

## **CITY OF RAPID CITY**

## Public Works Department Engineering Services Division

300 Sixth Street Rapid City, SD 57701 605-394-4154

TO: Public Works Committee and City Council

FROM: Brandon Quiett, PE

**DATE:** March 3, 2015

**RE:** Staff Recommendation for Requested Abatement of Stormwater Drainage Utility Fee from Southern Cross, LLC.

Tax ID 47668

Address of Requested Abatement **895 E. Minnesota St.** 

Parcel Area (Acres) 2.47

Use = Industrial

Runoff Weighting Factor 17.00

Fee Based on Use \$ 731.63

Use = Dwelling, Multiple

Runoff Weighting Factor 11.25

Fee Based on Use \$ 484.17

The property is an apartment complex with garages or storage units for the tenants. Due to "storage" as one of the uses on the property per Pennington County records the property was assessed as industrial by the stormwater drainage utility fee, because storage is a use that is permitted only in industrial zoning under Rapid City Municipal Code. This was a known issue, and the majority of multi-family dwelling properties with storage were corrected, but this property was overlooked. The use will be corrected to "Dwelling, Multiple" for the 2016 assessment.

## Recommendation: Approve



HOURINALED HISTORY H DANS	Public Works Department Engineering Services Division 300 Sixth Street Rapid City, SD 57701 605-394-4154
Application for Aba Return ap	tement of Stormwater Drainage Utility Fee Assessment Dication to Public Works Department (address above)
Owner Name Southa	IN Cross LLC (Phyllis J Haar
Owner Mailing Address P.C	
city Rap' C: ty	State SD Zip Code 57789
Phone Number 718 - 1947	
Parcel Tax ID for requested abatem	ent 47668
	Abatement amount requested \$ 247.46
Reason for requesting abatement of the property was assessed as weighting factor 17.0). The pro weighting factor 11.25), becau requested is the differences in	Stormwater Drainage Utility Fee: garage, industrial due to the storage units on the property (runoff perty should have been assessed as dwelling, multiple (runof se the site is an apartment development. The abatement amo assessment between the two use types for this property.
the property was assessed as weighting factor 17.0). The pro weighting factor 11.25), becau requested is the differences in \$731.63 - \$484.17=\$247.46	Stormwater Drainage Utility Fee: garage industrial due to the storage units on the property (runoff perty should have been assessed as dwelling, multiple (runof se the site is an apartment development. The abatement amo
Reason for requesting abatement of the property was assessed as weighting factor 17.0). The pro- weighting factor 11.25), becau requested is the differences in \$731.63 - \$484.17=\$247.46 signature of Owner Phype tate of South Dakota) (county of Pennington)	Stomwater Drainage Utility Fee: $997772$ industrial due to the storage units on the property (runoff operty should have been assessed as dwelling, multiple (runoff se the site is an apartment development. The abatement amo assessment between the two use types for this property.
Reason for requesting abatement of the property was assessed as weighting factor 17.0). The pro- weighting factor 11.25), becau requested is the differences in \$731.63 - \$484.17=\$247.46 ignature of Owner Phype state of South Dakota) () SS. county of Pennington) on this the 2041 day of 10 mown to me or satisfactorily proven to in acknowledged that he/she execute ereunto set my hand and official sea	Stomwater Drainage Utility Fee: $997972$ industrial due to the storage units on the property (runoff operty should have been assessed as dwelling, multiple (runoff see the site is an apartment development. The abatement amo assessment between the two use types for this property. Max Date $1-20-16ACKNOWLEDGMENTDete person(s) whose name is subscribed to within the foregoing instance of the purposes therein contained. IN WITNESS WHEREOF$
Reason for requesting abatement of the property was assessed as weighting factor 17.0). The pro- weighting factor 11.25), becau requested is the differences in \$731.63 - \$484.17=\$247.46 ginature of Owner Phype tate of South Dakota) (a SS. county of Pennington) on this the 20 <sup>M</sup> day of 50 mown to me or satisfactorily proven to ind acknowledged that he/she execute ereunto set my hand and official sea Bamba Hump	Stormwater Drainage Utility Fee: $997976$ , industrial due to the storage units on the property (runoff operty should have been assessed as dwelling, multiple (runoff se the site is an apartment development. The abatement amo assessment between the two use types for this property.
Reason for requesting abatement of the property was assessed as weighting factor 17.0). The pro- weighting factor 11.25), becau requested is the differences in \$731.63 - \$484.17=\$247.46 ignature of Owner Phype state of South Dakota) () SS. county of Pennington) on this the 2041 day of 10 mown to me or satisfactorily proven to in acknowledged that he/she execute ereunto set my hand and official sea	Stomwater Drainage Utility Fee: $997772$ industrial due to the storage units on the property (runoff operty should have been assessed as dwelling, multiple (runoff see the site is an apartment development. The abatement amo assessment between the two use types for this property. Max Date $1-20-16ACKNOWLEDGMENTDate 1-20-16ACKNOWLEDGMENTDate 1-20-16ACKNOWLEDGMENTDate 1-20-16ACKNOWLEDGMENTDate 1-20-16ACKNOWLEDGMENTDate 1-20-16ACKNOWLEDGMENTDate 1-20-16ACKNOWLEDGMENTDate 1-20-16ACKNOWLEDGMENTDate 1-20-16ACKNOWLEDGMENTDate 1-20-16ACKNOWLEDGMENT$
Reason for requesting abatement of the property was assessed as weighting factor 17.0). The pro- weighting factor 11.25), becau requested is the differences in \$731.63 - \$484.17=\$247.46 signature of Owner Phype state of South Dakota) () SS. county of Pennington) on this the 20 <sup>44</sup> day of 50 mown to me or satisfactorily proven to ind acknowledged that he/she execute ereunto set my hand and official sea Bamba Humpon	Stormwater Drainage Utility Fee: 90.043.2   industrial due to the storage units on the property (runoff perty should have been assessed as dwelling, multiple (runoff se the site is an apartment development. The abatement amo assessment between the two use types for this property.   Image: Development development development development. The abatement amo assessment between the two use types for this property.   Image: Development development development. The abatement amo assessment between the two use types for this property.   Image: Development development development development. The abatement amo assessment between the two use types for this property.   Image: Development development development development development. The abatement amo assessment between the two use types for this property.   Image: Development development development development development development development development. The abatement amo assessment between the two use types for this property.   Image: Development developmen
Reason for requesting abatement of the property was assessed as weighting factor 17.0). The pro- weighting factor 11.25), becau requested is the differences in \$731.63 - \$484.17=\$247.46 signature of Owner <u>Phyp</u> state of South Dakota) () SS. county of Pennington) on this the <u>2040</u> day of <u>100</u> nown to me or satisfactorily proven to ind acknowledged that he/she execute ereunto set my hand and official sea <u>Bunk</u> <u>Huff</u> Notary Public	Stomwater Drainage Utility Fee: 997932 industrial due to the storage units on the property (runoff operty should have been assessed as dwelling, multiple (runoff se the site is an apartment development. The abatement amo assessment between the two use types for this property.

EDUAL HOUSING

EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

