### STAFF REPORT November 21, 2007

# No. 07SR053 - SDCL 11-6-19 Review to allow construction of a sign on public property

**GENERAL INFORMATION:** 

APPLICANT City of Rapid City

AGENT Carlos Ramirez

PROPERTY OWNER City of Rapid City

REQUEST No. 07SR053 - SDCL 11-6-19 Review to allow

construction of a sign on public property

**EXISTING** 

LEGAL DESCRIPTION Lots 17 thru 32 of Block 104 of the Original Town of

Rapid City, Section 1, T1N, R7E, BHM, Rapid City,

Pennington County, South Dakota

PARCEL ACREAGE Approximately 1.29 acres

LOCATION 610 Quincy Street

EXISTING ZONING Central Business District

SURROUNDING ZONING

North: Central Business District

South: High Density Residential District

East: Central Business District
West: Central Business District

PUBLIC UTILITIES Public

DATE OF APPLICATION 10/9/2007

REVIEWED BY Jonathan Smith / Ted Johnson

### **RECOMMENDATION**:

Staff recommends that the SDCL 11-6-19 Review to allow construction of a sign on public property be denied without prejudice at the applicant's request.

#### **GENERAL COMMENTS:**

(Updated November 14, 2007. All revised text is shown in bold.) Staff has received a request from the applicant to deny the application without prejudice. This will allow the applicant to resubmit a request at a future time. The applicant has submitted a South Dakota Codified Law 11-6-19 review to allow the construction of a sign on public property. The property is located at 610 Quincy Street; currently the Rapid City Public Library is located on the property. The property is zoned Central Business District.

## STAFF REPORT November 21, 2007

## No. 07SR053 - SDCL 11-6-19 Review to allow construction of a sign ITEM 9 on public property

South Dakota Codified Law 11-6-19 states: Whenever any such municipal council shall have adopted the comprehensive plan of the municipality or any part thereof, then and thenceforth, no street, park, or other public way, ground, place, space, no public building or structure, no public utility, whether publicly or privately owned, if covered by the comprehensive plan or any adopted part thereof, shall be constructed or authorized in the municipality or within its subdivision jurisdiction as defined in § 11- 6-26, until and unless the location and extent thereof shall have been submitted to and approved by the Planning Commission.

#### STAFF REVIEW:

Staff has reviewed the applicant's South Dakota Codified Law 11-6-19 request and noted the following considerations:

### HISTORIC DISTRICT:

The property is not located within the Historic District, nor does it fall within the boundaries of the Historic District Environs.

### LANDSCAPING:

A landscaping plan has not been submitted. The applicant's site plan identifies a small tree that would have to be removed in order to place the sign at its proposed location. The small tree will have to be relocated or replaced to an alternate location. It has been noted that landscaping should be integrated into the design of the sign. Evergreen and deciduous shrubs, along with ground cover landscaping should surround the base of the sign. The applicant must submit a landscaping plan that identifies evergreen and deciduous shrubs along with ground cover surrounding the base of the sign, and identifies the relocation or replacement of the small tree to an alternate location.

## SIGN:

The applicant has submitted a proposed sign that measures 50 square feet in area, and six feet in height. The proposed sign consists of two metal poles, an electronic reader board, and a sign area identifying the "Rapid City Public Library". It should be noted that the reader board could only be used to advertise activities associated with the Library.

In addition a metal arch feature is located at the top of the sign. The proposed sign meets the minimum sign standards as per Section 15.28.200.

Concerns were noted with the design of the sign and the appropriateness of a pole sign in the downtown setting. A ground / monument sign would be better suited in such environment. Staff is recommending that the South Dakota Codified Law 11-6-19 review request be continued to allow the applicant to review alternative sign designs, and allow the applicant to submit a more appropriate sign, and allow the applicant time to submit an associated landscaping plan.