

STAFF REPORT
November 6, 2014

No. 14TI002 – Tax Increment District No. 75 Project Plan

ITEM 7

GENERAL INFORMATION:

APPLICANT	Hani Shafai – Technology Housing 2, LLC
AGENT	Dream Design International, Inc.
PROPERTY OWNER	Multiple property owners
REQUEST	No. 14TI002 – Tax Increment District No. 72 Project Plan
LEGAL DESCRIPTION	Lots 1 – 16 and the adjacent rights-of-way to Lots 1 – 16 of Block 5 of Denman’s Subdivision, located in Section 1, T1N, R7E, BHM, Rapid City, Pennington County, South Dakota.
PARCEL ACREAGE	Approximately 3.170 acres
LOCATION	South of East St. Joseph Street between Maple Avenue and Myrtle Avenue
EXISTING ZONING	General Commercial District
SURROUNDING ZONING	
North:	General Commercial District
South:	High Density Residential District
East:	General Commercial District
West:	General Commercial District
DATE OF APPLICATION	9/4/2014
REVIEWED BY	Patsy Horton

RECOMMENDATION: Staff recommends approving the attached resolution approving the E. St. Joseph Street Housing 2 Tax Increment District No. 75 Project Plan with the following stipulation:

1. That the development agreement associated with the Project Plan include language related to an independent appraisal as part of the land acquisition line item and that the City shall have an opportunity to participate in the selection of the appraisal firm.

GENERAL COMMENTS: The applicant has requested the creation of a tax increment district to assist in the development of property located along E. St. Joseph Street through the demolition of blighted property, public infrastructure improvements, and the redevelopment for housing. The tax increment funds will be utilized for property acquisition, demolition, alley reconstruction including burying the power lines, engineering, contingency, and necessary and convenient costs. The developer will obtain funding for the project costs of the tax increment district and be repaid from increment revenues.

STAFF REPORT
November 6, 2014

No. 14TI002 – Tax Increment District No. 75 Project Plan

ITEM 7

On September 23, 2014, the Tax Increment Review Committee denied the applicant's request to create a tax increment district. On October 23, 2014, the Planning Commission approved creating the district after the applicant appealed the Committee's decision.

The Tax Increment Guidelines do not allow for land acquisition or clearing and grading of land as an allowable cost without the authorization of the Rapid City Council. On August 18, 2014, the City Council authorized the applicant to submit property acquisition costs in the current proposal for a tax increment project.

The City Finance Officer will review and analyze the proposed financing terms and forward a recommendation for approval or disapproval to the City Council along with the development agreement or proposal for refinancing. For purposes of development of the project plan, all interest expenses shall be calculated utilizing a fixed rate not to exceed 9 percent annual interest as directed in the adopted Tax Increment Financing Guidelines. This interest amount shall be included in the project plan and at no time during the term of the project shall the actual interest expense exceed the amount budgeted in the project plan. The developer has indicated that the anticipated interest rate will be 7.5%. The Project Plan is estimated to be paid in 10 years at 7.5% interest and 11 years at 9% interest. The project costs will total \$1,062,000.

The approved Tax Increment Guidelines state that an Imputed Administrative Fee in the amount of \$20,000 shall be charged by the City of Rapid City to every tax increment district for which a Project Plan is approved. Such fee shall be paid to the City as a project cost from the tax increment fund balance in year five of the tax increment district.

It is anticipated that one or more of the properties in this proposed tax increment district will be used for commercial purposes. The property is currently zoned General Commercial District and will need to be rezoned to accommodate the proposed multi-family apartment complex development. The apartment will be identified as a commercial structure. As such, the creation of this tax increment district for economic development purposes will not require an additional levy to make up for the School District's share of the property taxes included in the tax increment. The redevelopment of blighted property will enhance the ability for new development to occur and increase the community's economic vitality and expand the City's property tax base.

The proposed District boundary incorporates approximately 3.17 acres located south of E. St. Joseph Street from Maple Avenue to Myrtle Avenue and includes all adjacent rights-of-way.

STAFF REVIEW: The Tax Increment Financing Project Review Committee reviewed this proposal on September 23, 2014 and denied the creation of the E. St. Joseph Street Housing 2 Tax Increment District. The Planning Commission approved creating the district on October 23, 2014 and directed staff to prepare the Project Plan. Staff's review follows.

Statutory Requirements: The applicant has indicated that the proposed project complies with all applicable statutory requirements as well as the City's adopted Tax Increment Policy.

Local Criteria: In addition to the statutory requirements, staff has reviewed the information

STAFF REPORT
November 6, 2014

No. 14TI002 – Tax Increment District No. 75 Project Plan

ITEM 7

provided by the applicant and the proposal met two of the six local criteria:

- Criterion #2: The project will eliminate actual or potential hazard to the public. Hazards may include condemned or unsafe buildings, sites, or structures.
- Criterion #3: The project will not provide direct or indirect assistance to retail or service businesses competing with existing businesses in the Rapid City trade area. (Although there are apartments within a close proximity to the proposed project, apartments are not considered a retail or service business, thus the proposed project does not compete with existing retail or service businesses.)

