Carol Taylor 4008 Lakeview Drive Rapid City, South Dakota 57702

Telephone: (605) 348-1302

March 14, 2001

Via Hand Delivery

Rapid City Planning Commission Rapid City City Council 300 6th Street Rapid City, South Dakota 57701

Property at 4009 Lakeview Drive, Rapid City, South Dakota RE:

Dear City Planning Commission and City Council Members:

My name is Carol Taylor, and I live directly across the street from the proposed project site at 4009 Lakeview Drive. I will be unable to attend the Planning Commission meeting scheduled to be held on March 22, 2001, and am submitting this letter due to my great concern about the project. I have lived at 4008 Lakeview Drive for 16 years. When I first heard about the proposed plan to construct a parking lot directly across the street from my residence, I was extremely upset.

However, since that time, I have attended meetings concerning the project and have been working very closely with Pete Anderson, of Mac Construction; and have had several conversations with attorney Rich Huffman, and Blaze Emerson, of the City Planning Department.

I want you to know that I am totally in favor of the parking lot project. I cannot state it strongly enough. I want a parking lot! I feel that with proper fencing, trees, and planned landscaping, the parking lot could be a very attractive addition to our neighborhood.

The parking lot fence surrounding its perimeter would be set back 15 feet from the curb, enabling residents to see traffic when getting in and out of their driveways, to make city snow removal as easy possible on this very narrow street, and to allow for neighborhood beautification of this project. The contractor, Mac Construction, plans to leave the four existing trees within the 15-foot landscape easement. Two of these trees are black walnut and have an expected lifespan of 150 years. The contractor plans to add additional trees, lawn, and shrubs between the curb and fence.

The parking lot would have no access onto Lakeview Drive. The parking lot would be locked nights and weekends, which would prevent beer parties at 2:00 a.m., playground use, and loitering. It also would have approved minimal lighting, greatly needed as a safety factor on what is now a very, very dark and scary section of the street. With the liquor and convenience stores located at the end of the street, people are walking up and down Lakeview Drive all night long.

The way the property is presently zoned, if a parking lot does not go in there, it is probable a seven-plex apartment building, with additional parking space necessary, will instead be constructed at the parking lot site. Parking requirements for an apartment

building are 1½ spaces per unit. Most families have at least two vehicles, and if they have children of driving age, even more. Where will they park? You cannot drive down Lakeview Drive without pulling into the oncoming lane if a car is parked in the street.

Lakeview Drive is an extremely narrow street. Two cars cannot pass without one pulling over to the side. It is also a very old street, in very poor condition, that absolutely will not stand up under construction traffic or additional traffic resulting from another multiplex dwelling.

Mac Construction has agreed to do <u>all of its demolition and construction work via Jackson Boulevard</u>. This is extremely important to all of us living here because of the large number of children living on and using the street. With the two convenience stores located on the corner, and Canyon Lake nearby, the number of children, of all ages, walking on Lakeview Drive is unbelievable!

You can imagine how much danger dump trucks and construction vehicles would cause in building another apartment building on Lakeview Drive. One moving van on this street blocks the whole street for the entire day. There are no cross streets and this causes great inconvenience to those of us who live here, as you have to back up and drive all the way around to get to your destination; that is to say, one must either drive to 38th Street, onto Jackson Boulevard, onto Canyon Lake Drive, and down Lakeview Drive just to get home.

Mac Construction, I feel, has bent over backwards to accommodate us who reside on Lakeview Drive, and address our concerns. I have personally contacted every property owner within 150-feet of the construction project, and everyone I talked to was definitely in favor of an attractively fenced and well landscaped parking lot. This will ensure us that a multi-plex dwelling will not be constructed on this property in the future, and for me, living directly across the street from the construction site, that is very important.

I do not really have a backyard and year-round, I spend a tremendous amount of time in my front yard. If an apartment building is constructed, rather than a parking lot, I will totally lose all of my privacy, not to mention the added traffic and noise to our quiet little street.

Please allow the zoning to be changed so that the parking lot can be constructed.

