

Rapid City Zoning Board of Adjustment Variance Project Report

October 8, 2015

Applicant Request(s)

Case #15VA004 - CIVAR15-0016, a Variance request to reduce the minimum required front yard setback from 25 feet to "0" feet as per Chapter 17.18.050(A) of the Rapid City Municipal Code

Companion Case(s) # 15VR012 - Vacation of a portion of West Rapid Street right-of-way

Development Review Team Recommendation(s)

The Development Review Team recommends that the requested Variance be denied


Project Summary Brief

(Update September 29, 2015. All revised and/or added text is shown in bold.) This item was continued from the September 24, 2015 Zoning Board of Adjustment meeting due to a lack of quorum. Please note that no other changes have been made to this project report. The applicant has submitted a Variance request to reduce the minimum required front yard setback for a commercial property from 25 feet to "0" feet as per Chapter 17.18.050(A) of the Rapid City Municipal Code. The applicant has also submitted a Vacation of Right-of-Way request (File #15VR012) for a portion of West Rapid Street where the structure encroaches into the right-of-way. On June 17, 2014, the Zoning Board of Adjustment granted a Variance (File #CIVAR14-0012) to reduce the minimum required front yard setback from 25 feet to 5 feet for a proposed 20 foot by 40 foot addition. The Variance also granted existing parking spaces on the west side of the property to back into Saint Onge Street right-of-way. The applicant constructed the proposed addition which encroaches 1.9 feet into the West Rapid Street right-of-way.

| Applicant Information | Development Review Team Contacts |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Applicant: GBA Inc, Bill Barber | Planner: Fletcher Lacock |
| Property Owner: Mark Schreiner | Engineer: Ted Johnson |
| Architect: N/A | Fire District: Tim Behlings |
| Engineer: N/A | School District: N/A |
| Surveyor: Renner and Associates | Water: Ted Johnson |
| Other: N/A | Sewer: Ted Johnson |

| Subject Property Information | |
|------------------------------|---|
| Address | 404 Saint Onge Street |
| Neighborhood | West Rapid |
| Subdivision | Green Acres Subdivision |
| Land Area | 0.29 acres (12,632 square feet) |
| Existing Buildings | Approximately 5,089 square feet |
| Topography | Generally level |
| Primary Access | West Rapid Street and Saint Onge Street |
| Water Provider | Rapid City |
| Sewer Provider | Rapid City |
| Electric/Gas Provider | Black Hills Power/ MDU |
| Floodplain | N/A |

| Subject Property and Adjacent Property Designations | | | |
|---|----------|---------------|------------------------|
| | Existing | Comprehensive | Existing Land Use(s) |
| | Zoning | Plan | |
| Subject | GC | MUC | Commercial structure |
| Property | | | |
| Adjacent North | MDR | UN | Single family dwelling |
| Adjacent South | GC | MUC | Office building |
| Adjacent East | GC | MUC | Commercial structure |
| Adjacent West | GC | MUC | Single family dwelling |



| Relevant Case History | | | |
|-----------------------|---------|--|----------|
| Case/File# | Date | Request | Action |
| CIVAR14-0012 | 6/17/14 | To reduce the front yard setback from 25 feet to 5 feet and to allow parking to back into the right-of-way | Approved |

| Relevant Zoning District Regulations | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|---|
| General Commercial District | Required | Proposed |
| Lot Area | N/A | 12,500 square feet |
| Lot Frontage | N/A | 225 feet |
| Maximum Building Heights | Four stories or 45 feet | One story |
| Maximum Density | 75% | 40% |
| Minimum Building Setback: | | |
| • Front | 25 feet | Requesting Variance to reduce to "0" feet |
| Rear | "0" feet | "0" feet |
| Side | "0" feet | 40 feet |
| Street Side | 25 feet | 19.7 feet from Saint Onge Street |
| Minimum Landscape Requirements: | | |
| # of landscape points | 7,425 | 8,190 |
| # of landscape islands | "0" | "O" |
| Minimum Parking Requirements: | | |
| # of parking spaces | 13 | 13 |
| # of ADA spaces | 1 van accessible | 1 van accessible |
| Signage | Two square feet for every linear square foot of frontage | No new signage proposed |
| Fencing | 8 feet | No fencing proposed |

| Applicant's Justification: | | |
|------------------------------|--|--|
| Pursuant to Chapter 17.54. | Pursuant to Chapter 17.54.020.D of the Rapid City Municipal Code, before the Board shall | |
| have the authority to gra | have the authority to grant a variance, the applicant must adequately address the | |
| following criteria: | | |
| Criteria: | Applicants Response (verbatim): | |
| 1. The granting of the | Not contrary to public interest: We have verified that no utilities, | |
| variance will not be | public or private are located within the land included in the | |
| contrary to the public | variance request or vacation of right of way request. We have | |
| interest. | also verified that all adjacent properties in the block are already | |
| | served by water, sewer, power, gas and communications | |
| | infrastructure and none of these facilities are located within the | |
| | lands impacted by the proposed variance or vacation of right of | |
| | way. The proposed Variance would not prohibit a adjacent | |
| | property from performing improvements on their site. | |
| 2. Due to special | Special Conditions result in undue hardship for applicant: | |
| conditions the literal | The structure is existing and serves the need of the building | |
| enforcement of the zoning | owner, without approval of variance the building size would be | |
| ordinance will result in | reduced to a size not serving the needs of the business at a | |
| unnecessary hardship. | financial loss not able to be supported. | |
| 3. By granting the variance | In the spirit and intent of the ordinance: Granting of this | |
| to the provisions of the | variance will allow adaptation of an existing structure to be | |
| zoning ordinance the spirit | compliant with local ordinance. The Owner has made | |
| of the zoning ordinance will | improvements to the property and enhanced the neighborhood | |

