Rapid City Area Transportation Improvement Program

(Fiscal Years 2010-2014)

Prepared By:

Rapid City Transportation Planning Division

In Cooperation With:

Rapid City Public Works Department Pennington County Highway Department Meade County Highway Department City of Box Elder South Dakota Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration Federal Transit Administration

> Preparation of this document has been financed in part with Metropolitan Planning funds from the Federal Highway Administration

> > Draft Report July 2009

RAPID CITY AREA TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

(Fiscal Years 2010 - 2014)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

_

		Page
Abbre	eviations Used in this Document	iii
Metro	opolitan Transportation Planning Process Certification	V
I.	INTRODUCTION	
	A. Transportation Improvement Program	1
	B. Transportation Improvement Program in Perspective	2
II.	IDENTIFYING, EVALUATING AND SELECTING CANDIDATE PROJECTS	
	A. Project Selection and Prioritization	2
	B. Financial Constraint	3
III.	RECOMMENDED PROJECTS AND PROGRAMS	6
IV.	LIST OF PROJECTS	6
	RAPID CITY CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PROGRAM 2010-2014 Capital Improvements Program Project List	8
	PUBLIC TRANSIT PROJECTS Rapid City Public Transit	10
	CITY OF BOX ELDER 2010-2014 PROJECT LIST	11
	MEADE COUNTY 2010 CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	12
	PENNINGTON COUNTY FIVE-YEAR CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM 2010-2014 Project List	13
	PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS Public Transportation - Private Non-Profit Org	14

IV. LIST OF PROJECTS (con't)

STREET AND HIGHWAY PROJECTS

Interstate Maintenance Projects	15
State Highway System Urban Projects	17
Bridge Replacement Projects	19
State Trunk 3R Structure Projects	20
Economic Stimulus Package	
Railroad Crossing Improvement Projects	
Local Urban Systems Projects (STP)	23
Roadway Safety Improvement Projects	24
County Secondary and Off Systems Projects	25
Local Bridge Replacement Projects	26

RAPID CITY AREA TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENTS PROGRAM

(Fiscal Years 2010 - 2014)

- ABBREVIATIONS USED IN THIS DOCUMENT -

- IM Relates to either the interstate maintenance project funding category or the state system structure funding category (Resurfacing, Restoration and Rehabilitation) provided by the DOT under the terms of the ISTEA of 1991.
- ADA Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990. Mandates changes in building codes, transportation, and hiring practices to prevent discrimination against persons with disabilities. This act affects all existing and new public places, conveyances, and employers. The significance of ADA in transportation will be most obvious in transit operations, capital improvements, and hiring practices.
- CAAA Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990
- C & G Curb and Gutter
- CIP Capital Improvement Plan
- CY Calendar Year
- DM&E Dakota Minnesota and Eastern Railroad
- **DOT** United States Department of Transportation
- **EPA** United States Environmental Protection Agency
- FHWA Federal Highway Administration
- FTA Federal Transit Administration
- FY Fiscal Year
- **ISTEA** Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991
- MPO Metropolitan Planning Organization
- NHS National Highway System
- PCCP Portland Cement Concrete Pavement
- **PL** Metropolitan Planning Funds. Highway Trust Funds which have been set aside for transportation planning activities in Urbanized Areas. Funding is on an 81.95% 18.05% federal/local basis.
- **RACT** Reasonable Available Control Technologies which have been established by the EPA.

ABBREVIATIONS USED IN THIS DOCUMENT (Cont.)

- **RCATPP** Rapid City Area Transportation Planning Process. The local cooperative transportation planning program.
- **ROW** Right-Of-Way
- **SAFETEA-LU** Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users. This five year highway bill was approved in August of 2005 and authorizes the Federal surface transportation programs for highways, highway safety, and transit for the 5-year period 2005-2009 and represents the largest surface transportation investment in our Nation's history.
- **SEC 5307** Federal Program for capital improvements, i.e. terminals, shelters, mechanical equipment other than buses, computers, office equipment, etc. These funds, formerly known as Section 9 funds, have been available since FY 1984 through the Urban Mass Transportation Act of 1964 as amended by the Federal Transit Act of 1991. They provide resources for planning, capital and operating assistance. The match on planning and capital is 80% federal and 20% local; while the operating subsidy is 50% federal and 50% local.
- **SEC 5310** These funds, formerly known as Section 16 funds, are available through the Urban Mass Transportation Act of 1964 as amended. This authorizes capital grants to non-profit organizations to assist in providing transportation for the elderly and the handicapped. FTA provides 80% of the costs for equipment, and the 20% match must come from other than federal funds.
- **SDDOT** South Dakota Department of Transportation
- **STIP** State Transportation Improvement Program
- **STP** Surface Transportation Program
- **TIP** Transportation Improvement Program

v

Date

Title

Date

Title

South Dakota Department of Transportation

(8) and

(9) Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (29 U.S.C. 794) and 49 CFR part 27 regarding

The Older Americans Act, as amended (42 U.S.C. 6101), prohibiting discrimination on the basis of age in programs or activities receiving Federal financial assistance;

involvement of disadvantaged business enterprises in USDOT funded projects; 23 CFR part 230, regarding the implementation of an equal employment opportunity (5)

23 U.S.C. 134, 49 U.S.C. 5303, and this subpart;

sex, or age in employment or business opportunity;

program on Federal and Federal-aid highway construction contracts;

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION PLANNING PROCESS SELF-**CERTIFICATION STATEMENT**

In accordance with 23 CFR 450.334, the South Dakota Department of Transportation and the Rapid City Area Metropolitan Planning Organization for the Rapid City, South Dakota urbanized area hereby certify that the transportation planning process is addressing the major issues in the metropolitan planning area and is being conducted in accordance with all applicable

Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended (42 U.S.C. 2000d-1) and 49 CFR part

49 U.S.C. 5332, prohibiting discrimination on the basis of race, color, creed, national origin,

Section 1101(b) of the SAFETEA-LU (Pub. L. 109-59) and 49 CFR part 26 regarding the

The provisions of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (42 U.S.C. 12101 et seq.) and (6)

49 CFR parts 27, 37, and 38; (7)

Section 324 of title 23 U.S.C. regarding the prohibition of discrimination based on gender;

discrimination against individuals with disabilities.