Sincerely,

Carol Taylor

CT:kj

c: Mac Construction

Rich Huffman Marcia Elkins Blaze Emerson

not layer

EMAIL RECEIVED CONCERNING: 01RZ005 AND 01PD007

From: Gangismom@aol.com [mailto:Gangismom@aol.com]

Sent: Thursday, March 15, 2001 11:09 AM **To:** blaise.emerson@ci.rapid-city.sd.us

Subject: S. Hanson and D. Thomas 4005 Lakeview-RE:REzone and Develop 4009 Lkvw.

Dear Ladies and Gentleman, I am Samantha Hanson. My husband Daniel Thomas reside at 4005 Lakeview Drive. Directly East of 4009 Lakeview Dr.-which it is our understanding shall be torn down, rezoned, and developed into a parking lot or 7 plex w/ 12 off street parking spaces. I write this with several serious matters weighing heavily upon my mind. My husband as well is extremely concerned. Please hear them, it is all we ask. It is my belief that this residential neighborhood is Zoned Med. Density Residential. However Canyon Lake LLC[Hence CLLC] intends to Spot Rezone the property next door to General Comercial. It had been my understanding that the City has rarely favored Spot Rezoning a Gen. Commercial Parcel into a Residential Neighborhood. This has been my home since 1979. Surely any Mortage, and Tax paying citizen can understand a concern here. However, that is not the bottom line. We are private people. Due to Health concerns on my part, and Privacy concerns on the part of both of us, we have much to consider. Being the lesser of 2 Evils-we would prefer a Parking lot.in lieu of a 7 Plex with 12 off street parking places required. This street is 12" wide in places-please note pictures.!1/2 parking places are required per multiple family dwelling. A typical working couple typically has 2 vehicles. If they have teens of driving age, 1 or 2 more vehicles may enter the picture.Lakeview Dr. will not tolerate the traffic,Im afraid. I request that the City place a Vehicle Counting Devive on this Glorified Allev.that the average use of this street may be evaluated. My husband and I welcome you to place it in front of our house across the street, that this issue may be assesed. As it is necessary that I sleep 10-14 hours per day due to aforementioned Health concerns, I must say that yet another Plex would be intolerable due to noise pollution. Vehicles on this streettend to speed. They are loud. Now not only do I awaken-and stay that way once screeching tires awaken me at all hours day and night....We have a very Major and unfortunate situation of Safety to children and pets-both of which this street can boast many. Unattended Children Ride Bikes. Play Ball. and do everything any normal child would do however, in the street, unattended-with speeding vehicles present.]I have asked Mr. Huffman-at a private Neighborhood Informational meeting held at his firms new building, precicely who would be utilizing the Parking Lot.He was unable to answer this question for me.lt is a very major concern in my eyes. Placing a Spot Zone General Commercial property in a residential Neighborhood is again, not conducive to privacy and rest. Since we have chosen the parking lot over the 7 Plex, for reasons stated, it matters very much to me who shall use it. If it is his staff, we would pretty much expect regular hours. If used by the renters, with the renters utilizing the parking Lot, we have NO IDEA what sort of hours will be kept. The Firm of CLLLC has told us that if the parking lot is built, a Large, swinging gate shall be placed for access. No access is to be allowed on Lakeview Dr.-this is indeed good news. According to CLLLC, the gate would allow access ONLY onto Jackson Blvd.-it will be used for vehicles to come and go, and for maintainance[Snow Removal, and the like] This solves several

concerns. I would request that whenever Snow removal.or other such maintainance occur, it be after 5 am. By locking this gate, and providing some sort of lighting-[also promised by CLLLC, and their most reputable Construction Company-Mac Construction-]we would avoid Beer Parties at all hours of the ight by local youth whom would most likely "migrate" to the Parking Lot from Canyon Lake park, loitering, vandalism, and trouble Id rather not even contemplate at this point. CLLLC has also gone beyond City Qualifications to provide sound and noise screen. This is exellent. Provided that they keep the Black Walnut trees already present-they will have done a credible job of using a variety of Natural Grasses, Trees, and Plants. Vines. etc. to fulfill their promise to Beautify the neighborhood. One last concern. My husband spent considerable effort and financial resource to build a privacy fence between our home at 4005 and the current rental at 4009. If this fence must be torn down in thier building process, we most certainly would expect that a new fence of the same type be erected at their cost and effort. We have been told by a City Emloyee at CSAC that we have every right to request a written and legally binding aggrement from CLLLC.giving their word and promise that they shall fulfill the obligations that we have been promised to date. At this time we invoke a request that the City Plan a field trip to Lakeview Dr., that may become familiar with the true Character of our neihborhood-something a map simply cannot portray. Also, we ask that aforementioned written agreement be brought to fruition by CLLLC, and given to us-as a sign of their ood will and faith. Food for Thought, Ladies and GentlemenThank You Kindly for hearing us out, Samantha Hanson and Daniel Thomas-4005 Lakeview Drive

