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## 1. Introduction

Urban areas throughout the United States are required to base their transportation investment decisions on a comprehensive, cooperative, and continuing transportation planning process. A significant element of the transportation planning process involves projecting future transportation demand. The most accepted method of projecting future transportation demand, and for evaluating investment strategies to serve the projected demand, is the use of travel demand and forecasting models. These models utilize socioeconomic data to estimate travel demand with a simulation of the transportation system to represent transportation supply. Together, the socioeconomic data, the simulated network, and mathematical travel models simulate the ability of the transportation system to serve the estimated demand.

Travel models have been implemented using a wide variety of model structures, computer software systems, and data requirements. While it is rare to find two models that have identical model structures, software, and data requirements, the majority of travel models used in the United States are similar in that they include the following basic four steps or components:

1. Trip generation,
2. Trip distribution,
3. Mode split / auto occupancy factors,
4. Traffic assignment.

Until this model development undertaking, the Rapid City Metropolitan Planning Organization utilized a TModel2 model to assist with transportation planning strategies. The TModel2 travel forecasting model was developed in April 1997 by City, MPO and South Dakota Department of Transportation staff. The TModel2 travel forecasting model was a peak hour model and, as such, was intended to produce traffic representative of the evening peak hour (4:30 p.m. to 5:30 p.m.) on an "average" day.

Due to changes in socioeconomic conditions and changes to the transportation network in the Rapid City area, an update was desired. In addition to changes in area streets and demographics, advances in model software prompted a change from the TModel2 platform to the more sophisticated TransCAD platform.

The TransCAD travel forecasting model for the Rapid City Metropolitan Planning Organization makes use of the four basic steps listed above to product travel forecasts. This report documents development of each of these components in detail. In addition, appendices are provided which include tabular data summaries, trip generation program documentation, and detailed validation results for the base year (2000) model. A complete model user's guide is also included as a part of this documentation.

## 2. Review of Original Rapid City Travel Model (TModel2)

The TModel2 model developed for the Rapid City area between 1995 and 1997 is a peak hour model, in that it develops travel demand for the evening peak hour period. Model data, including traffic counts, land use, and network characteristics were collected and developed specifically to develop a calibrated model to represent 1995 conditions.

The modeling area encompassed the entire Metropolitan Planning Organization Study Area, and was divided into small geographic areas called traffic analysis zones (TAZ's). The TModel2 model included a total of 145 TAZ's. The development of the TAZ structure considered barriers to travel that would naturally separate land uses, natural loading points in the network, and existing data source structures (US Census data).

TAZ data contains demographic information for each TAZ. The land uses within each TAZ are quantified for use with trip generation to determine the number of trips which originate or are destined for each TAZ. Land use information consisted of the following data for each TAZ:

- Single family dwelling units,
- Multiple family dwelling units,
- Manufacturing, industrial and wholesale employees,
- Military employees,
- Retail employees,
- Drive-through retail employees,
- Office / service employees,
- Medical employees,
- School / public employees, and
- Motel / hotel employees.

Residential dwelling unit data was generated from 1990 Census data at the block level and supplemented by building permit information from both the city and county to bring data to 1995 levels. Employee data was generated from commercial structural square footage data, converted to employees through the use of several conversion factors.

The TModel2 model network contained both links (representing street segments) and nodes (representing intersections). Links were coded to identify numerous link attributes including the following:

- Functional classification,
- Link type,
- Number of lanes,
- One or two-way traffic identifier,
- Capacity,
- Length,
- Design speed,
- Volume, and
- Link delay coefficients.

Dynamic node modeling was utilized in the TModel2 model to enhance modeling intersection delays. To enable dynamic node modeling, node attributes were coded to each intersection node, including the following:

- Node classification,
- Node area,
- Node type, and
- Capacity.

In addition to intersection capacity and delay functions, certain turn movements were prohibited through the use of turn penalties or prohibitions.

TModel is primarily designed to model hourly traffic conditions, and as such both trip generation and link capacities were developed using hourly rates. All trips within the model area were distributed by peak hour origins and destinations according to three trip types; Home based work (HBW) trips, home based non-work (HBO) trips, or non-home based (NHB) trips. Trip generation rates were derived from both local data supplied by city staff as well as data from TModel Corporation. Trips in the TModel2 model were generated as vehicle trips, eliminating the need to incorporate adjustments due to auto occupancy or mode share. External traffic counts were utilized to identify those trips entering and exiting the study area at the model boundaries to account for the differential in the total trip origins and destinations within the model boundaries.

For trip distribution and network assignment, the TModel2 model utilized an incremental loading process. Under this methodology, a portion of the total hourly trips are assigned to the network, shortest paths are re-calculated, then the next increment of are assigned. This process is intended to address the notion that a driver, in order to satisfy some travel need, may utilize different routes based on congestion influences.

The TModel2 model was calibrated to meet allowable link errors specified by NCHRP Report No. 255, "Highway Traffic Data for Urbanized Area Project Planning and Design", and to meet calibration accuracy levels specified by TModel Corporation.

## 3. Travel Model Development and Validation for 2000 Base Year

To facilitate development of an updated travel demand model for the Rapid City MPO, a "model working group" was formed. The model working group was comprised of City and MPO engineering and planning staff as well as representatives from the South Dakota Department of Transportation. The model working group met at key points during the model development process to provide guidance, make fundamental decisions, and provide critical input during the model development process.