Rapid City, South Dakota MPO Metropolitan Planning Organization

State Department of Transportation

Signature

Signature

requirements of:

(1)

(2)21:

(3)

(4)

RAPID CITY AREA TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

(Fiscal Years 2010 - 2014)

I. INTRODUCTION

A. <u>The Transportation Improvement Program</u>

A Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) is a staged, multi-year program of transportation improvements including highway and transit projects. The TIP is a five (5) year priority list, including a financial plan. The Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) and the State Department of Transportation (SDDOT) cooperate in project selection. All projects funded by the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users (SAFETEA-LU) must be included in the TIP.

The TIP should contain at least the following basic elements:

- 1. Identification of the project;
- 2. Estimated total cost and amount of federal funds proposed to be obligated during the program period;
- 3. Proposed source of federal and non-federal matching funds;
- 4. Identification of the recipient and, state and local agencies responsible for carrying-out the project;
- 5. A priority list of projects and project segments; and,
- 6. A financial plan.

The TIP is a "living" document. It can be amended with the approval of the Executive Policy Committee. The TIP focuses on projects that will require five (5) or less years to implement. Within the first four (4) years of the TIP, projects may be delayed or accelerated according to present needs, without requiring an amendment. This flexibility provides coordination among local and state agencies, saves money and decreases disruptions to the transportation system. The TIP is evaluated at year-end, and an annual increment of improvements is added to maintain a full multi-year program.

The TIP does not constitute an appropriation of funds, nor does it replace the normal funding program. The TIP is intended to serve as a fiscal management tool to assist state and local agencies in matching needs with resources. All major projects eligible for placement in the TIP must be selected from an approved Long Range Transportation Plan.

In developing the program, the MPO shall provide citizens, affected public agencies, representatives of transportation agency employees, other affected employee representatives, private transportation providers, and other interested parties a reasonable opportunity to comment on the proposed program. Because public involvement is a very important component of the TIP process, the public is given several opportunities to comment. The TIP is brought before the Rapid City Planning Commission, the Rapid City Council, and the Metropolitan Planning Organization committees. Public notices are printed in the local newspaper for all of the above meetings, and special public meeting notices are printed specifically for review of the TIP before the Metropolitan Planning Organization committees. The public is given the opportunity to comment in person at the meetings or submit comments during a specified

comment period. Responses are made in reply to any comment received, and significant comments are discussed between the Staff involved in the TIP process and ultimately the MPO committees for further discussion as identified in 23 CFR 450.316 (a)(2).

A public hearing will be held on July 16, 2009.

B. <u>The Transportation Improvement Program In Perspective</u>

SAFETEA-LU projects in urbanized areas must be included in a TIP which is based on a continuing, comprehensive planning process carried on cooperatively by the state and local communities. The rationale for requiring a TIP can be summarized in three (3) key points.

- 1. Transportation issues should be approached in a comprehensive fashion with participation from all affected parties;
- 2. A systematic, comprehensive approach to planning and initiating transportation improvements assists decision-makers in determining the location, timing and financing of needed improvements; and,
- 3. A cooperatively developed program of transportation improvements should facilitate the coordination of public and private improvements thereby eliminating duplication of effort and expense. The TIP development provides local officials and the general public the opportunity to identify, evaluate, and select short-range community transportation improvements.

The Rapid City Area TIP includes all identifiable transportation related improvement projects that may be undertaken in the planning area over the next five (5) years. Emphasis has been on area needs stated in the Long Range Transportation Plan. The guiding principle used in developing the Rapid City Area TIP was that: "the document should be a comprehensive transportation planning and fiscal management tool designed to assist state and local officials in the task of matching needed transportation improvements with available resources to accomplish the community's transportation goals as efficiently and effectively as possible".

II. IDENTIFYING, EVALUATING AND SELECTING CANDIDATE PROJECTS

A. <u>Project Selection And Prioritization</u>

Candidate improvement projects were identified by the appropriate local and state staffs with input from elected officials, private transportation carriers, and the Citizen's Advisory Committee. This input was utilized in developing a Long Range Transportation Plan. Only major projects identified in the approved Long Range Transportation Plan are selected as potential TIP projects.

The evaluation of candidate improvement projects and the selection of those to be included in the FY 2010-2014 TIP was based on the following considerations:

1. Prioritization of Projects: Candidate projects are prioritized to assess the relative importance of the projects, and to determine the appropriate year for project initiation. Consideration was given to compatibility with adopted community goals and objectives.

Priority was given to those projects and programs, which have been documented as needs in recently completed transportation plans or studies.

- 2. Economic Feasibility of Project: This phase of the process consisted of an evaluation of the cost of each project relative to the community's "total" transportation needs and resources. The financial plan demonstrates what funding source will be utilized, and ensures adequate fund allocation to secure all selected projects.
- 3. Other considerations: These considerations included a subjective assessment of the potential environmental, social and energy related impacts of the candidate projects. Such concerns or impacts have been documented in the Long Range Transportation Plan. Finally, state projects were examined so that local projects could be coordinated.

In terms of selecting a project for construction, SAFETEA-LU provides additional flexibility within the period of the first four (4) years. Any projects identified within the initial four (4) year period may be accelerated or moved back based on current funds, needs or priorities. If a newly identified project is to be considered for placement in the TIP, then it must be presented to the transportation planning committees for approval. If approved, an amendment is then placed on the existing TIP to identify the new project.

B. <u>Financial Constraint</u>

SAFETEA-LU requires that Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) Transportation Improvement Program be financially constrained and include a financial plan which demonstrates that funding is available for programmed projects. The Rapid City Area Transportation Improvement Program has been developed to meet this requirement, and outlines the available funding in the respective project categories.