Larry and Marjetta Eckburg 4021 Lakeview Dr. Apt. 3 Rapid City, SD. 57702 Ph. (605) 394-0382

March 15, 2001

Via Hand Delivery

Rapid City Planning Commission Rapid City City Council 300 6th St. Rapid City, SD. 57701

RE: Property at 4009 Lakeview Dr., Rapid City, SD.

Dear City Planning Commission and City Council Members:

My name is Larry Eckburg, I am a property owner just west of the proposed project site at 4009 Lakeview Dr. I think the proposed site mentioned should be re-zoned to General Commerical District. I feel that a parking lot would not harm the neighborhood if it would be built according to the proposed plans. My wife and I are very much in favor of a nicely lit and land-scaped parking lot, with access from Jackson Boulevard.

Please allow the zoning to be changed to General Commerical District.

Sincerely,

Larry Eckburg

Marjetta Eckburg

Mayetta Echlurg

RECEIVED

MAR 16 2001

Rapid City
Planning Department

March 16, 2001 01RZ005 Rapid City Planning Commission Rapid City City Council 3006th street Ragid City, South Dakota 57701 RE: Property at 4009 Lake View Dr. R.C. So Dak. Dear City Planning Commission and Ety City Council Members! Our names over Ann Filipet and Lucille Scholzy lung at 3953 Lakelliew Dr. Two doors down from The proposed Project at 4009 LakeViewDo We have lived at This address for 35 yrs. This street has gone from Single Dwelling to multiand busness dwellings, which has Changed the Traffie' 10 fold at least. This Street 18 Very nerrow and he do not need ony more To Know her are very much

01RZ005 In favor of the Fenced in Parking lot Project: We Definitely! donot need another Multi-Plex dwelling built on this Street we have heard of The plans Mac Construction have and wholly agree with them. Zoning to be changed 80 That the parking lot can be Constructed Sineare ly Am Filipped operty Diseryption 5 of Lot 3 of Lot DoF lot 2 Juilly School Birelling) 3953 Lak View Dr Rapid City, So. Dak. 3251

Us property owners in the Sakeriew drive area we would support the proposed regone of East 125 # if the North 125 Hip Fort C. with the ratrictions listed on the petition. There are many hildren in the area on Sakeniew Dr. who have to already dodge traffic just trying to get to and from salool, Takeview The is a very narrow street which can barry Senstain vehicles meeting eachother. Restricting the use of this property as listed in the petition would be more beneficial than further bogging down the area with all the additional trappe as multiple family howing project would bring, Of you have not been on Sakwiew Dr personally you are not informed on this traffer situation. Heg A. Boden Mamu) Rapid City
Planning Department

Greg A. Boden Manner Rapid City

Chieral a Boden Momes

3/17/01 40/0 Rapures Dr

Raped City Planning Commission and City Council Members:

at 3932 Sakeview DR. We have recently been insormedythe parkeng lot which is living planned for the property at 4009 bakewew DR., We really are not pleased with having a Parking lot Constructed on out street (there are already 3 of them) We do not want another apartment Complex Jakeview DR. Cannot handle the latra traffic. The traffic on this street now is somelede. Klase allow the zoning to be Changed to allow for the parling. Thank Gore.

RECEIVED

MAR 2 1 2001

Rapid City

Planning Department

Land W. Casar



PROFESSIONAL PROPERTY MANAGEMENT, INC.

01RZ005

P.O. BOX 8135 RAPID CITY, SD 57709 (605) 341-7761 FAX (605) 341-4189

3/19/2001

RE: Re-zoning for parking lot construction.

To Whom It May Concern:

I am in favor of the parking lot project. I would prefer to have a parking lot rather than a seven-plex apartment building. By adding an apartment building there will be additional traffic.