| be observed. | and community. The project is compliant with all other ordinance and building code requirements. |
|--------------|---|
| | Will Serve substantial justice: In the absence of approval demolition of the existing structure will be required causing financial hardship, loss of revenue and decrease the functionality of the business to the point that any growth will require a new location. |

| location. | | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| | | | |
| Board of Adjustment Criteria and Findings for Approval | | | |
| Should the Board of Adjustment grant the variance for a reduction in the required side | | | |
| yard setback, the followi | yard setback, the following criteria, findings, and conditions of approval would be | | |
| applicable: | | | |
| Criteria: | Findings: | | |
| 1. The variance is for a use | The requested Variance is a commercial structure which is a | | |
| allowed in the zoning | permitted use in the General Commercial District. | | |
| district. | | | |
| Conditions of Approval | | | |
| 1. The requested Variance shall be approved contingent upon the associated Vacation of | | | |
| Right-of-Way request (File #15VR012) being approved by City Council; and, | | | |
| 2. The Variance shall be for the existing structure only. Any redevelopment of the site shall be | | | |
| required to provide a minimum 5 foot setback from the original property line abutting West | | | |
| Rapid Street. | | | |
| | | | |

| Board of Adjustment Criteria and Findings for Denial | | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| Should the Board of Adjustment decide to deny the variance for a reduction in the | | | |
| | required side yard setback, the following criteria would be applicable: | | |
| Criteria: | Findings: | | |
| 1. There are special circumstances or conditions that do not apply generally in the district (exceptional narrowness, topography, etc). | On June 17, 2014, a Variance was granted to reduce the minimum required front yard setback from 25 feet to 5 feet. Subsequently, the approved 20 foot by 40 foot addition was constructed onto the north side of the existing building and now encroaches into the right-of-way. The applicant has also submitted a Vacation of Right-of-Way request to vacate the portion of West Rapid Street right-of-way where the structure encroaches. However, the minimum adjustment necessary was granted with the previous Variance request to reduce the front yard setback from 25 feet to 5 feet. | | |
| 2. The strict application of the provisions of the zoning ordinance denies any reasonable use of the land. | The applicant was granted a Variance to reduce the minimum required front yard setback from 25 feet to 5 feet. As noted above, the structure was built into the West Rapid Street right-of-way because the site plan was hand drawn and not accurate to the existing conditions. If the Variance is to be granted, it should be for the existing structure only. Any redevelopment of the site would be required to have a 5 foot setback as previously granted. | | |
| 3. The variance is the minimum adjustment necessary for the reasonable use of the land. 4. The variance is in | The Variance granted June 17, 2014, which reduced the minimum required front yard setback from 25 feet to 5 feet, is the minimum adjustment necessary. The applicant overbuilt the site because a surveyed site plan was not provided which identified the current conditions of the property. Staff was not in support of the original Variance request and cannot support this request as the minimum adjustment necessary has already been granted. | | |
| harmony with the general purposes and intent of the | Front yard setbacks exist to provide the following: adequate, safe separation between structures and from adjacent rights-of-way, sufficient open space for the maintenance of yards and the siding | | |

zoning ordinance and will not be injurious to the neighborhood, detrimental to the public welfare, or in conflict with the comprehensive plan for development.

of structures, and adequate open space for light and aesthetics for a neighborhood. The properties to the north are residential and the minimum required front yard setback provides an additional separation from residential properties.

The West Rapid Neighborhood Area identifies that existing commercial development is in need of reinvestment and revitalization. Though the structural addition encroaches into the right-of-way and requires a Variance, the addition supports the reinvestment in the commercial area. In addition, the property is identified as Mixed-Use Commercial in the City's adopted Comprehensive Plan which identifies buildings closer to the street, parking on the side of the building, and clear pedestrian connections as design principles. The subject property does meet these criteria.

Summary of Findings

On June 17, 2014, a Variance was granted to reduce the minimum required front yard setback on the subject property from 25 feet to 5 feet for a 20 foot by 40 foot addition. The applicant submitted a hand drawn site plan showing that the proposed addition would have a 5 foot setback. However, the applicant subsequently constructed the addition which actually encroaches 1.9 feet into the West Rapid Street right-of-way. The site plan was approximately 7 feet in error. The applicant has also submitted a Vacation of Right-of-Way request to vacate the portion of West Rapid Street right-of-way where the structure encroaches. Staff did not support the original Variance request. The minimum adjustment necessary was granted by the Zoning Board of Adjustment to reduce the front yard setback from 25 feet to 5 feet. Staff recommends that the Variance to reduce the front yard setback from 25 feet to "0" feet be denied as the request does not meet all of the criteria needed to grant a Variance. If the Zoning Board of Adjustment grants the Variance, staff recommends that the Variance be granted for the existing structure only and that any redevelopment of the site will require a minimum 5 foot setback from the original property line as previously granted.