All projects sponsored by the City of Rapid City are excerpts from the City's Capital Improvement Program (CIP). The CIP is a five-year plan for construction and infrastructure improvements and a committee develops and administers the plan. The five-year plan is revised and updated annually. Streets and Drainage, Government Buildings and the Parks and Recreation Subcommittees submit their requested five-year plan to the CIP Committee. The Committee reviews the requests and formulates the five-year plan based on available funding and priority. The plan is then presented to the Mayor, Planning Commission and City Council for approval. The City of Rapid City Capital Improvements Projects as they relate to transportation are found on Page 7.

The following funding sources have been identified for funding street projects.

1. **Assessments** – Cost recoveries levied against real property based upon the cost of improvements made by the city.

2. **Bond funds** – Funds derived from the issuance of general obligation or revenue bonds by the City. These bonds constitute an obligation of the City to repay principal and interest over a specified number of years from general or other revenues of the City.

3. **Enterprise Funds** – Cost recoveries from user fees or surcharges against real property based upon the cost of improvement by the City. These costs are charged within a specific enterprise fund (water, wastewater, landfill, etc.).

4. **Federal Funds** – Grants or loans from the federal government which are required to be used for specific purposes or projects.

5. **General Fund** – The fund used to account for all financial resources, except those required to be accounted for in another fund. The City's general fund accounts for revenues and expenditures of general property taxes, first penny sales tax, licenses and permits, etc.

6. **Other Funds** – Special revenue or trust funds that account for revenues restricted for specific purposes.

7. **State Funds** – Grants or loans from the State of South Dakota for specific purposes or projects.

8. **Sales Tax (2nd Penny)** – An additional one percent tax levied on gross receipts of retail business and service within the City's jurisdiction that may be used for specific purposes, primarily capital improvement projects and debt retirement.

9. **Tax Increment Financing** – Financing used to fund public investments in an area by capturing, for a time, all of the increased property tax revenue that results when public investment stimulates private investment.

10. **Infrastructure Development Partnership Fund** – Financing used to fund public improvements, including sewer and water system improvements, storm drainage improvements, street construction or street improvements and other public improvements.

Projects programmed for the upcoming year (2010) will be adopted as a part of the City budget. Projects scheduled for subsequent years (2011-2014) are tentatively programmed for implementation in those respective years. All projects beyond the current year are subject to annual review.

Fund	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Total
Streets (8910)	\$3,978,237	\$4,140,966	\$4,310,205	\$4,486,213	\$4,669,262	\$21,584,883
Drainage (8911)	\$2,652,158	\$2,760,644	\$2,873,470	\$2,990,809	\$3,112,840	\$14,389,921
Misc Improvements (8913)	\$150,000	\$150,000	\$150,000	\$150,000	\$150,000	\$750,000
STP (Urban Systems)	\$1,500,000	\$1,500,000	\$1,500,000	\$1,500,000	\$1,500,000	\$7,500,000
South Dakota DOT	\$5,212,000	\$2,726,000	\$647,000	\$1,048,000	\$2,244,000	\$11,877,000
Federal Funds	\$29,881,000	\$14,581,000	\$6,475,000	\$6,641,000	\$11,657,000	\$69,235,000
Total	\$43,373,395	\$25,858,610	\$15,955,675	\$16,816,022	\$23,333,102	\$125,336,804

Local funding will be provided by developer contributions, Tax Increment Financing and other local sources. The projected annual funding sources for the City of Rapid City's Capital Improvements Plan identified in the 2010-2014 TIP (pages 8 and 9) are listed above. Adequate funds have been committed to fund the City's local match for transportation projects.

Figure 2 below identifies the transportation expenditures within Rapid City, including both MPO TIP projects and Rapid City's CIP projects. A comparison between Figure 1 and Figure 2

identifies an adequate funding level for the transportation projects within Rapid City's total Capital Improvements Program.

Year	STP Projects	City of Rapid City CIP Projects	Proposed Transportation Expenditures			
2010	\$2,750,000	\$7,252,312	\$10,002,312			
2011	\$2,200,000	\$6,380,500	\$8,580,500			
2012	\$0	\$7,509,300	\$7,509,300			
2013	\$1,120,000	\$7,957,000	\$9,077,000			
2014	\$1,730,000	\$7,905,128	\$9,635,128			
Total	\$7,800,000	\$37,004,240	\$44,804,240			

Figure 2 – Local Urban System Projects (STP) and City of Rapid City CIP 2009-2013 Transportation Expenditures

The South Dakota Department of Transportation has dedicated Federal funding and will provide the match using State Fuel Tax Revenue.

State Projects	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	Total
Federal	\$14,185,000	\$8,948,000	\$6,205,000	\$3,651,000	\$9,699,000	\$42,688,000
State Match	\$3,651,000	\$2,300,000	\$617,000	\$815,000	\$1,872,000	\$9,255,000
Sub-Total	\$17,836,000	\$11,248,000	\$6,822,000	\$4,466,000	\$11,571,000	\$51,943,000
Local Urban System Projects (STP)					
Federal	\$1,189,000	\$1,804,000	\$0	\$917,000	\$1,418,000	\$5,328,000
State Match	\$1,561,000	\$396,000	\$0	\$203,000	\$312,000	\$2,472,000
Sub-Total	\$2,750,000	\$2,200,000	\$0	\$1,120,000	\$1,730,000	\$7,800,000
Roadway Safety Improvements		•				
Federal	\$0	\$1,710,000	\$270,000	\$270,000	\$540,000	\$2,790,000
State Match	\$0	\$30,000	\$30,000	\$30,000	\$60,000	\$150,000
Local Match	\$0	\$247,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$247,000
Sub-Total	\$0	\$1,987,000	\$300,000	\$300,000	\$600,000	\$3,187,000
Railroad Crossing Improvements						
Federal	\$110,000	\$81,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$191,000
Local Match	\$0	\$9,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$9,000
Sub-Total	\$110,000	\$90,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$200,000
County and Secondary Projects		•				
Federal	\$0	\$1,835,000	\$0	\$1,803,000	\$0	\$3,638,000
Local Match	\$0	\$3,165,000	\$0	\$397,000	\$0	\$3,562,000
Sub-Total	\$0	\$5,000,000	\$0	\$2,200,000	\$0	\$7,200,000
Local Bridge Replacement		•				
Federal	\$528,000	\$203,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$731,000
Local Match	\$132,000	\$51,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$183,000
Sub-Total t	\$660,000	\$254,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$914,000
Economic Stimulus	1					
Federal	\$13,869,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$13,869,000
Local Match	\$287,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$287,000
Sub-Total	\$14,156,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$14,156,000
Total for Fiscal Year	\$35,512,000	\$20,779,000	\$7,122,000	\$8,086,000	\$13,901,000	\$85,400,000

Rapid City Public transit receives funding from the Federal Transit Administration, the South Dakota Department of Transportation and the City of Rapid City. The breakdown of these funds and the Transit Program for 2010-2014 is included on page 10.