Sincerely,

Mary Riss

RECEIVED

MAR 2 1 2001 Rapid City Planning Department Rapid City Planning Commission Rapid City City Council Members

We are Lekoy , Judy Fischer, we live at 3926 Lakeview Drive down the steet from the proposed project sight at 4009 Lakeview Dr. we are totally in favor of the parking lot project + totally against an apartment building in this spot. That would be disasterous with added teaffic on this busy street + needless to say "What about the Children". Think of the danger this would bring. Please don't inflict this added problem on us + regone, so the parking lot can go in for the businesses. We would be forever grateful. Thank you.

Le Roy & Judy Fischer

RECEIVED

MAR 2 1 2001 Rapid City Planning Department

01RZ005 RECEIVED MAR 2 1 2001 Rapid City Planning Department 3-20-01 1 Bapid City Planning Commission & City Council members (j. . . My hame is Donna Extund we live of 4006 lateview Dr. 15 dready

PETITION IN SUPPORT OF REZONE

RECEIVED

MAR 2 1 2001 Rapid City Planning Department

As a residence of the Lakeview Drive area, I would agree to support the proposed rezone of the East 125 ft of the North 125 ft of Lot C (property lying immediately behind and north of the Jackson Blvd fitness center) subject to the following restrictions:

- 1) Property is to be developed as a paved parking lot and used exclusively for employee parking.
- 2) Access to the lot will be limited to Jackson Blvd.
- 3) No construction traffic will be allowed on Lakeview Drive during or after construction.
- 4) To prevent the lot from becoming an after hours gathering place, the owner agrees to install a gated entry system.
- 5) A wood privacy fence approved by the adjoining property owners will be installed on the west, east and north property lines.
- 6) Developer agrees to install minimal approved parking lot lighting as previously discussed.
- 7) Developer agrees to install a 15 ft landscape strip on the north lot line.

We feel that the restricted use of this property in this manner is superior to the prospect of

	another multiple family housing project located on a very narrow and dangerous street.		
	NAME	DATE & addless	
	1) Just 4008 Saleving	3/15/01 Lasbevier Dr. March 14,200,	
	4) 1000 1 100 1		
(X)	3) Gemenyha CHROSON & DOLL SON	mul II	
	4) Chan Filipals 3 953 S	Mid I Thomas 4005 Lakedin 1)-3/4/6, oferview RE, 8D, - 3/14/6, Keliew Dr. Re, 3/14/6,	
	5) Tucilly church 3953 La	Keliew Dr. Ber 3/11/1- 3/14/2	
	6) David Carlor 3932 Pala	Siew DC 3/14/01	
	7) Kim Typdal 3932 Laleavin	T DR 3/14/01	
(8) Jelo Clone 394/ Lakeview Con	3/14/01	
	9) Stephane Jurson 3929 Labo	eview Dr RCSD 577623/14/01	
	10) John Anderson 3936 Lak	eView Nr RCCA. 3/14/01	
	10) John Anderson 3936 Lak 11) Boyd Brademayn 3936 Lo 12) Ty Brown 4016 LAKEVIE	ike orew Dr. 18950 3/14/61	
ı	12) Ty BROWN 7014 AMEDIC	2/14/01 2000 BC SM SUNA	
	3) RICH WINKLER 4016 LAKER	150 KR, 1 C OV 3/1901	
/	4) Edwine It med "		

JUY

RECEIVED

PETITION IN SUPPORT OF REZONE

MAR 2 1 2001

Rapid City Fianning Department

As a residence of the Lakeview Drive area, I would agree to support the proposed rezone of the East 125 ft of the North 125 ft of Lot C (property lying immediately behind and north of the Jackson Blvd fitness center) subject to the following restrictions:

- 1) Property is to be developed as a paved parking lot and used exclusively for employee parking.
- 2) Access to the lot will be limited to Jackson Blvd.
- 3) No construction traffic will be allowed on Lakeview Drive during or after construction.
- 4) To prevent the lot from becoming an after hours gathering place, the owner agrees to install a gated entry system.
- 5) A wood privacy fence approved by the adjoining property owners will be installed on the west, east and north property lines.
- 6) Developer agrees to install minimal approved parking lot lighting as previously discussed.
- 7) Developer agrees to install a 15 ft landscape strip on the north lot line.