Initially, development of an updated travel demand model was thought to primarily involve a conversion of the TModel2 model data and procedures to allow execution on the TransCAD model platform. It was discovered, however, that a direct conversion was not feasible since direct one-for-one conversions for the TModel2 methodologies, parameters, and data files was not possible. The TransCAD and TModel2 platforms were just too different in their organization and structure to accommodate a direct conversion. Additionally, it was desired that the updated model have the ability to model daily as well as time-of-day traffic, a process not possible with the TModel2 platform. Finally, the input data used for the peak hour TModel2 model such as roadway network capacities and trip generation rates were hourly in nature, and did not lend themselves to a direct conversion for a daily model. Lastly, the TModel2 model generated trips as vehicle trips, eliminating the flexibility to model differing auto occupancies or mode splits, a feature desired for the updated model.

Based on these factors, it was decided that the updated model would essentially be a completely new model. This decision, while requiring some additional work, offered much in the way of flexibility and the ability to build a model capable of future enhancements and improvements.

### 3.1. Model Validation Procedure

Data available for validation of the Rapid City model consisted primarily of base year (2000) traffic count data and US Census travel data. No current behavioral data was available such as a recent origin/destination study. Only very limited travel time survey data was available. As a result, the 2000 validation process focused on using the following measures:

- Matching external station volumes,
- Matching traffic volumes on specific roadway links and across corridor screen lines, and
- Achieving reasonable trip length distribution for HBW trips.

The model development \& calibration process included the following primary steps:

- Begin with the previous TModel2 model.
- Revise TAZ structure to reflect current development, revised census block or block group boundaries (changed since TModel2 development), and to provide additional detail in developed areas.
- Update TAZ socioeconomic data to year 2000 levels
- Obtain GIS centerline files for streets and revise for use with travel demand modeling.
- Develop model input parameters and procedures to give the model the ability to reproduce observed 2000 traffic conditions on a screen line and individual link basis.
- Develop a procedure for final adjustment of future year traffic projections on an individual link basis based on a comparison of 2000 model results vs. 2000 traffic counts.

Calibration procedures have, where possible, been conducted based on recommendations detailed in:

- NCHRP Report No. 187, Quick-Response Urban Travel Estimation Techniques and Transferable Parameters User’s Guide, 1978
- NCHRP Report No. 255, Highway Traffic Data for Urbanized Area Project Planning and Design, 1982
- NCHRP Report No. 365, Travel Estimation Techniques for Urban Planning, 1998

The process of model calibration has been detailed and iterative with numerous test runs conducted. The lack of behavioral survey data means that the basic parameters, such as trip rates by area, average trip length, value of time, and auto occupancy are not known and must be estimated in the validation process. The selected parameters are each dependent upon each other. The lack of survey data may mean that once other data is available, such as the journey to work data from the Census Bureau, there may be a need for readjustments to some of the model parameters.

### 3.2. Traffic Analysis Zone Structure

The TModel2 documentation was utilized to develop working maps showing the existing TAZ structure. The existing model TAZ structure contains 129 TAZ's for the MPO planning area, and utilizes 16 external stations to handle trip-making to/from areas outside the modeling area. With the exception of areas outside Pennington County to the north, the TModel2 TAZ's cover roughly the area included within neighborhood planning boundaries. The TModel2 TAZ structure utilizes small TAZ areas within the downtown area of Rapid City (typically 3-4 blocks per TAZ), with TAZ's increasing in size towards the limits of the modeling area.

The existing TAZ structure was compared to current census block, block group, and tract structure. While the TModel2 TAZ structure coincided with some block, block group or tract boundaries, many TAZ boundaries were independent of the census data structure. The TAZ structure provided from the US Census department was also evaluated. It was found to contain only 73 TAZ's for the entire county, a structure too coarse for the purpose of modeling the urban area of Rapid City.

It was also noted that the neighborhood planning boundaries used for urban area land use planning extended beyond the county boundaries, something that the current TModel2 does not do. Since the US Census data was not available beyond the county boundary, it was decided not to extend TransCAD model TAZ's beyond the extent of the county either. External stations will be used to model trip-making to/from areas beyond the county boundary.

Considering the ability of City/MPO staff to easily update land use data and/or utilize data available from other sources (US Census, Bureau of Labor, etc.), a new TAZ structure was developed for use with the TransCAD model that utilizes Census Blocks as the basic unit or 'building-block' for TAZ's. It was recommended that the new TAZ structure closely follow the existing TModel2 TAZ structure by combining census blocks to form TAZ's. Splitting of census blocks is not recommended. The resulting TAZ structure makes it possible to "extract" data from census block or smaller geographic areas (blocks or parcels) directly into the TAZ structure. This will make it easier to perform demographic data updates at periodic intervals, or to further refine the TAZ structure if desired.

The TAZ structure building process resulted with 210 TAZ's for the MPO planning area and 16 additional TAZ's as external stations to handle trip-making to/from areas outside the modeling area. The new TAZ structure represents an increase of 81 TAZ's when compared to the TModel2 model, and includes a modeling area of 228 square miles, approximately the same area as the TModel2 model.