The City of Box Elder presently receives funding from the City's general fund. The City of Box Elder Five-Year Construction Program for 2010-2014 is included on page 11.

Pennington County presently receives funding from the County's general fund. Pennington County has committed funds to those County Secondary and Off System Projects (SDDOT) listed within this TIP. The Pennington County Five-Year Construction Program for 2010-2014 is included on page 13.

Meade County presently receives funding from the County's general fund. The Meade County Five-Year Construction Program for 2010-2014 is included on page 12.

The South Dakota Department of Transportation has dedicated Federal funding and will provide the match using State Fuel Tax Revenue.

III. RECOMMENDED PROJECTS AND PROGRAMS

A listing of projects, programs, and funding sources during Fiscal Years 2010 – 2014 follows. The projects are listed in order of priority as designated by private citizens, the Citizen's Advisory Committee, the Technical Coordinating Committee, the Executive Policy Committee, Planning Staff, and the South Dakota Department of Transportation (SDDOT). The recommended projects and programs have been grouped into "System or Functional Element" categories.

IV. LIST OF PROJECTS

<u>Page</u>

RAPID CITY FIVE-YEAR CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM 2010-2014 Capital Improvements Program Project List	;
PUBLIC TRANSIT PROJECTS Rapid City Public Transit1	0
CITY OF BOX ELDER 2010 – 2014 PROJECT LIST 1	1
MEADE COUNTY 2010 CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM 1	2
PENNINGTON COUNTY FIVE-YEAR CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM 2010-2014 Project List	3
PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS Public Transportation - Private Non-Profit Org1	4
STREET AND HIGHWAY PROJECTS Interstate Maintenance Projects	7 9 20 21 22 23 24 25

Capital Plan for Street, Drainage and MIP Projects 2010 through 2014 Summary

CIP #	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	
						Fund Total
50719		50,000	460 000			510,000
	60.000			60.000	60.000	300,000
						9,500
	2,000	1,000		1,000	2,000	365,000
	150 000		000,000			150,000
	100,000		35.000			35,000
			55,000		80.000	80,000
	124 500	130,800	137 300	144 000		684,920
		100,000	107,000	111,000	110,020	256,200
						250,200
		50.000	50.000	50.000		200,000
		00,000	00,000	00,000		868,000
	000,000			1 880 000		1,880,000
				1,000,000	1,550,000	1,550,000
	277 485		1 631 250			3,539,985
	2,1,100	137,750	1,001,200	148 625	1,001,200	286,375
	22,720			110,020		535,120
			25 000	25 000	25 000	125,000
	20,000	20,000	20,000		20,000	75,000
			79.000	10,000		79,000
	37 500	137 500		50,000	150 000	525,000
	37,300	137,300	130,000		130,000	2,295,000
			1 905 000	2,270,000		1,905,000
	1,000,000	935.000	1,700,000			1,935,000
		,00,000				450,000
						465,000
	100,000		58 000			58,000
			00,000		45 708	45,708
	750.000	750.000	750 000	750.000		3,750,000
	100,000	100,000	100,000	100,000		680,000
				400.000		400,000
					591,500	679,000
	4 788 905	2 789 450	5 708 050			24,966,808
						21,584,883
			1			(3,381,925)
	(010,000)	1,001,010	(1,077,010)	(1,117,712)	(1,010,010)	(0,001,720)
50719		30.000	185 000			215,000
		00,000	100,000	100 000		100,000
			105 000	100,000		105,000
			100,000		70 000	70,000
	68 000	72 000	75 000	77 250		371,850
	00,000	12,000	10,000	11,230		520,000
50025-1452	50,000				520,000	50,000
						30,000
	50719 50752 50630 5004-878 50751 50425 50141-990 89101nflat 50025-1452 50379 50138-1432 50119-1170 50019 50142-1511 50764 5077 50643-1777 50637 50707 50383 50134-1494 50421-1494 50421-1494 50424-1494 50424-1494 50421-1494 50421-1494 50424-1494 50421-1494 50457 50367 50367 50367 50367 50367 50367 50367 50367 50549 50364-1187 50457 50712 6 6 50719 50360-1451 50360-14	50752 60,000 50630 2,500 50004-878 50751 50751 150,000 50425 50141-990 8910Inflat 124,500 50309-1241 256,200 50379 50,000 50379 50,000 50379 50,000 50138-1432 868,000 50119-1170 50019 50019 227,000 50764 22,720 50637 25,000 50764 25,000 50764 25,000 50707 22,720 50637 25,000 50707 22,720 50383 3,7500 50383 50134-1494 50421-1494 1,000,000 50367 50360 50367 50360 50367 50360 50364-1187 50,000 50364-1187 50,000 50364-1187 3,978,237 50712 4,788,905 <	50752 60,000 50630 2,500 50004-878	50752 60,000 60,000 60,000 50630 2,500 1,000 2,500 50004-878 365,000 365,000 50751 150,000 350,000 50425 35,000 35,000 50141-990 130,800 137,300 50309-1241 256,200 100 50309-1241 256,200 50,000 50309-1241 256,200 50,000 50309-1241 256,200 100 50025-1452 250,000 50,000 50138-1432 868,000 100 5019 1 1,631,250 50142-1511 277,485 1,631,250 50643-1777 22,720 512,400 50643 137,500 25,000 50707 137,500 150,000 50383 79,000 50,000 50389 137,500 150,000 50387 2,000 25,000 50387 2,000 150,000 50389 10,00,00	50752 60,000 60,000 60,000 60,000 50630 2,500 1,000 2,500 1,000 5004-878 365,000 - - 50751 150,000 - - 50425 35,000 - - 50141-990 - - - 8910inflat 124,500 130,800 137,300 144,000 50309-1241 256,200 - - - 50025-1452 250,000 50,000 50,000 50,000 50138-1432 868,000 - 1,880,000 - 5019 - 1,631,250 - - 50764 137,750 148,625 - - - 50637 25,000 25,000 25,000 25,000 - - 50637 25,000 25,000 25,000 50,000 50,000 - - 50383 - 79,000 50,000 2,295,000 -	50752 60.000 60.000 60.000 60.000 60.000 50630 2.500 1.000 2.500 1.000 2.500 5004-878

