

STAFF REPORT  
December 18, 2008

---

**No. 08SR017 - SDCL 11-6-19 Review to allow the construction of a sign on public property** **ITEM 23**

---

GENERAL INFORMATION:

APPLICANT/AGENT	Lon Van Deusen for City of Rapid City
PROPERTY OWNER	City of Rapid City
REQUEST	<b>No. 08SR017 - SDCL 11-6-19 Review to allow the construction of a sign on public property</b>
EXISTING LEGAL DESCRIPTION	Tract 27 of Rapid City Greenway Tract, Section 31, T2N, R8E, Section 6, T1N, R8E, BHM, Rapid City, Pennington County, South Dakota
PARCEL ACREAGE	Approximately 32.038 Acres
LOCATION	300 E. Omaha Street
EXISTING ZONING	Flood Hazard District
SURROUNDING ZONING	
North:	Medium Density Residential District - Office Commercial District - General Commercial District
South:	General Commercial District - Flood Hazard District
East:	Medium Density Residential District - Low Density Residential District - General Commercial District
West:	General Commercial District - Flood Hazard District - Park Forest District - Low Density Residential District
PUBLIC UTILITIES	City Water/Sewer
DATE OF APPLICATION	2/25/2008
REVIEWED BY	Jared Ball / Ted Johnson

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends that the SDCL 11-6-19 Review to allow the construction of a sign on public property be continued to the **January 22, 2009** Planning Commission meeting.

GENERAL COMMENTS: (Updated: December 5, 2008. All revised and/or added text is shown in bold print.) This item was continued at the December 4, 2008 Planning Commission meeting because the required information had not been submitted for review and approval. As of this writing, the applicant has not submitted a complete site plan drawn to scale identifying the existing signs, utility locations, existing structures, and other features located on-site. In addition, the applicant has not demonstrated that the proposed sign will be located outside of the sight distance

STAFF REPORT  
December 18, 2008

---

**No. 08SR017 - SDCL 11-6-19 Review to allow the construction of a sign on public property** **ITEM 23**

---

**triangle. If the required information is not submitted by January 5, 2009 staff will recommend that the SDCL 11-6-19 Review to allow the construction of a sign on public property be denied without prejudice at the January 22, 2009 Planning Commission meeting. As such, staff recommends that the SDCL 11-6-19 Review be continued to the January 22, 2009 Planning Commission meeting to allow the applicant to submit the required information.**

(Update: November 7, 2008. All revised and/or added text is shown in bold print.) This item was to be heard at the November 6, 2008 Planning Commission Meeting. However, due to inclement weather, the Planning Commission was unable to meet.

(Update: October 24, 2008. All revised and/or added text is shown in bold.) This item was continued at the October 23, 2008 Planning Commission meeting because all of the required information had not been submitted. As such, staff recommends that the SDCL 11-6-19 Review to allow the construction of a sign on public property be continued to the November 20, 2008 Planning Commission meeting.

This is a request by the City of Rapid City- Parks Division for approval to construct an identification sign on public land pursuant to the requirements of an SDCL11-6-19. The property is located in the Flood Hazard Zoning District at Roosevelt Park north of East Omaha street between North Maple Avenue and Waterloo Street. The applicant is proposing to replace the existing sign with an 8 foot x 4 foot identification sign that is 5 feet 6 inches tall and reads "Welcome to Roosevelt Park". The sign will be located at the north west corner of South Waterloo Street and East Omaha Street adjacent to the basketball court.

**STAFF REVIEW:**

The applicant has submitted a site plan identifying the proposed sign location. The submitted site plan is not drawn to scale and does not identify other features on the property such as existing signs, utility locations, existing structures, and other features located on-site. Staff has concerns that utilities and irrigation lines may be potentially damaged during the installation of the proposed sign. Further, the sign may be located in a sight triangle. Staff recommends that the applicant submit a revised site plan that is drawn to scale and identifies all existing utilities, existing signs, existing structures, irrigation lines as well as any drainage/utility easements if applicable. As of this writing, the applicant has not submitted a complete site plan drawn to scale identifying existing signs, utility locations, existing structures, and other features located on-site. In addition, the applicant has not demonstrated that the proposed sign will be located outside of the sight distance triangle.

**(Update, December 5, 2008.) All revised and/or added text is shown in bold print.) As of this writing, the applicant has not submitted a complete site plan drawn to scale identifying existing signs, utility locations, existing structures, and other features located on-site. In addition, the applicant has not demonstrated that the proposed sign will be located outside of the sight distance triangle.**

(Update, November 7, 2008. All revised and/or added text is shown in bold print.) As of this writing, the applicant has not submitted a complete site plan drawn to scale identifying

STAFF REPORT  
December 18, 2008

---

**No. 08SR017 - SDCL 11-6-19 Review to allow the construction of a sign on public property** **ITEM 23**

---

existing signs, utility locations, existing structures, and other features located on-site. In addition, the applicant has not demonstrated that the proposed sign will be located outside of the sight distance triangle.

In addition, because of spacing requirements in regard to ground mounted signs the applicant's site plan must identify any existing signs located on the property. Furthermore, a detailed site plan drawn to scale is needed to ensure that the proper setback for the sign is being provided. A detailed site plan drawn to scale also is needed in order to determine the proposed sign's proximity to the federally designated flood plain.

Site Plan: A complete site plan was not submitted with this application. Prior to Planning Commission approval, the applicant must submit a complete site plan. The site plan must be drawn to scale and include all other existing signs, structures, utilities and irrigation lines for the property surrounding the proposed sign including access drives and adjacent streets.

Sight Triangle: The applicant has not provided adequate information demonstrating that the sign is located outside of the sight distance triangle. Prior to Planning Commission approval, the applicant must submit a sight plan drawn to scale demonstrating that the proposed sign will not be located within the sight distance triangle.

Flood Plain: The proposed sign is located within the 100 year Federally Designated Flood Plain; therefore, a Flood Plain Development Permit is required. On September 12, 2008 a Flood Plain Development Permit was approved for the proposed sign.

The City of Rapid City adopted an updated Rapid Creek Flood Plain Policy on July 7, 2008. The proposed sign, replacing the existing sign appears to be in compliance with the adopted Rapid Creek Flood Plain Policy.

Lighting: The applicant has not identified any lighting for the proposed sign. The applicant should be aware that the addition of lighting to the sign will require an SDCL 11-6-19 Review.

Staff recommends that the SDCL 11-6-19 Review to allow the construction of a sign on public property be continued to the **January 22, 2009 Planning** Commission meeting.