The TAZ numbering scheme was developed to keep consecutive number blocks for each neighborhood planning area. The TAZ numbering system, with respect to each neighborhood planning area is shows below:

NEIGHBORHOOD
Downtown / Skyline
North Rapid
West Rapid
Southwest Connector
South Robbinsdale
Elk Vale Road
Box Elder
Northeast
Deadwood Avenue
Black Hawk
Nemo Road
Sheridan Lake Road
Spring Creek
Southeast Connector
Airport

## TAZ NUMBERS

1-67
68-91
92-115
116-117
118-127
128-138
139-147
148-157
158-165
166
167-171
172-185
186-188
189-204
205-210

The additional TAZ's will improve model sensitivity throughout the modeling area, especially in areas experiencing, or anticipated to experience significant growth. The revised TAZ structure is illustrated in Figures 1 and 2.

Figure 1 - Rapid City Model Traffic Analysis Zone Structure - Outlying TAZ's


Figure 2 - Rapid City Model Traffic Analysis Zone Structure - Urban Area Detail


### 3.3. Year 2000 Land Use Data

Travel demand models require land use data representative of both residential uses and non-residential (employment) uses. Traditionally, residential land uses were tabulated in terms of dwelling units or households. Household data is typically stratified further by some variable indicative of wealth (household income, auto ownership, etc.) and/or some indication of household size.

Non-residential data is typically represented in terms of employees of various types, usually retail, service, and other. Some models simplify employment to just retail and non-retail.

The Rapid City MPO maintains an extensive database of socioeconomic data at the parcel level through their GIS. As with the TModel2 model, base year land use information was provided in ten different categories:

1. Single family residential
2. Multi-family residential
3. Manufacturing
4. Military
5. Retail
6. Drive-through retail
7. Office / service
8. Medical
9. School / public
10. motel / hotel

The GIS was able to provide accurate data regarding numbers of households throughout the modeling area. In addition to the MPO GIS data, household data was further supplemented by US Census Bureau data, enabling development of household stratifications at the census block group level for household size and income.

The Rapid City MPO GIS data was also utilized to build a database for non-residential socioeconomic data. Although the GIS database did not contain employment information, non-residential structure square footage information was available that, together with appropriate conversion factors, would yield reasonable estimates of employment within each parcel. The TModel2 model utilized a similar conversion factor system to develop non-residential employment data. Conversion factors utilized by the TModel2 model (where applicable) are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1 - TModel2 Employment Conversion Factors

| Land Use Category | Units | Conversion to Employees |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Single Family Residential | Dwelling Units | N.A. |
| Multi-Family Residential | Dwelling Units | N.A. |
| Industrial | Square Feet | 1,000 S.F. / 0.64 |
| Military | Employees | N.A. |
| Retail | Square Feet | 1,000 S.F. / 0.64 |
| Drive-through Retail | Square Feet | 1,000 S.F. / 0.36 |
| Service | Square Feet | 1,000 S.F. / 0.64 |
| Hospital | Square Feet | 1,000 S.F. / 0.31 |
| School | Employees | N.A. |
| Motel / Hotel | Rooms | N.A. |

Non-residential land use data for the TransCAD model was provided in a similar format, although with more non-residential categories. Similar conversions were utilized to develop the number of employees of different types for each TAZ. For the TransCAD model, employment for each TAZ was estimated for the following three categories utilizing a spreadsheet model that performed estimates at the parcel level, and then aggregated results to the TAZ level.

1. Retail employment,
2. Service employment, and
3. Other Employment

Conversion factors utilized for the TransCAD model are summarized in Table 2.

Table 2 - TransCAD Employment Conversion Factors

| Land Use Category | Units | Conversion to Employees |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Retail | Square Feet | 1.56 Emp. / 1,000 Sq.Ft. |
| Drive-through Retail | Square Feet | 2.78 Emp. / 1,000 Sq.Ft. |
| Service | Square Feet | 1.56 Emp. / 1,000 Sq.Ft. |
| Hospital / Medical | Square Feet | 3.23 Emp. / 1,000 Sq.Ft. |
| Warehousing / Manufacturing | Square Feet | 1.56 Emp. 1,000 Sq.Ft. |
| Elementary School / Daycare | Square Feet | 1.11 Emp. / 1,000 Sq.Ft. |
| High School | Square Feet | 2.86 Emp. / 1,000 Sq.Ft. |
| Hotel / Motel | Square Feet | 0.50 Emp. / 500 Sq.Ft. |
| Campground | Sites | N.A. |
| Office | Square Feet | 3.03 Emp. / 1,000 Sq.Ft. |
| Special Generator | N.A. | N.A. |

### 3.4. Year 2000 Traffic Count Data

Traffic count data was compiled from available MPO and SDDOT sources for use with model calibration. Traffic count data was available at over 300 locations from City/SDDOT traffic count locations that are routinely counted on an annual basis. The SDDOT maintains permanent count stations at four locations. At most of the City count locations, peak season average daily traffic (PSADT) data was available for each year from 1995 to 2000.

The City of Rapid City routinely factors raw count data to develop a peak season average daily traffic figure that is utilized for design and planning. Depending on the week raw counts are collected, the data is factored to develop a PSADT. Figure 3 shows the factors used to convert raw traffic counts to a PSADT.

Figure 3 - Raw Count Conversion Factors to Achieve PSADT


As the table shows, the peak season, when compared to annual average is about 20\% higher (factor of 1.20). The City developed the adjustment factors based on variations of traffic evident with SDDOT permanent count stations.