Capital Plan for Street, Drainage and MIP Projects 2010 through 2014 Summary

	2010 throug	JII 2014 O	ammary				
Downtown Area Improvement Project - Phase 3 Const.	50119-1170				238,000		238,000
Downtown Area Improvement Project - Phase 4 Const.	50019					270,000	270,000
E. North St. Reconstruction, Quincy to Cambell	50142-1511	281,250		281,250		281,250	843,750
E. North Street Engineering	50764		23,750		25,625		49,375
Elm Ave. Reconstruction South - Phase 4	50643-1777	8,857	199,800				208,657
Erosion & Sediment Control Device Removal	50695	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000		20,000
Idelwild Box Culvert	50715			15,000	150,000		165,000
Jackson Blvd Reconstr. Mt. View to Rapid Creek	50351-1509a		1,500,000				1,500,000
Knollwood Drainage Elements 3 & 5 (Cambell, Hwy 44)	50020		614,000				614,000
Knollwood Outfall, Elements 2 & 20	50312-1390	1,350,000					1,350,000
Mall Drive Drainage Basin Design Plan	50711				150,000		150,000
Meade Channel, Birch St. Crossing Improvements	50378					165,000	165,000
Meade-Hawthorne Elements 47 and 240	50758	75,000	750,000				825,000
Metering Dam Expansion, SLR & Minnewasta	50384			325,000			325,000
Midwestern Levee Swale Improvements	50706				25,000		25,000
Mt View Drainage Improvements	50349				385,000		385,000
Robbinsdale Reconstruction Project - Engineering	50134-1494	37,500	137,500	150,000	50,000	150,000	525,000
Robbinsdale Reconstruction Project - Phase Four	50389-1494				575,000		575,000
Robbinsdale Reconstruction Project - Phase Three	50421-1494			510,000			510,000
Robbinsdale Reconstruction Project - Phase Two	50424-1494	100,000	100,000				200,000
Silver St. Area Utility Improvements	50418-1656	150,000					150,000
South Creek Drainage	50763					140,000	140,000
St. Andrews Reconstruction - Phase 2	50714	75,000					75,000
Viewfield Detention Dam Outlet Structure	50412				60,000	140,000	200,000
W. Chicago Street/Drainage Reconst, Seeaire- Wedge	50364-1187					225,000	225,000
Project Total 8911 - Drainage		2,313,407	3,432,050	1,651,250	1,840,875	2,040,850	11,278,432
Budget for 8911 - Drainage		2,652,158	2,760,644	2,873,470	2,990,809	3,112,840	14,389,921
Budget Minus Project Total		338,751	(671,406)	1,222,220	1,149,934	1,071,990	3,111,489
8913 - Misc Improvements							
11th St. Railroad Crossing	50173-1504		9,000				9,000
ADA Compliance Project	50761	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	250,000
Miscellaneous Improvement Projects (MIP)	50298	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	250,000
Out-of-the-Dust, Various Locations	50297	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	250,000
Project Total 8913 - Misc. Improvements		150,000	159,000	150,000	150,000	150,000	759,000
Budget for 8913 - Misc. Improvements		150,000	150,000	150,000	150,000	150,000	750,000
Budget Minus Project Total		0	(9,000)	0	0	0	(9,000)
Budget Totals - Streets, Drainage, MIP		6,780,395	7,051,610	7,333,675	7,627,022	7,932,102	36,724,804
Project Totals - Streets, Drainage, MIP		7,252,312	6,380,500	7,509,300	7,957,000	7,905,128	37,004,240
Budget Totals Minus Project Totals		(471,917)	671,110	(175,625)	(329,978)	26,974	(279,436)
Total Cumulative Balance		79,230	750,340	574,715	244,737	271,711	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,

RAPID CITY TRANSIT

Project Number	Project Description	Estimated Costs	Funding Sources
	CALENDAR YEAR 2010		
Rcpts. 10-1	Annual Operating Assistance for Fixed Route	\$707,525.00	Federal (Sec 5307)
	and Dial-A-Ride service	\$598,099.00	Local
		<u>\$28,425.00</u>	State
		\$1,334,049.00	TOTAL
	CALENDAR YEAR 2011		
Rcpts.11-1	Annual Operating Assistance for Fixed Route	\$728,751.00	Federal (Sec 5307)
	and Dial-A-Ride service	\$616,042.00	Local
		<u>\$28,425.00</u>	State
		\$1,373,218.00	TOTAL
	CALENDAR YEAR 2012		
Rcpts. 12-1	Annual Operating Assistance for Fixed Route	\$750,614.00	Federal (Sec 5307)
	and Dial-A-Ride service	\$634,523.00	Local
		<u>\$28,425.00</u>	State
		\$1,413,562.00	TOTAL
	CALENDAR YEAR 2013		
Rcpts. 13-1	Annual Operating Assistance for Fixed Route	\$773,132.00	Federal (Sec 5307)
	and Dial-A-Ride service	\$653,559.00	Local
		<u>\$28,425.00</u>	State
		\$1,455,116.00	TOTAL
Rcpts. 13-2	Capital assistance for purchase of eight 30ft. ADA	\$1,162,000.00	Federal (Sec 5307/5309)
	approved transit vehicles	\$238,000.00	Local
		\$1,400,000.00	TOTAL
Rcpts. 13-3	Capital assistance for purchase of four ADA	\$381,800.00	Federal (Sec 5307/5309)
	approved paratransit vehicles	<u>\$78,200.00</u>	Local
		\$460,000.00	TOTAL
	CALENDAR YEAR 2014		
Rcpts. 14-1	Annual Operating Assistance for Fixed Route	\$796,326.00	Federal (Sec 5307)
	and Dial-A-Ride service	\$673,166.00	Local
		<u>\$28,425.00</u>	State
		\$1,497,917.00	TOTAL
Rcpts. 14-2	Capital assistance for purchase of four ADA	\$400,890.00	Federal (Sec 5307)
	approved paratransit vehicles	<u>\$82,110.00</u>	Local
		\$483,000.00	TOTAL