We feel that the restricted use of this property in this manner is superior to the prospect of another multiple family housing project located on a very narrow and dangerous street.

DATE MAME

MAME

DATE

DATE

MI Jacqueline J Jesson 4022 Lakeurew march 14, 2007

2) Tra Poso (0.15 (Lavin Dr. March 14, 2007)

3) Jennier Jackson 4028 Lake view Dr. March 14, 2001 4 Jon Momachen 4009 Lakeview dr. March 14, 2001 5) Heidi Memachen 4029 lakeview Dr March 14, 2001 6) Lany Echlung 4021 LAKEVIEW DR. MARCH 14, 2001 7) Majette Leburg 4021 Lakeview Dr. March 14,2001 8) Debru 7. Smith 4021 Lakeview Dr. Apr. March 14,2001 9) Willem Neal 4021 Lale Vem Dr#4 Ma. 14,200/ 10) Train Elen. 4021 LAKEVIEW DR. #1 3-14-01 11 Kel Ab. M \$394) Lakevin Dr 3-14-01 12 July Sakoy 3926 Lakeview 3-14-01 13 Le Roy Fischer 3926 Sakeview 3-14-01

pays

RECEIVED

PETITION IN SUPPORT OF REZONE

MAR 2 1 2001

Rapid City

As a residence of the Lakeview Drive area, I would agree to support the proposed recomment of the East 125 ft of the North 125 ft of Lot C (property lying immediately behind and north of the Jackson Blvd fitness center) subject to the following restrictions:

1) Property is to be developed as a paved parking lot and used exclusively for employee parking.

2) Access to the lot will be limited to Jackson Blvd.

- 3) No construction traffic will be allowed on Lakeview Drive during or after construction.
- 4) To prevent the lot from becoming an after hours gathering place, the owner agrees to install a gated entry system.
- 5) A wood privacy fence approved by the adjoining property owners will be installed on the west, east and north property lines.
- 6) Developer agrees to install minimal approved parking lot lighting as previously discussed.
- 7) Developer agrees to install a 15 ft landscape strip on the north lot line.

We feel that the restricted use of this property in this manner is superior to the prospect of another multiple family housing project located on a very narrow and dangerous street.

<u>-</u>	
NAME	DATE
1) yrin TysDal	3932 Foleview DR 3/15/01
2) Paul Chllind	harfer Parking lot in Vacalle 3/5/01
3) DEMA Extin	AuferParky-lot on Vacator 3/5/0/ of Leyler Parking lot on Vacator 3/15/0/ serverion 1000
4) Mr Sting Rr	74 70
3) Tucker (uch	MI TIGHTS LINEWICK JOIL
6) Amy Kerokens	400 & Sakeow Dr 3-17-01
	71-1-1
8) Luda Sosu	2002 Lateuren Dr. 3/1/101 2002 Lateuren Dr. 17/March / 2001
9) Danow Much	who 3408 MARCHEW Dr 03.11.01
10) Marcia P.	eter , on 7937 Ph. 13/7-1
10 Joy Wite	4016 Lake View #3 857702
12 Jin Jorden	eterson 3937 Lakeview 31701 4016 Lake Villy #3 \$57702 3936 LAKEVIEW DR 3-20-01
A	end 4006 hoke view or 03-20/01

J. P. J.

RECEIVED

MAR 2 1 2001

Rapid City
Planning Department

PETITION IN SUPPORT OF REZONE

As a residence of the Lakeview Drive area, I would agree to support the proposed rezone of the East 125 ft of the North 125 ft of Lot C (property lying immediately behind and north of the Jackson Blvd fitness center) subject to the following restrictions:

- 1) Property is to be developed as a paved parking lot and used exclusively for employee parking.
- 2) Access to the lot will be limited to Jackson Blvd.
- 3) No construction traffic will be allowed on Lakeview Drive during or after construction.
- 4) To prevent the lot from becoming an after hours gathering place, the owner agrees to install a gated entry system.
- 5) A wood privacy fence approved by the adjoining property owners will be installed on the west, east and north property lines.
- 6) Developer agrees to install minimal approved parking lot lighting as previously discussed.
- 7) Developer agrees to install a 15 ft landscape strip on the north lot line.

