**ITEM 40** 

#### **GENERAL INFORMATION:**

PETITIONER John Skulborstad

REQUEST No. 06CA045 - Amendment to the Comprehensive

Plan to change the Long Range Plan from Public District to Office Commercial with a Planned

**Commercial Development** 

**EXISTING** 

LEGAL DESCRIPTION Lot 1, Vista Lake Subdivision No. 2, located in the NE1/4

SW1/4 and the N1/2 SE1/4, Section 8, T1N, R7E, BHM,

Rapid City, Pennington County, South Dakota

PARCEL ACREAGE Approximately 1.9 acres

LOCATION 4600 Jackson Boulevard

EXISTING ZONING Park Forest District

SURROUNDING ZONING

North: Medium Density Residential District

South: Flood Hazard District
East: Flood Hazard District
West: Park Forest District

PUBLIC UTILITIES City sewer and water

DATE OF APPLICATION 10/13/2006

REVIEWED BY Vicki L. Fisher / Bob Dominicak

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends that the Amendment to the Comprehensive Plan to change the Long Range Future Land Use Plan from Public District to Office Commercial with a Planned Commercial Development be approved.

#### **GENERAL COMMENTS:**

The applicant has submitted a Comprehensive Plan Amendment to the Long Range Future Land Use Plan from Public District to Office Commercial with a Planned Commercial Development. In addition, the applicant has submitted a Rezoning request to change the zoning designation of the subject property from Park Forest District to Office Commercial District. (See companion item #06RZ057.)

On January 10, 2001, the applicant submitted a Rezoning request to change the zoning

**ITEM 40** 

designation of the subject property from Park Forest District to Office Commerical District. The request was denied without prejudice by the City Council on June 18, 2001 at the applicant's request.

On June 17, 2002, the City Council approved Preliminary and Final Plat #01PL110 to subdivide approximately 23 acres into seven lots, which included the subject property. In addition, the City Council approved a Variance to the Subdivision Regulations to waive the requirement to install curb, gutter, street light conduit, sewer and water and to allow a sidewalk along one side of the interior street. On February 21, 2002, the Planning Commission approved an Initial and Final Planned Residential Development to allow for the development of seven single family residences on the 23 acre parcel. On February 13, 2003, the Register of Deed's Office vacated the plat and, as such, the property reverted to its previous legal description of "Lot 1 and Lot F-1 of the Fish Hatchery Subdivision less Lot 13 Revised of the Cleghorn Canyon No. 2 Subdivision".

On May 5, 2003, the City Council approved a Layout Plat to subdivide the 23 acre parcel into three lots, which also included the subject property. On June 17, 2003, the City Council approved a Variance to the Subdivision Regulations for improvements along Feather Ridge Court and that portion of Jackson Boulevard located adjacent to proposed Lots 2 and 3 with the stipulations that the first fifty feet of Feather Ridge Court be paved and that a waiver of right to protest a future assessment project for the construction of curb, gutter, sidewalk, street light conduit, sewer and water along the north side of Jackson Boulevard be signed by the applicant. (See file #03PL036 and file #03SV014.)

On June 26, 2003, the Planning Commission approved a Major Amendment to the Planned Residential Development to allow the three residential sites. On July 21, 2003, City Council approved a Preliminary Plat to create three lots known as "Vista Lake Subdivision". In addition, on May 16, 2005, the City Council approved a Final Plat for the three lots. (See companion item #06PL063.)

On September 25, 2003, the Planning Commission approved another Major Amendment to the Planned Residential Development to allow a photography studio as a Major Home Occupation on proposed Lot 1. The applicant is now proposing to rezone Lot 1 to Office Commercial District. The approved Planned Development for Lot 1 continues to identify the approved use(s) on the parcel. Any change in use will require a Major Amendment to the Planned Development.

The property is located north and west of the intersection of Chapel Lane and Jackson Boulevard on the north side of Jackson Boulevard. Currently, a structure is located on proposed Lot 1. At one time the building was the site of the Johnson Siding Volunteer Fire Department.

#### STAFF REVIEW:

The adopted Comprehensive Plan is a framework within which development and rezoning proposals are measured and evaluated. The plan is intended to guide the orderly growth of

**ITEM 40** 

the community. In order for the plans to remain viable and to keep pace with a changing market place, periodic adjustments to reflect changing conditions will be required.