	Box Elder Planned Roadway Improvement Projects									
M		Distance		A	nticipated					
Year	Roadway	(Miles)	Improvement Type		Cost					
2010	Radar Hill Rd	1	Reconstruction for Vertical & Horizontal Realignment	\$	200,000					
2011	Structure on Spruce St over Box Elder Creek		Structure & Approach Grading	\$	100,000					
			Total	\$	300,000					

Meade County

2010

Information was not available for Draft Report.

TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PLAN FOR PENNINGTON COUNTY 2010-2014

r													1		
Year	Project	Length	Bridge Number	Location	Type of Improvement	Estimated Cost	Unorg Road Reserves	Rd & Brdg Unobligated Reserves	HES Funds	Federal Bridge Funds	Federal Priority Funds	Forest Highway Funds	STP Funds	Rd & Brdg	Total Funding
2010	P 6403(5)	2.5		Deerfield Road from Hill City Northwest	Grading, Drainage, Base Course, & Asphalt Surface	\$3,000,000						\$3,000,000		\$0	\$3,000,000
2010	BRO 8052(45)	0.2		1.0 S & 3.5 E of New Underwood over Box Elder Creek	Structure & Approach Grading	\$247,000				\$197,600				\$49,400	\$247,000
2011	BRO 8052(51)		940-159	Structure 2E & 2N of Creighton over Stockdam Spillway	Structure Rehabilitation	\$222,000				\$177,600				\$44,400	
2011	EM-BRF 6403(6)	9.5		South Rochford Road from Rochford south to end of Deerfield Road asphalt and Bridge 162-272	Grading, Drainage, Base Course, & Asphalt Surface	\$10,200,000		\$1,500,000			\$7,500,000				\$9,000,000
2012	P 6480(4)	2.5		Sheridan Lake Road from Alberta Drive to Victoria Lake Road	Grading, Base Course, Curb & Gutter, Storm Sewer, Asphalt Surfacing	\$5,000,000		\$1,600,000					\$3,000,000		\$4,600,000
2012	BRO 8052(53)	0.1		6.7 E of New Underwood (Highway 14/16) over Creek	Structure Rehabilitation	\$190,000				\$152,000				\$38,000	\$190,000
2012	BRO 8052(54)	0.1		5.6 E of New Underwood (Highway 14/16) over Creek	Structure Rehabilitation	\$192,000				\$153,600				\$38,400	\$192,000
2013	BRO 8052()	0.1		0.8 W of Keystone over Battle Creek	Structure Rehabilitation	\$174,000				\$139,200				\$34,800	\$174,000
2014	P6403	14.5		Deerfield Road from 1.5 mi. NE of Deerfield, SW, SE, and E 14.5 mi. to the intersection of FR 304	Asphalt Concrete Surfacing (Total = \$2.3; STP/State Match = \$1.57; Local Funds = \$0.73)	\$2,300,000							\$1,570,000	\$730,000	\$2,300,000
				Totals	Totals:	\$21,525,000	\$0	\$3,100,000	\$0	\$820,000		\$3,000,000	\$4,570,000	\$935,000	\$19,703,000

Rapid City Area Transportation Improvement Program 2010 - 2014

PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION (PRIVATE NONPROFIT)

The Rapid City Area Metropolitan Planning Organization anticipates the following requests for vehicles from the local private nonprofit groups for Section 5310 (formerly Section 16) funding. Applications will be forwarded directly to the Office of Local Transportation Programs, South Dakota Department of Transportation, for consideration against the applications received Statewide. This list does not imply that any of the following vehicle requests will be funded within the Rapid City Area Metropolitan Planning Organization

Information was not available for Draft Report.

South Dakota Transportation Improvement Program Tentative 2010 - 2014 STIP Report Date 6/17/2009

By Cat	egory								Interstat	e Mainter	ance Projects
tem	Project Number	PC #	County	Length	Route	Location of Project	Type of Improvement		^F ederal Funds [¥]	Fiscal Year	Total Cost(Mil \$)
5.00	IM 1902(64)0	01XD	Pennington	0.0	I190N I190S	I190 - Over Silver Street in Rapid City, 1.2 S of the I90 Interchange	Joint Repr, Spall Repr, PCC Panel Repl, Epoxy Chip Seal, Bent Cap, Bearing and Girder Repair and Berm Slope Protection Repair	0).519	2010	0.571
7.00	IM 0903(79)68	00GG	Jackson Pennington	0.0	190E 190W US14E	I90 - Over Co Rd, 8.0 E of New Underwood Intch; Over Co Rd & Co Rd, 8.0 & 6.0 W of Wasta Intch; Over Whitewater Crk, 6.3 NW of SD240S Intch, Over Co Rd, 1.9 E of the Box Elder Interchange; Over RR; Wasta Interchange; US14E Over I90; US14 Interchange; Over Co Rd 4.4 SE of US14 Interchange	Epoxy Chip Seal	C).868	2010	1.031
3.00	IM 0901(151)0	01MD	Jackson Lawrence Meade Pennington	0.0		I90 - Rapid City Area	Replace Interstate Fence	C).163	2010	0.179
8.00	IM 0902(108)60	0123	Pennington	0.1	190E 190W	190 - Exit 60	Approach Slabs & Adjacent Surfacing of Ramps Mainline for Exit 60).636	2010	0.714
							2010	0.1 M	liles	2.495	
6.00	IM 0901(152)0	01MF	Jackson Lawrence Meade Pennington	0.0		I90 - Rapid City Area	Replace Interstate Fence	C).159	2011	0.175
							2011	0.0 M	liles	0.175	

