheridan Lk Rd.	Bldg		190,000	Joisted masonry	2001		2660				
153	ice Rink, 235 Waterloo	Bldg	\$	3,710,000	Masonry noncomb	2000		45,575	YES	YES		
		BPP	\$	100,000		·						
	TOTAL PARKS		\$	13,909,625						<u> </u>	\$	11,406
		·]				1					<u> </u>	
L	LIBRARY	,				 						
154	Library, 610 Quincy	Bldg		9,861,741	Masonry	1972/2	2,600 up/34,400 d	47,000	YES	YES		
		BPP		5,142,741								
		PPO	\$	5,000								
	TOTAL LIBRARY		\$	15,009,482		 				· · - · - · - · - · - · ·	\$	12,308
L					 		 				7	,000
 	STORY BOOK ISLAND			,		 						
	Storybook Island Train Station	Bldg	\$	45,000	Frame	1974		1296				
<u> </u>	Blanket BPP '	BPP	\$	100,000		1		1-44	 -			
	Figurines and Structures	BPP	\$	700,000		 						
156	Storybook Maintenance Shop, 1301 Sheridan Lk. Rd	Bidg	\$	30,000	Frame	1974		968				
157	Storybook Restrooms South, 1301 Sheridan Lk. Rd.	Bldg		97,000		10,7		840	<u> </u>			
158	Storybook Entrance RR, 1301 Sheridan Lk, Rd.	Bldg			Masonry	 		352	,			
159	Storybook Island Gift Shop, 1301 Sheridan Lk Rd.	Bidg		450,000			·	2242	 		— -	
L	Birthday House	Bldg			Frame	2004		1500	-	 		
	Concessions (outside entrance)	Bidg			Masonry	7007		336				
	Theatre	Bidg			Frame	1		3096		 		
	TOTAL STORY BOOK ISLAND		<u> </u>					3030				
			\$	1,622,000		+					\$	1,330
	Blanket	BIEE	<u> </u>	1,000,000					 	 	\$	820
	TOTAL PROPERTY		\$	265,989,774	 	 			 	 		18,112
			7	***************************************	<u> </u>	1 1	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	l	<u> </u>	\$ 2	10,112

7 + 113



Variable 1

Revised 09/09/04

PARKS DEPARTMENT: BUILDING AND STRUCTURE ADDRESSES

Canyon Lake Park:

#1 Shelter & Restroom (S)	4111 Jackson Boulevard
#2 Shelter & Restroom (E)	4021 Jackson Boulevard
#3 Shelter & Restroom (N)	4011 Jackson Boulevard
New Restroom (W)	4501 Jackson Boulevard
New Shelter (Log)	4515 Jackson Boulevard
Pump House	4181 Jackson Boulevard
Storage Building	4161 Jackson Boulevard

College Park:

Restroom	220 College Avenue
Shelter	224 College Avenue

Dinosaur Park:

Concession Building	940 Skyline	Drive

Executive Golf Course:

Maintenance Building	210 12 th Street
Pro Shop	200 12 th Street

Halley Park:

Historic Building (Cabin)	1210 St. Joseph Street
Sioux Museum	515 West Boulevard

Hockey Rink: (Braeburn Addition) 3350 Idlehurst Lane

Horace Mann Park:

Pool and Bathhouse	818 Anamosa Street
Shelter	824 Anamosa Street

Jackson Park:

Shelter 3040 Jackson Boulevard

LaCroix Links Course:

Pro Shop
Pump House
3820 Odde Drive
830 E. Minnesota Street

Mary Hall Park 3220 W. South Street

Meadowbrook Golf Course:

Cart Storage Building	2501 Arrowhead Drive
Garage	2509 Arrowhead Drive
Maintenance Shop	2505 Arrowhead Drive
Pole Building	2507 Arrowhead Drive
Pro Shop	3625 Jackson Boulevard
Pump House	3435 Jackson Boulevard
Starter Shack	3431 Jackson Boulevard
Storage Building	2503 Arrowhead Drive

Memorial Park:

Bandshell 301 North Fifth Street
Tennis Restrooms 201 Mount Rushmore Rd.