Data at SDDOT permanent count stations was available showing daily traffic totals for a complete year. Data from these permanent count stations has been utilized by the City as the basis for adjusting count data throughout the city. The average weekday traffic for the four permanent count stations is shown in Figure 4. As the figure shows, significant seasonal variations in average weekday traffic are only evident for the I-90 location.

Although other locations show seasonal variations, the magnitude is not as pronounced as it is for the I-90 station. Based on this data, the validity of adjusting city street count data to $120 \%$ of average annual to achieve a peak season value is questionable.

Figure 4 - Seasonal Variation of Traffic, SDDOT Permanent Count Station Data


Since the travel demand model is developed using demographics that are representative of average annual conditions (schools in session), and since trip generation factors are representative of average weekday conditions, traffic count data received was adjusted to represent average annual daily traffic. With the exception of SDDOT count data (which is not initially adjusted to a PSADT value), all City counts were reduced by $20 \%$ to represent average annual weekday traffic volumes (AAWDT) for purposes of model calibration.

Traffic count data was entered into the model network and used as the primary source of data for model calibration. Each count location was coded with a unique "count ID" value to assist with future updates as traffic count data is updated. In addition, the "count ID" values were also provided to City staff for consistency.

### 3.5. Roadway Network

The roadway network utilized for traffic model development was based on GIS street centerline files obtained from the Rapid City MPO. The street centerline files included coverage for all streets, roads and highways in Pennington County. The detail and coverage of the GIS centerline files was much greater than what was needed for the travel
demand model. Subsequently, some modifications were necessary to prepare the centerline files for travel demand modeling. Modifications are detailed in the subsections that follow.

### 3.5.1. Functional Classification

Functional classes utilized with the Rapid City Major Streets plan were already coded to the street centerline files. The codes indicating functional classification are listed below:

0 - Alley or non-standard roadway
1 - Interstate highway
2 - Interstate ramp
11 - Principal arterial
21 - Minor arterial
31 - Collector
41 - Local
42 - Private road

Codes indicating functional classification are retained in the model network, but are not used directly for model execution. Functional class codes were utilized to assist with coding of other network attributes, and are utilized for reporting model statistics and creating network graphics. As part of the travel model development process, functional class codes were examined for accuracy and corrected where necessary.

### 3.5.2. Area Types

Within the modeling area, four distinct "area types" were established to assist with model parameter development. Area types have been coded to network links and TAZ's as follows:

CODE
1
2
3
4

AREA TYPE
Central Business District (CBD)
Urban
Suburban
Rural

The use of area type codes allows for a distinction of roadway operational characteristics based on the differences that occur between the different area types. For example, a principal arterial will have distinctly different operational characteristics if situated in a CBD environment as opposed to being situated in a rural environment.

Area types were utilized to assist with coding of link data, including assignment of terminal and access times for TAZ centroid connector links. Figure 5 shows the assignment of area types throughout the model area.

Figure 5 - Area Type Distribution


### 3.5.3. Vehicular Speeds

Vehicle, or travel speeds must be established for each roadway link for development of travel times used for trip distribution and traffic assignment. In general, actual posted speed limits were already coded to GIS centerline file links. As part of the model development process, speed limits coded to individual links were checked for accuracy and corrected where necessary.

Speed limits may not necessarily be representative of travel speeds on model corridors due to many factors such as congestion, intersection delays, temporary lane blockages due to left turn maneuvers or parking maneuvers, etc. For purposes of developing the model network, a data field called "model speed" was added. Initially set equal to posted speed limits, this field was utilized to represent actual travel speeds and to aid with calibration of model assignments. This way, the original coded "speed limits" remained unchanged as they may be useful for other purposes.

### 3.5.4. Roadway Daily Link Capacities

Roadway link capacity is a necessary input for the model network not originally present in the GIS centerline data. Link capacities are utilized to adjust link travel times (speed) as volumes approach capacity.

Link capacities are a function of the number of lanes, but are also influenced by other factors such as peak hour factors, type of intersection controls, percent trucks, green-tored cycle length ratios at signalized intersections, etc. Determining actual capacities for each link in a network is extremely time consuming and was not performed for this initial model development. Instead, generalized capacities were determined for links based on functional class, number of lanes, and area type. Link capacities were further refined during the model calibration process.

Additionally, development of a 24-hour model requires determination of 24-hour capacities, since streets carry varying flows during different periods of the day. Typically, peak flow rates occur during the morning and evening peak hours, or "rush hours". For most urban communities, peak hour traffic represents $8-10 \%$ of the all-day volume present on a facility. In addition, peak hour traffic rarely has a 50/50 directional distribution split. Typically, directional splits during peak hours are closer to 60/40.

Finally, "capacity" is a relative term that may have different meanings to different individuals, or even from one community to another. The Highway Capacity Manual defines Level of Service (LOS) to quantify roadway operating characteristics. Much like school grades, LOS A represents excellent operating characteristics of minimal delay and great freedom to maneuver while LOS F represents extremely congested conditions, long queues and delays, and little or no freedom to maneuver. While large urban areas may define LOS D, or even LOS E as the minimum acceptable condition for planning, urban areas the size of Rapid City (80,000 population) typically view LOS C as an acceptable
minimum for design and planning. Based on procedures of the Highway Capacity Manual, values of link LOS can be generally related to volume-to-capacity ratios (v/c) as shown in Table 3.

