## No. 08VE029 - Vacation of a Sign Easement

**ITEM 37** 

### **GENERAL INFORMATION:**

APPLICANT/AGENT Dream Design International, Inc.

PROPERTY OWNER Midland Rushmore, LLC

REQUEST No. 08VE029 - Vacation of a Sign Easement

**EXISTING** 

LEGAL DESCRIPTION Lot 4 of Block 3 of Rushmore Crossing, located in the

SE1/4 of the SW1/4, Section 29, T2N, R8E, BHM, Rapid

City, Pennington County, South Dakota

PARCEL ACREAGE Approximately 2.41 acres

LOCATION South of I-90 and north of Eglin Street

EXISTING ZONING General Commercial District (Planned Commercial

Development)

SURROUNDING ZONING

North: General Commercial District

South: General Commercial District (Planned Commercial

Development)

East: General Commercial District (Planned Commercial

Development)

West: General Commercial District (Planned Commercial

Development)

PUBLIC UTILITIES City sewer and water

DATE OF APPLICATION 11/14/2008

REVIEWED BY Vicki L. Fisher / Ted Johnson

#### RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends that the Vacation of a Sign Easement be denied without prejudice.

#### **GENERAL COMMENTS:**

The applicant has submitted a Vacation of a Sign Easement request to vacate a previously recorded sign easement located in the northern portion of the property. In addition, the applicant has submitted an Initial and Final Commercial Development Plan (File #08PD061) to allow for the construction of a 20,258 square foot commercial structure on the property.

The property is located south of Interstate 90 and north of Eglin Street. Currently, the property is void of any structural development.

# STAFF REPORT December 18, 2008

## No. 08VE029 - Vacation of a Sign Easement

**ITEM 37** 

## **STAFF REVIEW**:

Staff has reviewed the Vacation of Sign Easement request and has noted the following considerations:

<u>Sign</u>: The sign easement was originally recorded as a miscellaneous document in 2005. The easement specifically grants the use of the easement to "Atlantis LLC". Since the City has no interest in the easement, the City cannot vacate the document. As such, staff is recommending that the Vacation of Sign Easement be denied without prejudice.