Staff has reviewed this proposed comprehensive plan amendment for conformance with the six criteria for review of comprehensive plan amendments established in Section 2.60.160(D). A summary of Staff findings are outlined below:

1. Whether the proposed change is consistent with the policies and overall intent of the comprehensive plan.

One of the goals of any Future Land Use Plan is to encourage compact and contiguous growth within the City that is linked to orderly extension and efficient use of public improvements, infrastructure, and services. Full utilization of properties currently served by infrastructure is encouraged. The purpose of the Office Commercial District as stated in the Zoning Ordinance is to "...provide for those types of institutional and commercial activities that require separate buildings and building groups surrounded by landscaped yards and open areas. Land, space and aesthetic requirements of these uses make desirable either a central location or a suburban location near residential neighborhoods". The subject property is located north of an arterial street and adjacent to a residential area. In addition, the Initial and Final Planned Development will serve as a tool to address concerns specific to the subject property and to mitigate any potential negative impacts the office commercial use may have on the adjacent properties.

2. Whether the proposed change is warranted by changed conditions within the neighborhood surrounding and including the subject property.

There does not appear to be substantially changed or changing conditions within this area. As noted above, the applicant had submitted a request to change the zoning designation of the subject property from Park Forest District to Office Commercial District in 2001. At that time, staff and the Planning Commission indicated support of the proposed rezoning request due to the location of the property along Jackson Boulevard, a principal arterial street. In addition, it was noted that the property is located across the street from the intersection of Chapel Lane and directly adjacent to the Blessed Sacrament Church, an intersection with a large volume of traffic. As such, Office Commercial use(s) at this intersection appears to be appropriate due to the existing traffic and to serve as a buffer to the existing and proposed residential use(s) located west of the subject property.

3. Whether and the extent to which the proposed amendment is compatible with existing and proposed uses surrounding the subject land.

As previously indicated, the property is located across the street from the intersection of Chapel Lane and directly adjacent to the Blessed Sacrament Church. The Office Commercial District allows office commercial use(s) and residential uses which is compatible with the existing and proposed uses within the area. In addition, the Initial and Final Planned Development will continue to serve as a tool to address the issues such as

**ITEM 40** 

traffic and drainage concerns specific to the subject property. The Initial and Final Planned Development will also continue to help mitigate any potential negative impacts the office commercial use may have on existing and future residential land uses within the area.

4. Whether and the extent to which the proposed amendment would adversely effect the environment, services, facilities, and transportation.

Jackson Boulevard is located south of the subject property and is classified as a principal arterial street on the City's Major Street Plan. In addition, this section of Jackson Boulevard has been constructed accordingly. Staff has not identified any significant adverse impacts that will result from the requested rezoning. The existing Planned Development has been approved to allow a single family resident with a photography studio as a major home occupation. Any change and/or expansion in use will require a Major Amendment to the Planned Development. As such, the Initial and Final Planned Development will continue to serve as a tool to insure that any development of the property does not negatively impact the properties within the area.

5. Whether and the extent to which the proposed amendment would result in a logical and orderly development pattern.

As previously indicated, the property is located across the street from the intersection of Chapel Lane and directly adjacent to the Blessed Sacrament Church. The Office Commercial District allows office commercial use(s) and residential uses which is compatible with the existing and proposed uses within the area resulting in a logical and orderly development pattern.

6. Whether and the extent to which the proposed amendment adversely affects any other part of the city, or creates any direct or indirect adverse effects.

The Major Street Plan identifies Jackson Boulevard as a principal arterial. Allowing office commercial use(s) along a principal arterial street is in compliance with the Major Street Plan. City water and Rapid Canyon Sanitary District currently exists in the Jackson Boulevard right-of-way which will be extended to the subject property in compliance with the community facilities plan. Staff has not identified any adverse effects that the Comprehensive Plan Amendment will have on the surrounding area or on the City with the continued assistance of the Initial and Final Planned Development.

Notification Requirement: As of this writing, the receipts from the certified mailings have not been returned nor has the sign been posted on the property. Staff will notify the Planning Commission at their November 9, 2006 Planning Commission meeting if this requirement has not been met.