Table 3 - Level of Service Related to V/C Ratio

| Link V/C Ratio | Approximate Link Peak Hour LOS* |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0.0 to 0.60 | ......... A |
| 0.61 to 0.70 | .......... B |
| 0.71 to 0.80 | ......... C |
| 0.81 to 0.90 | ........D |
| 0.91 to 1.00 | ..........E |
| > 1.00 .. | ........F |

Using typical values that relate daily to peak hour volumes, and based on directional splits common during peak hour periods, 24-hour "capacities" can be developed for use with 24-hour assignments that will reflect v/c conditions (and congestion) that typically occurs during peak hour periods. The relationship is written as follows:

V/C $=$ [ADT x $0.10 \times 0.60]$ / [(number of directional lanes) x (per lane per hour capacity for that facility type and area type)]

For purposes of this model, roadway capacities by facility type and area type were initially set utilizing recommendations of NCHRP Report No. 365, Tables 52-58. Representative hourly capacities for various facility types and area types are listed in Table 4.

Table 4 - Representative Per Lane Capacities (vehicles per hour per lane)

| Facility Type | Area Type | Hourly Per Lane <br> Capacity |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Freeways | Suburban/Rural | 1,800 |
| Divided Multi-lane Highway | Rural | 1,800 |
| Divided Multi-lane Highway | Suburban | 1,600 |
| Two Lane Road | Suburban/Rural | 1,500 |
| Single Lane Signalized Intersection <br> Approach | Urban/Suburban | 825 |
| Two Lane Signalized Intersection <br> Approach | Urban/Suburban | 825 |
| Collector - All Way Stop Control <br> Intersection | Urban/Suburban | 750 |

Utilizing representative values for hourly per-lane capacity listed in NCHRP Report No. 365, a "Link Type Look-up Table" was developed for use with the Rapid City TransCAD model. The table is used by TransCAD as a "look-up" table to assign capacity to each link based on a link type code established for each model link utilized in the travel
demand model. Over 80 different link types were established to allow easy global changes to daily capacity based on facility type, area type, one-way or two-way flow, and different numbers of directional through lanes.

In general daily per-lane capacities for different facility types were established as follows. A complete listing of the link type look-up table is included in Appendix A.

| Facility Type | Daily Per-Lane Capacity |
| :--- | :--- |
| Interstate | $15,000-18,000 \mathrm{vpd}$ |
| Principal Arterial | $8,500-14,000 \mathrm{vpd}$ |
| Minor Arterial | $8,250-10,000 \mathrm{vpd}$ |
| Collector | $5,500-8,250 \mathrm{vpd}$ |

### 3.5.5. Terminal Times

Terminal time is time added to the end of each trip to simulate zonal access time and time to reach the model network (time on local streets to reach collector network). These times are coded to the TAZ centroid connector links and vary with area type to simulate distance of travel (time). Network access times coded to TAZ centroid connectors are as follows:

| Area Type | $\underline{\text { Terminal Time }}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $1-\mathrm{CBD}$ | 1.00 minutes |
| $2-$ Urban | 1.00 minutes |
| 3 - Suburban | 2.00 minutes |
| 4 - Rural | 3.00 minutes |

### 3.5.6. Year 2000 Roadway Network Revisions

While the GIS centerline files formed a solid basis for development of the TransCAD model network, some revisions were necessary due to differences with the way ArcInfo and TransCAD treat line files. For instance, when two lines (streets) cross, ArcInfo creates a node at their junction as if an intersection existed. ArcInfo does not have a way to allow two lines (streets) to cross without an intersection node. In locations where grade separations exist (overpasses or underpasses), revisions were required within TransCAD to allow two intersecting lines without a resulting node (intersection).

Other revisions were required to identify the correct direction of flow (traffic flow) where the line's topology did not indicate the correct direction. TransCAD uses a "DIR" field to differentiate one or two-way flow, and to indicate if the one way flow is with, or opposite the link's topology. Lastly, many links in the GIS centerline file were not "connected". The centerline file links used as model links were carefully checked for connectivity, a necessary condition for conveyance of vehicle flows.

Most significant network revisions related to adding data fields to links. While the GIS centerline file contained many fields necessary for modeling, they were not set up for
modeling. To retain the centerline data as originally supplied (for other purposes), additional data fields were added and some existing fields were re-named. Significant changes to link data are summarized below. A complete listing of model link data fields and their use/meaning is included in Appendix A.

DATA FIELD CHANGE

Lanes
LANES
Network_ID

Model Speed
Count_ID

Link Type
TravTime

Changed to "Total Lanes"
Added as field to indicate directional through lanes Added to indicate links to be included in specific network scenarios
Added to indicate modeled traffic free-flow speed Added to code link ID's to links with traffic count data, also useful for post-processing model output and updating link counts for future model updates (same ID is coded to City traffic count spreadsheet) Added to allow coding of link types specific for facility type, area type, one or two-way flow, and number of lanes Added to show free-flow travel time across a link using Model Speed and link length

### 3.6. Trip Generation

Trip generation is the step in the modeling process that utilizes the land use data (socioeconomic data) to calculate the trip making characteristics (person trips) of each TAZ that will eventually be modeled on the roadway network. In this process, person trips are classified into three main trip purposes as follows:

1 HBW - Home Based Work Trips
2 HBO - Home Based Non-work Trips
3 NHB - Non-home Based Trips
These trip purposes are consistent with the TModel2 model and allow travel characteristics associated with each to be quantified separately in many different aspects (average trip length, auto occupancy, variation by time-of-day, etc.).