Additional Local Criteria:

- Criterion #1: The project must comply with the adopted Comprehensive Plan and all other appropriate plans and regulations.
- Criterion #2: The use of tax increment financing for the project will not result in the net loss of pre-existing tax revenues to the City and other taxing jurisdictions.
- Criterion #3: The proposed project costs, including land acquisition, environmental cleanup, public infrastructure improvements, engineering, contingency and necessary and convenient costs, are allowable project costs.

Discretionary Criteria: Additionally, staff has reviewed the following discretionary criteria submitted by the applicant that meets the adopted Tax Increment Policy:

- Criterion #5: The building or site that is to be redeveloped itself displays conditions of blight as established by the provisions of SDCL 11-9.
- Criterion #8: The project site has displayed a recent pattern of declining real property assessments, as measured by the Pennington County Director of Equalization.

Financial Information: As required on page 2 of the Guidelines, Item 4, the preliminary financial information provided by the applicant states that the developer will fund the TIF component through a loan at Great Western Bank. Although the terms of the loan have not been finalized, the applicant anticipates an interest rate of near 6%, but estimates the rate to be less than 7.5%. The amortization schedule included in the Project Plan calculates the interest expense allowable with this TIF at 9%.

Page 10, Item 4, of the Guidelines also specifies additional information the applicant is required to provide the Finance Officer in order to review and analyze the proposed financing terms. The Finance Officer then forwards a recommendation prior to Council's consideration of the proposed TIF, and includes:

- Fixed or variable interest rate, if variable state frequency of pricing adjustments
- Interest rate index
- Interest rate spread over/under index, if any
- Loan term
- Collateral

STAFF REPORT
November 6, 2014

No. 14TI002 – Tax Increment District No. 75 Project Plan

ITEM 7

- Guaranty requirements from the developer
- All identity of interests between developer and lender

Proposed Project Costs: The applicant has proposed the following costs to be paid by the tax increment generated by the housing development, including a square footage estimate for the 1.27 acre purchase:

TID Project Costs

Description	Total Cost	Cost per Sq. Ft
Property Acquisition	\$800,000	\$14.38
Environmental Cleanup & Demolition	\$100,000	\$1.80
Alley Reconstruction	\$100,000	\$1.80
Engineering	\$30,000	
Contingency	\$30,000	
Necessary and Convenient Costs	<u>\$2,000</u>	
Subtotal	\$1,062,000	
Financing Expenses	<u>\$1,031,847</u> (9%)	
Total	\$2,093,847	

Appraisal: In 2012, the Council also approved Tax Increment District #72, similar to the current proposal, two blocks east to include capital costs for property acquisition, environmental cleanup and demolition, and alley reconstruction, to include alley pavement and burial of the power lines. Language included in the associated development agreement identified reimbursement to the developer for up to \$10,000 for an independent appraisal as part of the property acquisition costs.

On August 18, 2014, the City Council authorized the applicant to submit property acquisition costs in the current proposal for a tax increment project. If this proposal is approved, staff recommends including language in the development agreement related to an independent appraisal and that the City shall have an opportunity to participate in the selection of the appraisal firm.

Land costs: The applicant has indicated that the project identified within the current proposal will be wholly-owned and operated by the applicant, unlike the project funded through Tax Increment District #72, also known as Rocker Square and Rocker Square #2. Both Rocker Square apartment buildings are leased and operated by the South Dakota School of Mines and Technology. In order to compare the operational costs of TID #72 and this current proposal, one option to address the operational difference is to exclude the land cost as determined by the independent appraisal. There are seven parcels within the proposed district boundary. The 2014 total valuation for those seven parcels comprising the proposed tax increment district is \$491,900, which is down from \$758,700 in 2008. The current land valuation for those seven parcels, as determined by the Pennington County Director of Equalization, is \$171,000.

STAFF REPORT
November 6, 2014

No. 14TI002 – Tax Increment District No. 75 Project Plan

ITEM 7

Copies of the adopted Tax Increment Financing Policy are attached for your reference.

The Tax Increment Financing Project Review Committee recommended denial of creating a tax increment district for additional housing on E. St. Joseph Street. Upon appeal, the Planning Commission approved creating the E. St. Joseph Street Housing 2 Tax Increment District #75 and directed staff to prepare the associated project plan.

Staff Recommendation: Staff recommends approving the attached resolution approving the E. St. Joseph Street Housing 2 Tax Increment District No. 75 Project Plan with the stipulation that the development agreement associated with the Project Plan include language related to an independent appraisal as part of the land acquisition line item and that the City shall have an opportunity to participate in the selection of the appraisal firm.