¥

Ву Са	itegory									Interstat	e Mainter	ance Projects
Item	Project Number	PC #	County	Length	Route	Location of Project	Type of Impr	rovement		Federal Funds [¥]	Fiscal Year	Total Cost(Mil \$)
50.00	*IM 0901(108)40	3465	Meade	5.1	190E	I90 EBL - South I90 Service Road fm Exit 40 to Exit 44 & North I90 Service Road fm Exit 44 to Exit 46	e North I90 Se	ervice Road, ROW; ervise Road, Grading, g, New Culvert &		6.205	2012	6.822
								2012	5.1	Miles	6.822	
57.00	IM 0902(145)61	01XA	Pennington	0.3	190E 190W	l90 - Exit 61		abs, Landscaping & rfacing of Ramps for		1.930	2014	2.168
								2014	0.3	Miles	2.168	
							Total for Category	02	5.5	Miles	11.660	

-	tegory Brainet Number	PC #	County	Longth	Route	Logation of Project	Type of Improvement		ederal	Fiscal	Total
tem	Project Number	PC#	County	Length	Roule	Location of Project	Type of Improvement		Funds ¥		Cost(Mil \$
2.00	P 0044(137)46	01BP	Pennington	3.3	SD44	SD44 - Omaha St. from Poplar St. to Longview Dr. in Rapid City.	Saw & Seal PCCP, Joint Repair, Crack Sealing, Spall Repair & PCCI Panel Replacement		0.682	2010	0.852
.00	*NH 2016(17)64	6875	Pennington	3.0	US16B	US16B - Fm US16 to SD79 in Rapid City	Urban Grading, PCC Surfacing & Traffic Signals	1	1.102	2010	14.226
.00	NH 016B()70	026U	Pennington	0.0	US16WB	US16B - Intersection of US16B/Turbin & Intersection of US16B/Concourse	Add Right Turn Lane	C).215	2010	0.263
							2010	6.3 M	iles	15.341	
3.00	P 0044(146)47 Total cos		Pennington ect is \$0.988.	0.0 City will func	SD44 I the other \$	SD44 - Knollwood Drainage Improvement at the Intersection of SD44/Campbell Street in Rapid City 0.494 of this with 100% City Funds	Construct Box Culvert, Just North of the intersection on Campbell	C).217	2011	0.265
4.00	P 0044(129)42	00X9	Pennington	1.2	SD44	SD44 - (Jackson Blvd.) fm Rapid Creek to Mt View Rd in Rapid City	Urban Grading, Storm Sewer, C&G, Sidewalk, Lighting, Signals & PCC Paving		5.113	2011	7.808
5.00	SA 0718(01)	00KC	Pennington	1.7		East Blvd, fm St Joe St. to North St. & North St. fm East Blvd to Cambell St. in Rapid City	Urban Grading & PCCP Surfacing	2	2.459	2011	3.000
							2011	2.9 M	iles	11.073	
24.00	SA 0718(01)	00KC	Pennington	1.7		East Blvd, fm St Joe St. to North St. & North St. fm East Blvd to Cambell St. in Rapid City	Urban Grading and PCCP Surfacing	2	2.458	2013	2.999
							2013	1.7 M	iles	2.999	
0.00	P 0016()67	01TH	Pennington	0.8	US16	US16 - Fm End of the Divided Segment on the S Side of Rapid City to St Patricks St in Rapid City	Grading, PCCP Surfacing		 134	2014	8.705
							2014	0.8 M	iles	8.705	
Page	Eï					¥ Costs reflect anticipated inf	lation		HC6	5Coding.r	nt

¥

Ву Са	tegory								Sta	ite Highway	System L	Jrban Projects	
Item	Project Number	PC #	County	Length	Route	Location of Project	Type of Imp	rovement		Federal Funds [¥]	Fiscal Year	Total Cost(Mil \$)	¥
							Total for Category	17	11.7	Miles	38.118		

By Ca	tegory									Bridg	e Replace	ement Projects	
Item	Project Number	PC #	County	Length	Route	Location of Project	Type of Impr	ovement		Federal Funds		Total Cost(Mil \$)	¥
34.00	BRF 016L(01)69 BRF 0044(143)46	00KS 01CZ	Pennington	0.0	SD44 US16EB US16WB	US16B - SE Connector - Over SD44/Railroad & Over Rapid Creek; SD44 - Over Rapid Creek 0.8 W of the US16B Jct	Epoxy Chip S	Seal		0.388	2013	0.486	-
								2013	0.0	Miles	0.486		_
						Т	otal for Category	22	0.0	Miles	0.486		_

By Ca	tegory								State Trur	nk 3R Stru	cture Projects	
Item	Project Number	PC #	County	Length	Route	Location of Project	Type of Improvement		Federal Funds [¥]	Fiscal Year	Total Cost(Mil \$)	¥
35.00	P 0016()67	6492	Pennington	0.0	US16E	US16 - EBL, Tower Road, Non Federal-Aid Co Rd over US16 1.9 S of SD44 in Rapid City	Epoxy Chip Seal, Joint Modification & Zone Paint		0.150	2013	0.182	-
43.00	P 0044()39	01RC	Pennington	0.0	SD44 SD44E SD44W	SD44 - 1.9 & 4.2 SW of Jct of SD79 over Rapid Crk; 3.6 SW of Jct SD79 over Cleghorn Canyon	Deck Overlay, Approach Guard Rail, Approach Slabs & Replace Bridge Rail		0.655	2013	0.799	-
							2013	0.0	Miles	0.981		-
						То	tal for Category 30	0.0	Miles	0.981		-

Ву Са	ategory							E	Economic Stir	nulus Package
Item	Project Number	PC #	County	Length	Route	Location of Project	Type of Improvement	Fede		Total S
10.00	ES 0902(144)62	01QQ	Pennington	31.9	190E 190W	I90 - EBL & WBL, Fm W of Dusters Corner to the Wasta Hill	Mill & Class S Overlay	13.86	9 2010	14.156
							2010	31.9 Miles	14.156	
							Total for Category 39	31.9 Miles	14.156	