Many factors influence the amount of travel in a region, including automobile ownership, income, household size, density and type of development. Most travel demand models make use of disaggregate socioeconomic data, such as households classified by vehicle ownership, households classified by family size, or households classified by income to determine the amount of travel generated in the region.

The trip generation model used for this project estimates trip productions and trip attractions separately. In describing the direction for a trip, the term origin refers to the starting point while the term destination refers to the ending point. However, the trip ends at the household are called productions, while the trip ends at nonresidential land
uses are called attractions. Hence, the production-attraction format does not indicate the true direction of travel as opposed to the origin-destination format.

Trip generation models consist of two sub-models: trip production models and trip attraction models. While household data is used to estimate trip productions, employment data is the primary data used to estimate trip attractions. Each model is discussed separately in sub-sections which follow. Also discussed within this section are special generators (zones where productions and attractions are calculated separately), trip generation procedures for external trips (trips with either an origin or destination outside the model area), and procedures for estimating through trips (trips with neither an origin nor destination within the model area). Lastly, procedures for balancing trips to obtain an equal number of productions and attractions are discussed.

### 3.6.1. Trip Production Model

Trip productions are associated with the traveler's home. For instance, if a person went from home to work in the morning, and then went from work to home in the evening, the traveler would have generated two productions at the home TAZ and two attractions at the work TAZ. Households, or the number of family units, are the primary data source for estimating trip productions.

Many different sources are available for estimating trip rates per household. While some sources consider only the number of households, studies have shown that when households are stratified by a second variable (household size, income, or auto ownership), more realistic results can be obtained. In addition, it was found that trip rates varied by the size of the urbanized area.

To develop trip rates for the Rapid City TransCAD model, several sources were consulted, including NCHRP Report No. 187, NCHRP Report No. 365, and documentation included with the TransCAD software platform.

Using the year 2000 household data, several trip production models based on national data were tested. The results of initial production models are shown in Table 5.

Table 5 - Initial Production Model Results

|  | Total Daily <br> Person Trip <br> P's | Daily HBW <br> Person Trip <br> P's | Daily HBO <br> Person Trip <br> P's | Daily NHB <br> Person Trip <br> P's | Daily P's <br> per HH | Daily P's <br> per <br> Person |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Cross-Classification using <br> Avg. HH Income and Avg. <br> HH Size. | 350,244 | 66,939 | 196,840 | 86,464 | 11.35 | 4.43 |
| Cross-Classification using <br> Avg. HH Income and Sub- <br> Classification of HH Size. | 300,589 | 59,216 | 170,125 | 71,248 | 9.74 | 3.80 |
| Cross-Classification using <br> Avg. HH Size and Avg. <br> Autos/HH. | 341,742 | 65,314 | 192,026 | 84,401 | 11.07 | 4.33 |
| Cross-Classification using <br> Avg. HH Income and Avg. <br> Autos/HH. | 374,041 | 75,826 | 208,105 | 90,110 | 12.12 | 4.73 |

The initial production model results were compared with available local data, including a trip generation rate study conducted by the Rapid City MPO in 1995, and with available work trip data from the 1990 census. Factoring 1990 census data (trips to work by mode) to year 2000 levels indicated that about 77,000 daily HBW trips could be expected from the model area. Additionally, local trip generation rate studies indicated that vehicle trip productions per household in the Rapid City area averaged about 12 trips per day. Based on these comparisons, and considering available data, household data cross-classified by average household size and auto ownership was determined the best method for estimating productions for the Rapid City area.

It was recognized that the selected method under estimated HBW trips by about $15 \%$. Consequently, the NCHRP Report No. 365 trip rates contained in the production model supplied with TransCAD were adjusted to compensate. A custom production rate table resulted that is utilized for the Rapid City TransCAD model. The results of the trip production model for the year 2000 household data are summarized in Table 6. Complete trip production results by TAZ are included in Appendix B.

Table 6 - Trip Production Model Results, Year 2000 Model

| Total Daily <br> Person Trip <br> P's | Daily HBW <br> Person Trip <br> P's | Daily HBO <br> Person Trip <br> P's | Daily NHB <br> Person Trip <br> P's | Daily P's <br> per HH | Daily P's <br> per <br> Person |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 403,307 | 77,078 | 226,625 | 99,604 | 13.07 | 5.10 |

### 3.6.2. Trip Attraction Model

Trip attractions are the trip end associated with the non-home end of a trip, such as a workplace, shopping center, or school. Trip attractions are estimated based on employment within each TAZ. While the older NCHRP Report No. 187 considered only two types of employment (retail and non-retail) for its trip attraction model, the more recent NCHRP Report No. 365 utilizes three categories of employment (retail, service, other). An additional option for the trip attraction model would be to utilize site-specific vehicle trip rates, such as are published in the ITE reference "Trip Generation". Drawbacks to this method are the availability of site-specific data for each TAZ, and the existence of an applicable rate for each land use. Additionally, the use of vehicle trips for attractions limits the model's ability to perform modeling of mode shifts or changes to auto occupancy.

