Saturday, July 14, 2007

To Whom It May Concern:

We read with interest the Rapid City Journal article on the south side development along HY16. It was noted in the article that there is already some commercial development in the area, such as The Maze, that will be eliminated. However, there was no mention of the home that sits behind The Maze. A commercial development with the new road access will put the road right next to that residential property putting that resident in a potentially dangerous situation and within a high environmental noise area. I would hope that the Planning Commission and the Board would not approve requested zoning changes until that single residential concern is satisfactorily resolved. If the property is not purchased by the developer, an environmental study complete with plans to minimize the noise and traffic pollution to the residence should be required before any permits are awarded. This property is NOT over the hill and removed from the proposed development. It is on HY16 directly behind The Maze, not visible from the highway.

Please do the right thing.

Sincerely,

Jon and Sharon Marshall

Jone Sharon Marshall

(Concerned citizens)

---- Original Message -----

From: Tom Katus
To: Marcia Elkins

Sent: Thursday, October 25, 2007 10:54 AM **Subject:** Planning Commission Process

Dear Ms. Elkins,

If I seem a bit surly and cynical this morning, it is because I have gotten up early for the past three Planning Commission meetings to speak to the Dakota Canyon project at the request of my constituents living in the Enchanted Hills community. I am normally a nocturnal person who reads until at least midnight. Thus, early rising is a real challenge, and I admire the Planning Commission members who routinely start their meetings at 7:00 am. What is so frustrating is that this issue keeps getting "kicked forward" at the request of the applicant. Don't citizens and their representatives have some say in this process?

There is an increasing perception in town that local government has become a "government of the developers, by the developers and for the developers." This is most unfortunate in that the vast majority of developers in Rapid City are fine people and have reputable firms. However, the developer behind this particular project has long been questioned in the public media. Thus, I am not surprised that the developer/applicant again asked for a continuance. I am sure his request had nothing to do with the *Rapid City Journal* article, "Tangled in TIFS," October 21, 2007 and, especially, the *Rapid City Weekly News* October 11, 2007 editorial and cartoon depicting the developer as the puppeteer and the Planning Commission and City Council as puppets to his machinations.

I am told on good authority that this proposed TIF keeps getting pushed forward because the applicant feels he does not yet have a majority vote on the Planning Commission. I believe it is high time that the Commission and the Council listen to the will of the citizens, not just this applicant. I now understand that this issue will be addressed at the next Planning Commission meeting on Thursday, November 8, 2007. I do hope the Commission brings a conclusion to this discussion and allows the public to finish their comments that were begun on September 6, 2007 and have now been delayed two full months. I do hope the Commission will, indeed, address this issue and not further irritate those of us who are nocturnal by nature and do not appreciate early morning meetings only to have the issue continuously deferred. We need a democratic and timely process that responds to all the citizens, not just one that accommodates this particular applicant. It would be great if local government is once again regarded as a "government of the people, by the people and for the people."

Please forward my concerns to the Planning Commission members and the City Council for their consideration. Thanks to you and your staff for your individual efforts to make these review processes more "user friendly" to the average citizen.

Sincere regards, Tom Katus, SD Senator, District 32

BLACK HILLS MAZE

PO Box 1509 Rapid City, SD 57709

605-343-5439

January 29, 2008

Vicki Fisher Growth Management Dept. 300 Sixth St. Rapid City, SD 57701

Re: Hwy 16/Tucker St. Access

Vicki,

Thanks so much for your time last week to discuss the possible activities and implications regarding access from South Hwy. 16 onto Tucker Street. As you are aware, that is the direct access into the "Black Hills Maze", our summer tourist business.

My wife and I, as sole owners, are <u>highly concerned</u> with any possibility of losing that access point. As a summer tourist business we rely heavily on 1) high visibility, and 2) ease of access, for the success of our business. Approximately 90 percent of our business is non-local. A substantial portion of that 90 % is impromptu "drop-in" traffic.

ALL ACCESS IS NOT CREATED EQUAL! While our business is successful, it is not lucrative. Any significant loss of traffic and revenue, resulting from requiring access from an inconvenient and hard to find (for out-of-towners) rear entry, would most certainly result in the demise of our business

Please understand we are not against the planned development in this area. But please do not destroy the only existing business – for 17 years - within the development area by closing the Hwy 16 access.

克尔姓氏 化二烯二烯 医阿尔伯氏菌的 计电影形式 经收益 化甲酸异

Again, your time and consideration are most appreciated.

aman e i millimaan eega lihah e li maleisi e ya eh

e final compression and participation of a more participation.

Sincerely,

How Add to any the Son fill of a store

Courag Roberts of our business. Approximately 90 percent of British and treest of our essential grades of our business.

My wife and L as rule owners are highly concerned with any possibility of 1,5008 has occess

Rapid City Growth Management Department