Ву Са	itegory							Railrc	oad Crossing	g Improve	ment Projects
tem	Project Number	PC #	County	Length	Route	Location of Project	Type of Improvement		Federal Funds [¥]	Fiscal Year	Total Cost(Mil \$)
.00	PP 000S(152)	5159	Butte Custer Fall River Lawrence Meade Pennington	0.0		Dakota, Minnesota & Eastern Railroad Crossings, Fm Nebr S of Oelrichs to Wyoming NW of Belle Fourche.	Replace Railroad Crossing Crossbuck Signs		0.110	2010	0.110
							2010	0.0	Miles	0.110	
6.00	PP 8052(41)	1432	Pennington	0.0		11th St W of West Blvd in Rapid City	Railroad Crossing Flashing Light		0.081	2011	0.090
						DM&E #190-273R	Signals				
								0.0	Miles	0.090	

By Cat	egory								Local	Urban Sy	stem Projects
em	Project Number	PC #	County	Length	Route	Location of Project	Type of Improvement		Federal Funds [¥]	Fiscal Year	Total Cost(Mil \$)
00	P 1650(00)	005Q	Pennington	0.3		Eglin Street, Fm East North Street E to the DOT Complex Entrance in Rapid City	Grading, Traffic Signals, Lighting, Storm Sewer, Curb & Gutter, Lighting & PCC Paving		0.000	2010	1.300
00	P 1608(05)	00JF	Pennington	0.5		Mall Drive Fm Lowes Driveway to Disk (Maple) in Rapid City	Grading, Intersection Imp, Traffic Signals, C&G, Storm Sewer, Sidewalk, PCCP & Lighting		1.189	2010	1.450
							2010	0.8	Miles	2.750	
3.00	P 1608(04)	01FP	Pennington	0.6		Mall Drive fm Disk (Maple) to LaCrosse Street in Rapid City	Grading, Intersection Imp, Traffic Signals, C&G, Storm Sewer, Sidewalk, PCCP & Lighting		1.804	2011	2.200
							2011	0.6	Miles	2.200	
2.00	P 1648(04)	005V	Pennington	0.2		Anamosa Street fm Midway Street to Holcomb Street in Rapid City	Grading, Widening, C & G, PCCP Surfacing, Storm Sewer, Intersection Improvements, Traffic Signals, Sidewalk, Lighting, ROW		0.917	2013	1.120
							2013	0.2	Miles	1.120	
).00	P 1648(05)	01TV	Pennington	0.3		Anamosa St. from Holcomb to Milwaukee in Rapid City	Grading, Widening, C&G, PCCP, Storm Sewer, Intersection Improvements, Traffic Signals, Sidewalk, Lighting, ROW		1.418	2014	1.730
							2014	0.3	Miles	1.730	
							tal for Category 71	4.0	Miles	7.800	

By Ca	tegory								Roadw	ay Safety	/ Improvemen
Item	Project Number	PC #	County	Length	Route	Location of Project	Type of Improvement		Federal Funds [¥]	Fiscal Year	Total Cost(Mil \$)
23.00	PH 1614(01) Any Cos		Pennington 10 in Federal Fu	1.0 nds availab	le will be t	Radar Hill Rd - From 228th St South to 229 St the responsibility of the City of Box Elde	Horizontal Realignment		1.440	2011	1.687
3.00	PH 000S(231)	02CG	Regionwide	0.0		Various Locations on the State System in the Rapid City Region	Install Rumble Strips		0.270	2011	0.300
							2011	1.0	Miles	1.987	
57.00	PH 000S(232)	02CH	Regionwide	0.0		Various Locations on the State System in the Rapid City Region	Install Rumble Strips		0.270	2012	0.300
							2012	0.0	Miles	0.300	
71.00	PH 000S(233)	02CJ	Regionwide	0.0		Various Locations on the State System in the Rapid City Region	Install Rumble Strips		0.270	2013	0.300
							2013	0.0	Miles	0.300	
94.00	PH 000S(234)	02CK	Regionwide	0.0		Various Locations on the State System in the Rapid City Region	Install Rumble Strips		0.270	2014	0.300
							2014	0.0	Miles	0.300	
							Total for Category 75	1.0	Miles	2.887	

, 00	tegory							ounty e		,	stem Projects
tem	Project Number	PC #	County	Length	Route	Location of Project	Type of Improvement		Federal Funds ¥	Fiscal Year	Total Cost(Mil \$)
8.00	P 6480(04) Total Pro	5777 iject Cost =	Pennington \$5.0 mill.; Avai	9.6 lable STP fu	unds and S	Sheridan Lake Road from Jct. of US385 to Alberta Road State Match = \$2.24 mill.; Local Funds = \$2.7	Grading, Base Course, C&G, SS AC Surfacing 76 mill.	&	1.835	2011	5.000
							2011	9.6	Miles	5.000	
71.00	P 6491(06) P 6446(04)	6358 6359	Meade	10.0		Elk Vale Road (FAS 6491) from 225 St at the South Co. Line, N 6 mi. to Elk Creek Road and Elk Creek Road (FAS 6446) from Elk Vale Road, W 4 mi. to N. Haines Ave	Grading & Gravel Surfacing		1.803	2014	2.200
							2014	10.0	Miles	2.200	

Ву Са	tegory								Local Bridg	e Replace	ement Projects
Item	Project Number	PC #	County	Length	Route	Location of Project	Type of Improvement		Federal Funds	Fiscal ¥ Year	Total Cost(Mil \$)
3.00	BRO 8052(56)	H100	Pennington	0.2		Str Over Rapid Creek on Creek Dr N of St Patrick St in Rapid City	Structure & Approach Grading		0.528	2010	0.660
							2010	0.2	Miles	0.660	
32.00	BRO 8052(58)	H081	Pennington	0.2		Str on Spruce Street Over Box Elder Creek in Box Elder	Structure & Approach Grading		0.203	2011	0.254
							2011	0.2	Miles	0.254	
						То	tal for Category 93	0.4	Miles	0.914	