For this model, the NCHRP Report No. 365 methodology was selected for the trip attraction model. That methodology uses four formulas to calculate attractions for HBW, HBO, and NHB purposes. The model formulas are listed in Table 7.

## Table 7 - Person Trip Attractions by Purpose

```
HBW Attractions = 1.45 x Total Employment
HBO Attractions CBD = 2.00 x CBD RE + 1.7 x SE + 0.5 < OE + 0.9 x HH
HBO Attractions NCBD = 9.00 x NCBD RE + 1.7 x SE + 0.5 x OE + 0.9 x HH
NHB Attractions CBD = 1.40 x DBD RE + 1.2 x SE + 0.5 x OE + 0.5 x HH
```

NHB Attractions NCBD $=4.10 \times$ NCBD RE $+1.2 \times \mathrm{SE}+0.5 \times \mathrm{OE}+0.5 \times \mathrm{HH}+2.25 \times \mathrm{CG} *$
where:
CBD RE $\quad=$ Retail Employment in Central Business District Zones,
NCBD RE = Retail Employment in Non-Central Business District Zones,
SE = Service Employment,
OE $\quad=$ Other Employment (Basic and Government), and
HH = Households
CG = Camp Ground Sites

* Campground rate added to NCHRP \#365 procedure for Rapid City Model

Model trip attractions were calculated with an Excel spreadsheet model separate from the TransCAD modules for ease of data manipulation and rate-changes. The spreadsheet uses square footage of non-retail space in each category as input, and results with attractions (person trips) summed by TAZ as output. Resulting trip attractions by TAZ are included in Appendix B.

### 3.6.3. Special Generators

Special generators are zones that exhibit trip making patterns that are not consistent with the attraction rates discussed above. Special generator zones typically include large or intense trip-attracting facilities where employment would not produce attractions of a
magnitude actually experienced by the land use. Special generators typically include such land uses as universities, shopping malls, airports, etc. When identified as special generators, separate attraction models are utilized in addition to the model discussed in the previous section.

To identify which land uses should be analyzed as special generators, a comparison of production and attraction model-generated trips was made to trips estimated for a specific land use using the ITE reference "Trip Generation". Initially, 120 land uses (parcels) were identified within 76 different TAZ's that might qualify to be treated as special generators. Attractions were formulated for these TAZ's given their household and employment data. In addition, ITE trip rates were also utilized to calculate trips associated specifically with the suspected special generator land use. Then a comparison was made of total attractions. Where the suspected special generator land use attractions constituted $50 \%$ or more of all TAZ attractions, the TAZ was considered a special generator zone. These TAZ's are treated differently than others in that attractions are held (not adjusted) during the balancing process (discussed in a later section).

For the Rapid City TransCAD model, 22 TAZ's were deemed to have land uses that qualified them to be identified as special generators. The special generator zones are identified with a " 1 " in the "SpGen" field of the TAZ model layer. Special generator zones are identified in a table contained in Appendix B, and include such land uses as the National American University, museums, SDSM\&T, Storybook Island, Ellsworth Air Force Base, Rushmore Mall, and the Regional Airport.

### 3.6.4. External Station Traffic Volumes

Traffic that enters or leaves the modeling area around its perimeter is not included specifically in trip generation as outlined above. The Rapid City TransCAD model contains 16 locations where the roadway network connects with the "outside world". It is at these locations where internal/external interactions must be accounted for.

Traffic count data at the external stations provides the total volume of traffic that constitutes the internal/external interaction, although some portion of the traffic volume at these locations merely passes through the modeling area without an internal origin or destination. Those "pass-through" trips are called external-external (E-E) trips, the remaining portion of total traffic has an internal origin or destination and are classified as either external-to-internal (E-I) or internal-to-external (I-E) trips. Each is discussed separately in subsequent sub-sections.

Traffic count data was acquired for highways linked to the 16 external station TAZ's from which to estimate the portion of E-E trips (and the resulting E-I or I-E trips). The 16 external station links and their corresponding daily traffic volumes are shown in Table 8.

Table 8 - External Station Traffic Volume (Year 2000)

| External Sta. <br> Number | Description | ADT |
| :---: | :--- | ---: |
| 211 | I-90 - West | 22,500 |
| 212 | Deadwood Ave. North of I-90 | 2,750 |
| 213 | Haines Avenue North of Viking Rd. | 1,667 |
| 214 | W. Nike Road North of Country Rd. | 177 |
| 215 | Dyess Avenue North of 225 St. | 329 |
| 216 | Elk Vale Road North of Country Rd. | 750 |
| 217 | 151st Avenue North of I-90 | 178 |
| 218 | I-90 East | 17,566 |
| 219 | E. Highway 44 | 3,233 |
| 220 | S. Highway 79 | 6,035 |
| 221 | Neck Yoke Road | 675 |
| 222 | S. Highway 16 | 8,500 |
| 223 | Sheridan Lake Road | 582 |
| 224 | W. Highway 44 | 4,310 |
| 225 | Nemo Road | 1,376 |
| 226 | SD Highway 79 | 5,496 |

### 3.6.5. External-External Traffic

E-E trips are trips that pass through the modeling area without a stop. The NCHRP Report No. 365 procedure for estimating through trips was utilized to formulate an estimate of this portion of traffic at each external station.