Mountain View Cemetery:

Office/Shop Building 1901 Mountain View Rd. Steel Storage Bldg. (NW) 1949 Mountain View Rd.

Parks Office and Shops:

Caretaker's Home	2913 Canyon Lake Drive
Cold Storage	2933 Canyon Lake Drive
Garage (2 stall)	2923 Canyon Lake Drive
Garage (Washbay)	2929 Canyon Lake Drive
Greenhouse	2931 Canyon Lake Drive
Maintenance Shop (E)	2917 Canyon Lake Drive
Maintenance Shop (W)	2919 Canyon Lake Drive
Park Office	2915 Canyon Lake Drive
Shelter and Restrooms	2911 Canyon Lake Drive

Parkview Pool 4221 Parkview Drive

Robbinsdale Park:

BMX Track 626 Fairmont Boulevard Shelter & Restroom (E) 641 E. Oakland Street Shelter & Restroom (W) 631 E. Oakland Street

Roosevelt Park:

Ice Rink (Arena)235 Waterloo St.New Restroom (W)203 E. St. Louis St.Softball Storage (Rushmore L.L.)320 E. St. Louis St.Swim Center125 Waterloo St.

Sioux Park:

Concession Bldg.	940 Sheridan Lake Rd.
Pavillion (2000-2001)	980 Sheridan-Lake Rd.
Pool Maintenance	900 Sheridan Lake Rd.
Pool & Bathhouse	940 Sheridan Lake Rd.
Restrooms (1992)	1012 Sheridan Lake Rd.
Shelter (2000)	1020 Sheridan Lake Rd.
Track	960 Sheridan Lake Rd.

Skate Park 221 New York Street

Soccer Fields:

Cambell Street 1835 Cambell St.
Denver Street 551 E. Blvd. North
Fairmont 820 E. Fairmont St.
Minnesota Street ?
Mountain View & Omaha 1801 W. Omaha
Star of the West 1651 Sedivy Lane

Storybook Island:

Complex 1301 Sheridan Lake Rd. Restrooms 1301 Sheridan Lake Rd.

Thomson Park:

Shelter & Restrooms 880 E. Meadowlark Dr.

Wilderness Park:

Restrooms 514 City Springs Rd.

Wilson Park:

Restrooms

1701 Mt. Rushmore Rd.

Base/Softball Complex Addresses

Boxing Bldg.	200 E. Main North
Canyon Lake Little League	1610 32 nd Street
Floyd Fitzgerald Field	2721 Canyon Lake Dr.
Harney Baseball Complex	610 E. Fairmont Blvd.
Jamie Johnson Pony League	1720 32 nd Street
McKeague Colt	2817 Canyon Lake Dr.
Midget Football Building	1509 Sedivy Lane
Parkview Softball Complex/Concession	- 4415 Parkview-Drive-
Storage Building	4315 Parkview Drive
Pete Lien Field Concession	2601 Canyon Lake Dr.
Robbinsdale Softball Complex	830 E. Fairmont Blvd.
Rushmore Girls Complex Concession Bldg.	260 E. Main North
Rushmore Girls Softball Complex	280 E. Main St.
Rushmore Little League Field	131 E. New York St.
Rushmore Pony Field	730 Anamosa Street
Star of the West Softball Comp.	1511 Sedivy Lane
Score Board	1515 Sedivy Lane
Maintenance Shop	1511 Sedivy Lane
Concession	1515 Sedivy Lane
Timberline Little League	1450 32 nd Street
United Girls Softball	1710 32 nd Street
Restrooms	1710 32 nd Street
Whitehead Softball Complex	3101 Canyon Lake Dr.
Whitehead Restrooms & Shelter	3105 Canyon Lake Dr.