We feel that the restricted use of this property in this manner is superior to the prospect of another multiple family housing project located on a very narrow and dangerous street.

	another multiple family housing project located on a very narrow and dangerous street.			
	NAME	DATE &	addiess	
X	1) Church aBederhamer	3/17/01	4016 Lakeview 4016 Lakeview	
*	2) Gregt Both Premer	3/17/01	401629kerren	
	3)			
	4)			
	5)			
	6)			
	7)			
	8)			
	9)			
	10)			

7)

8)

9)

10)

RECEIVED

PETITION IN SUPPORT OF REZONE MAR 2 1 2001

Rapid City

As a residence of the Lakeview Drive area, I would agree to support the proposed rezone of the East 125 ft of the North 125 ft of Lot C (property lying immediately behind and north of the Jackson Blvd fitness center) subject to the following restrictions:

- 1) Property is to be developed as a paved parking lot and used exclusively for employee parking.
- 2) Access to the lot will be limited to Jackson Blvd.
- 3) No construction traffic will be allowed on Lakeview Drive during or after construction.
- 4) To prevent the lot from becoming an after hours gathering place, the owner agrees to install a gated entry system.
- 5) A wood privacy fence approved by the adjoining property owners will be installed on the west, east and north property lines.
- 6) Developer agrees to install minimal approved parking lot lighting as previously discussed.
- 7) Developer agrees to install a 15 ft landscape strip on the north lot line.

	/) 20/110pm B	• •		
W	We feel that the restricted use of this property in this manner is superior to the prospect of another multiple family housing project located on a very narrow and dangerous street.			
	NAME	DATE &	Addless	
X 1)	Many J Riss	608 Circle	Dr, Rd, SD 3-16-01 Dr 3-16-01	
× 2)	Many J Riss Teslie Riss	608 Circle	DV 3-16-01	
3)				
4)				
5)				
6)				

01RZ005

Rapid City Planning and Zoning Commission and Rapid City Council; On behalf of concerned land owners, renters, neighbors;

We the undersigned-do wish to make it known that we desire NO Parking Lot nor a 7 Plex with 1.5 off-street parking places per Multiple family Dwelling at 4009 Lakeview Drive. Our concerns are Safety, Privacy, and a change in this neighborhood, which we find totally unnecessary. We would find ourselves subject to were a parking lot to be built-loitering, vandalism, and noise pollution. For example, "overspill" Beer Parties at all hours, from Canyon Lake Park. This is something that I, Samantha Hanson-find unacceptable. For health reasons, I sleep 12-14 hours per day. Once awakened, I do not fall back asleep. Construction is not conducive to rest.

Traffic on Lakeview is heavy. In all my years here, I have yet to see a vehicle observe the aped limit. A lot of pets have been hit on this street; fortunately no children have been hit yet.

As far as I am aware, the city frowns upon SPOT ZONNING, IN this case a single parcel of property is zoned general commercial in a medium density residential neighborhood. Are land evaluation is at risk. It is the understanding, that the city frowns upon SPOT ZONNING we deem it inappropriate.

The state of our street leaves a lot to be desired. At the western end of Lakeview dr. is a hill. At the point the street measures twelve feet wide this is not conducive to proper safety. We do realize that development will occur at some point. We only ask that it be responsible development. You may note that one petition has already been turned in favor of a parking lot. Daniel and I did sign this petition upon further reflection and investigation we have written this petition that it supercede the initial petition.

Thank you for hearing us, Samantha H., Dan T., as well as neighbors.

Once this is rezoned form medium density residential to general commercial it is unlikely that it will ever be rezoned medium density residential.

Jeff Windester 4018 Lilac Liv. Dunn tra Platon 4031 Lilac In. Mile Donisis 4033 LIIAC LN.

Ty A BROWN	4016 LAKEVIEW DR.	01RZ005
RICH WIWKLER	4016 LAKEDIEW.	Dr.
14) Edving Winkler	\1	U
(5) PAUL EKLUND	4006 LAKRUJEW DA.	
(b) Sytth Servell	4022 Lakeview Dr	1
17 Sheidi MMachen	4029 lakeview Dr	
Jon MMachen	1829 Jakenen Dr.	
Truz Shele	3948 Jackson Blood	
•		
<u></u>		
		Anner author stational separately based employables
6		
		A Change and the Control of the Cont
		ency states on the control of the co