July 15, 2003

No. 03VE006 - Vacation of Utility Easement

ITEM

GENERAL INFORMATION:

PETITIONER Audrey L. Painter

REQUEST No. 03VE006 - Vacation of Utility Easement

EXISTING

LEGAL DESCRIPTION Lot 5, Block 7, Meadow Ridge Subdivision, located in

Section 2, T1N, R8E, BHM, Pennington County, South

Dakota

PARCEL ACREAGE Approximately 0.2 acres

LOCATION 820 Ennen Drive

EXISTING ZONING County

SURROUNDING ZONING

North: County
South: County
East: County
West: County

PUBLIC UTILITIES City Sewer and Water

DATE OF APPLICATION 06/06/2003

REPORT BY Jeff Marino

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends that the Vacation of Utility Easement be approved.

GENERAL COMMENTS: The applicant is requesting approval of the vacation of three foot wide portion of a utility easement along the west side of the property. The portion to be vacated is 38 feet long. The applicant is proposing this vacation of easement to allow the construction of a deck at 820 Ennen Drive. The property is located outside the City of Rapid City in Pennington County. The proposed deck will be constructed four feet from the property line. The applicant has stated that the proposed deck will replace an existing dilapidated deck at the site. The applicant has requested verification from the utility companies if there are any existing utilities or future plans for utilities in this location.

If this application is approved by the City Council, the applicant will need to obtain a variance to the building setback requirement from Pennington County. This will resolve the encroachment of the proposed deck, which will replace the existing attached deck, into the side building setback.

STAFF REPORT

July 15, 2003

No. 03VE006 - Vacation of Utility Easement

ITEM

<u>STAFF REVIEW</u>: Letters were submitted by the applicant to utility companies serving the subject property area. Review of the letters indicates approval of the vacation of easement by all utility companies contacted.

The Pennington County Highway Department staff does not support the vacation of easements; however, they indicated that if the City were to proceed with the vacation, they would recommend approval of the vacation of only the portion of the easement that is occupied by the existing deck.

Based on the information referenced above, Staff recommends approval of the vacation of easement for only the portion of the easement that is occupied by the existing attached deck.