No. 07PD030 - Major Ameno Development	dment to a Planned Commercial ITEM 19
GENERAL INFORMATION:	
PETITIONER	Unique Signs, Inc. for GGL Operations, LLC
REQUEST	No. 07PD030 - Major Amendment to a Planned Commercial Development
EXISTING LEGAL DESCRIPTION	Lot 1B of North 80 Subdivision, Section 19, T1N, R8E, BHM, Rapid City, Pennington County, South Dakota
PARCEL ACREAGE	Approximately .88 acres
LOCATION	330 E. Stumer Road
EXISTING ZONING	Office Commercial District (Planned Commercial Development)
SURROUNDING ZONING North: South: East: West:	Office Commercial District Office Commercial District, General Commercial District (Planned Commercial Development) Office Commercial District Office Commercial District (Planned Commercial Development)
PUBLIC UTILITIES	City sewer and water
DATE OF APPLICATION	4/24/2007
REVIEWED BY	Vicki L. Fisher / Todd Peckosh

## **RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends that the Major Amendment to a Planned Commercial Development be continued to the June 7, 2007 Planning Commission meeting to allow the applicant to submit a revised site plan and sign package.

## **GENERAL COMMENTS**:

The applicant has submitted a Major Amendment to a Planned Commercial Development to construct a sign along the west lot line of the subject property as it abuts Fifth Street.

On November 23, 2005, the Planning Commission approved an Initial and Final Planned Commercial Development to allow a medical clinic to be constructed on the subject property.

The property is located approximately 120 feet north of the intersection of Fifth Street and E. Stumer Road on the east side of Fifth Street. The medical clinic, an oral maxillofacial

## No. 07PD030 - Major Amendment to a Planned Commercial ITEM 19 Development

surgery center, has been constructed on the property.

## STAFF REVIEW:

Staff has reviewed the Major Amendment to the Planned Commercial Development and has noted the following considerations:

- <u>Signage</u>: The site plan shows the proposed sign located within a previously platted eight foot wide minor drainage and utility easement(s) located along the south and west lot lines. In addition, the proposed sign does not provide a minimum ten foot setback from the lot lines as required by the Sign Code. Staff met with the applicant and his consultant on May 14, 2007 to discuss the location of the sign. The applicant's consultant indicated that a revised sign package relocating the sign and potentially revising the proposed design of the sign will be submitted for review and approval. As such, staff is recommending that the Major Amendment to the Planned Commercial Development be continued to the June 7, 2007 Planning Commission meeting to allow the applicant to submit the revised site plan and sign package.
- <u>Notification Requirement</u>: As of this writing, the receipts from the certified mailings have not been returned nor has the sign been posted on the property. Staff will notify the Planning Commission at the May 24, 2007 Planning Commission meeting if these requirements have not been met.