

Rapid City Planning Commission Comprehensive Plan Amendment Project Report

September 24, 2015

Applicant Request(s)

Case # 15CA002; a request to amend the Major Street Plan by realigning a Minor Arterial Street.

Companion Case(s) #: N/A

Development Review Team Recommendation(s)

The Development Review Team recommends that the request to amend the Major Street Plan by realigning a Minor Arterial Street be continued to the October 8, 2015 Planning Commission meeting.


Project Summary Brief

The applicant is requesting to amend the Major Street Plan by realigning the future extension of North La Crosse Street approximately ¼ mile to the east of the current alignment as shown on the Major Street Plan from south of Neel Street to the Pennington/Meade County line.

Applicant Information	Development Review Team Contacts
Applicant: Freeland Meadows, LLC	Planner: Kip Harrington
Property Owner: Multiple	Engineer: Nicole Lecy
Architect: N/A	Fire District: Tim Behlings
Engineer: Sperlich Consulting	School District: Janet Kaiser
Surveyor: N/A	Water/Sewer: Nicole Lecy
Other:	DOT: Stacy Bartlett

Subject Property Information		
Address/Location	Sections 18 & 19, T2N, R8E	
Neighborhood	Northeast Area Neighborhood	
Subdivision	N/A	
Land Area	N/A	
Existing Buildings	N/A	
Topography	Variable	
Access	N/A	
Water Provider	N/A	
Sewer Provider	N/A	
Electric/Gas Provider	N/A	
Floodplain	FEMA Flood Zone A in areas	
Other	N/A	

Subject Property and Adjacent Property Designations			
	Existing Zoning	Comprehensive Plan	Existing Land Use(s)
Subject	N/A	N/A	N/A
Property			
Adjacent North	N/A	N/A	N/A
Adjacent South	N/A	N/A	N/A
Adjacent East	N/A	N/A	N/A
Adjacent West	N/A	N/A	N/A



Relevant Case History					
Case/File#	Date	Request			Action
07CA062	4/21/2008	Amendmer	nt to the Major Street Plan	to realign	Approved
		a Minor Art	terial Street		
		Relevar	t Zoning District Regula	tions	
General Co	mmercial D	istrict	Required		Existing
Lot Area			N/A	N/A	_
Lot Frontage			N/A	N/A	
Maximum B	uilding Heigh	nts	N/A	N/A	
Maximum D	ensity		N/A	N/A	
Minimum Bu	ilding Setba	ck:	N/A	N/A	
• Fron	t		N/A	N/A	
Real	r		N/A	N/A	
Side			N/A	N/A	
Street	et Side		N/A	N/A	
Minimum La	ndscape				
Requiremen	ts:				
• # of l	andscape po	oints	N/A	N/A	
• # of l	andscape is	lands	N/A	N/A	
Minimum Pa	rking Requir	ements:			
• # of	parking spac	es			
# of ADA spaces		N/A	N/A		
Signage	·		N/A	N/A	
Fencing			N/A	N/A	

Planning Commission Criteria and Findings for Approval or Denial		
Pursuant to Section 2.60.160.D of the Rapid City Municipal Code the Planning Commission shall consider the following criteria for a request to amend the		
Comprehensive Plan:	onowing criteria for a request to amend the	
Criteria	Findings	
1. Whether the proposed change is consistent with the policies and overall intent of the comprehensive plan.	The role of the Comprehensive plan is to serve as a tool for ensuring orderly, efficient, and resourceful growth and development in the community. The proposed change will result in a more orderly street network.	
2. Whether the proposed change is warranted by changed conditions within the neighborhood surrounding and including the subject property.	Residential development is currently taking place in the neighborhood surrounding the area of the proposed amendment.	
3. Whether and the extent to which the proposed amendment is compatible with existing and proposed uses surrounding the subject land.	The proposed amendment will provide access to proposed residential land use areas.	
4. Whether and the extent to which the proposed amendment would adversely affect the environment, services, facilities and transportation.	It appears that there is no adverse effect caused by realigning the proposed minor arterial street.	
5. Whether and the extent to which the proposed amendment would result in a logical and	The proposed amendment will result in a street network with more orderly spacing between arterial streets.	

orderly development pattern.	
	It appears that the proposed amendment will have no
which the proposed amendment	adverse effects, direct or indirect, on the city.
adversely affects any other part	
of the city, or creates any direct	
or indirect adverse effects.	

Planning Commission Comprehensive Plan Policy Guidance for Approval or Denial In considering an application for approval or denial the Planning Commission finds that the application either complies or does not comply with the following values, principles, goals, and policies within the Rapid City Comprehensive Plan:

	Comprehensive Plan Conformance – Core Values Chapters
	A Balanced Pattern of Growth
BPG-1.1A	Compact Growth: The requested realignment will encourage development adjacent to the established city limits.
	A Vibrant, Livable Community
LC-2.1A	Targeted Residential Growth Areas: The majority of the area in which the realignment is requested is within the Urban Services boundary.
1111111	A Safe, Healthy, Inclusive, and Skilled Community
SHIS-2.1A	Limited Development In Risky Areas: The requested realignment will move the location of a major intersection out of a FEMA-designated flood plain.
₹	Efficient Transportation and Infrastructure Systems
TI-2.1A	Major Street Plan Integration: The requested realignment will result in a more evenly spaced roadway network while continuing to provide a north/south transportation corridor.
8	Economic Stability and Growth
EC-3.2D	Residential Development in Existing Infrastructure and Service Areas: The requested realignment will allow for residential development to continue within the Urban Services Boundary.
	Outstanding Recreational and Cultural Opportunities
N/A	N/A
	Responsive, Accessible, and Effective Governance
GOV-2.1A	<u>Public Input Opportunities</u> : The amendment requires notification of surrounding property owners and posting of a sign on the property. The required certified mailing has not yet been sent. These notification requirements allow public input into the requested Comprehensive Plan Amendment. As of this writing, there have been no inquiries into the requested amendment.

Comprehensive Plan Conformance – Growth and Reinvestment Chapter

Future Land Use Plan Designation(s):		Urban Neighborhood, Rural Residential	
Design Standards:			
GDP-N1		ures: The requested realignment moves a major ed development of the surrounding land out of a	

Comprehensive Plan Conformance – Neighborhood Area Policies Chapter		
Neighborhood:	Northeast Area Neighborhood	
Neighborhood Goal/Policy:		
NE-NA1.1A	Residential Growth: The requested realignment allows for expansion and development north of Seger Drive, between Haines Avenue and Dyess Avenue.	

	Development Review Team Recommends that the request to amend the Major Street by realigning a Minor Arterial Street be continued for the following reasons:
•	The required certified mailings have not yet been sent out to the surrounding property owners. Continuing the item will allow for property owner input.
•	As a part of the current Seger Drive reconstruction project, additional flood plain analysis is being performed. More research is needed to determine how the flood plain may affect the proposed alignment and if a more appropriate alignment exists.

Staff recommends that the requested amendment the Major Street Plan to realign a Principal Arterial Street be continued to the October 8, 2015 Planning Commission meeting.