No. 05SV036 - Variance to the Subdivision Regulations to waive the requirement to dedicate additional right-of-way and to waive the requirement to install sidewalks as per Chapter 16.16 of the Rapid City Municipal Code

ITEM 21

GENERAL INFORMATION:

PETITIONER FMG, Inc. for Hart Ranch Development Co.

REQUEST No. 05SV036 - Variance to the Subdivision

Regulations to waive the requirement to dedicate additional right-of-way and to waive the requirement to install sidewalks as per Chapter 16.16 of the Rapid

City Municipal Code

EXISTING

LEGAL DESCRIPTION Lots 42A, 42B, 43A, 43B, 44A, 44B, 45A, 45B, 46A and

46B, Village on the Green No. 2 Subdivision, located in the NE1/4, Section 13, T1S, R7E, BHM, Pennington

County, South Dakota

PROPOSED

LEGAL DESCRIPTION Lots 42, 43, 44, 45 and 46, Village on the Green No. 2

Subdivision, located in the NE1/4, Section 13, T1S, R7E,

BHM, Pennington County, South Dakota

PARCEL ACREAGE Approximately 2.5 acres

LOCATION Located north of Mulligan Mile

EXISTING ZONING Planned Unit Development (Pennington County)

SURROUNDING ZONING

North: Planned Unit Development (Pennington County)
South: Planned Unit Development (Pennington County)
East: Planned Unit Development (Pennington County)
West: Planned Unit Development (Pennington County)

PUBLIC UTILITIES Private water and sewer system

DATE OF APPLICATION 5/26/2005

REVIEWED BY Vicki L. Fisher / David L. Johnson

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends that the Variance to the Subdivision Regulations to waive the requirement to dedicate additional right-of-way be approved with the stipulation that a five foot access easement shall be provided along Mulligan Mile as it abuts the subject proprty; and,

No. 05SV036 - Variance to the Subdivision Regulations to waive the requirement to dedicate additional right-of-way and to waive the requirement to install sidewalks as per Chapter 16.16 of the Rapid City Municipal Code

ITEM 21

That the Variance to the Subdivision Regulations to waive the requirement to install sidewalks along both sides of Mulligan Mile be approved with the stipulation that an eight foot wide asphalt walking trail shall be provided along the north side of the northern tier of lots located along the golf course.

GENERAL COMMENTS:

The applicant has submitted a Variance to the Subdivision Regulations to waive the requirement to install sidewalks along Mulligan Mile and to reduce the right-of-way width of Mulligan Mile from 47 feet to 40 feet. In addition, the applicant has submitted a Preliminary Plat to reconfigure the subject property from 20 townhome lots into ten residential lots. (See companion item #05PL099.)

On October 4, 2004, the City Council approved a Preliminary Plat to subdivide the subject property into 20 townhome lots. A Final Plat was subsequently approved administratively creating the townhome development. On September 7, 2004, the City council approved a Variance to the Subdivision Regulations to waive the requirement to install sidewalk along Mulligan Mile and to reduce the right-of-way width of Mulligan Mile from 47 feet to 40 feet. However, since the property is being replatted, a new Variance must be obtained.

The property is a part of the Hart Ranch Planned Unit Development and is currently void of any structural development.

STAFF REVIEW:

Staff has reviewed the Preliminary Plat and has noted the following considerations:

<u>Street Width</u>: The proposed street section including the width of the pavement and the width of the "private drive" is consistent with the adjacent development to the west. A previous Variance to the Subdivision Regulations was granted for this section of Mulligan Mile with the stipulation that a note be placed on the plat prohibiting on-street parking and that a five foot wide access easement be provided on either side of Mulligan Mile. The associated Preliminary Plat identifies a note prohibiting on-street parking; however, a five foot wide access easement is not shown. Based on consistency with the previously approved street section and to provide roadway continuity, staff supports the variance request with the provision that a five foot wide access easement be identified along Mulligan Mile.

<u>Sidewalks</u>: As noted, the developer is requesting that the requirement for sidewalks on both sides of the street be waived and that an eight foot wide asphalt walking trail be provided along the north side of the northern tier of lots along the golf course. Extensive discussions have been held over the years regarding the provision of sidewalks along residential streets. Sidewalks provide a safe and convenient location of pedestrians to walk separated from vehicular traffic. Studies have suggested that sidewalks provide for greater social interaction and strengthen residential neighborhoods. Sidewalks also provide a safe location for children to play. Walking is a popular recreational and fitness activity for residents of all ages. A similar "internal" walkway system was developed in the Countryside

No. 05SV036 - Variance to the Subdivision Regulations to waive the requirement to dedicate additional right-of-way and to waive the requirement to install sidewalks as per Chapter 16.16 of the Rapid City Municipal Code

ITEM 21

development in southwest Rapid City. Staff has over the years received complaints from residents regarding the lack of sidewalks along the street and with the safety issues associated with residents walking in the street. Previous Variances to the Subdivision Regulations have been granted to waive the requirement to provide sidewalks within this portion of the Hart Ranch development with the stipulation that an eight foot wide asphalt walking trail be provided as shown on the applicant's site plan. Based on previous City Council action, staff recommends approval of the variance request with the provision that an eight foot wide asphalt walking trail be provided along the north side of the northern tier of lots located along the golf course.

<u>Legal Notification Requirement</u>: The receipts from the certified mailings have not been returned. Staff will notify the Planning Commission at the June 23, 2005 Planning Commission meeting if this requirement is not met. Staff has not received any calls or inquires regarding this proposal.