Research has shown that the percent of through trips at and between external stations is related to the functional classification of the link, the connectivity of each external station pair, the average daily traffic volume at the external station, the size of the population of the modeling area, and the vehicle composition at the external station. Generally, the greater the volume and more direct the connectivity with other external stations, the greater the percentage of pass-through trips. Also, a greater percentage of truck traffic tends to indicate a greater fraction of pass-through trips.

The NCHRP \#365 procedure was formatted to an Excel spreadsheet to allow a somewhat automated procedure to calculate the percentage of through trips for the Rapid City TransCAD model. The resulting estimate of through trips at each external station, including formula inputs, is shown in Table 9.

Table 9 - Through Trip Estimate for External Stations (Year 2000)

| External Sta. Number | Description | ADT | \% Tks | \%Pu/Van | Functional Class |  |  | Area Population | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { \% of ADT } \\ \text { as E-E Trips } \end{array}$ | E-E Trips |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Interstate | Princ. Art. | Minor Art. |  |  |  |
| 211 | 1-90 - West | 22,500 | 11\% | 10\% | 1 |  |  | 79,000 | 57.8 | 6,500 |
| 212 | Deadwood Ave North of I-90 | 2,750 | 13\% | 10\% |  | 1 |  | 79,000 | 18.4 | 250 |
| 213 | Haines Avenue North o Viking Rd. | 1,667 | 3\% | 10\% |  |  | 1 | 79,000 | 1.8 | 20 |
| 214 | W. Nike Road North of Country Rd. | 177 | 5\% | 10\% |  |  | 1 | 79,000 | 1.6 | - |
| 215 | Dyess Avenue North of225 St. | 329 | 5\% | 10\% |  |  | 1 | 79,000 | 1.7 | - |
| 216 | Elk Vale Road North of Country Rd. | 750 | 8\% | 10\% |  |  | 1 | 79,000 | 1.7 | 10 |
| 217 | 151st Avenue North of I90 | 178 | 5\% | 10\% |  |  | 1 | 79,000 | 1.6 | - |
| 218 | I-90 East | 17,566 | 12\% | 10\% | 1 |  |  | 79,000 | 57.2 | 5,020 |
| 219 | E. Highway 44 | 3,233 | 12\% | 10\% |  | 1 |  | 79,000 | 18.5 | 300 |
| 220 | S. Highway 79 | 6,035 | 16\% | 10\% |  | 1 |  | 79,000 | 18.8 | 570 |
| 221 | Neck yoke Road | 675 | 3\% | 10\% |  |  | 1 | 79,000 | 1.7 | 10 |
| 222 | S. Highway 16 | 8,500 | 8\% | 10\% |  | 1 |  | 79,000 | 19.1 | 810 |
| 223 | Sheridan Lake Road | 582 | 2\% | 10\% |  |  | 1 | 79,000 | 1.7 | - |
| 224 | W. Highway 44 | 4,310 | 5\% | 10\% |  |  | 1 | 79,000 | 2.1 | 50 |
| 225 | Nemo Road | 1,376 | 8\% | 10\% |  | 1 |  | 79,000 | 18.2 | 130 |
| 226 | SD Highway 79 | 5,496 | 14\% | 10\% |  | 1 |  | 79,000 | 18.8 | 520 |

Following the estimate of E-E trips at each external station, it is necessary to create a matrix for E-E trips...that is a matrix that shows the station-to-station volume for all through trips. Again, NCHRP \#365 was consulted in the absence of any local data or studies. That reference has developed a procedure to estimate the initial interaction between external stations that is based on the functional classification of each external station link and whether the route is continuous to a corresponding external station. This procedure is part of the same spreadsheet, and results with the initial station-to-station EE trip matrix shown in Table 10.

Table 10 - Initial Station-to-Station E-E Trip Matrix

| External Sta. |  |  |  | Origin | Destination Zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | Description | ADT | E-E Trips | Zone | 211 | 212 | 218 | 219 | 220 | 222 | 225 | 226 |
| 211 | I-90 - West | 22,500 | 6,500 | 211 | 0 | 265 | 4446 | 285 | 406 | 510 | 205 | 383 |
| 212 | Deadwood Ave North of I-90 | 2,750 | 250 | 212 | 7 | 0 | 56 | 30 | 42 | 53 | 21 | 40 |
| 213 | Haines Avenue North o Viking Rd. | 1,667 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 214 | W. Nike Road North of Country Rd. | 177 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 215 | Dyess Avenue North of 225 St . | 329 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 216 | Elk Vale Road North of Country Rd. | 750 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 217 | 151st Avenue North of 190 | 178 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 218 | I-90 East | 17,566 | 5,020 | 218 | 3433 | 205 | 0 | 220 | 314 | 394 | 159 | 295 |
| 219 | E. Highway 44 | 3,233 | 300 | 219 | 38 | 19 | 38 | 0 | 29 | 36 | 14 | 127 |
| 220 | S. Highway 79 | 6,035 | 570 | 220 | 74 | 36 | 74 | 39 | 0 | 70 | 28 | 248 |
| 221 | Neck yoke Road | 675 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 222 | S. Highway 16 | 8,500 | 810 | 222 | 67 | 33 | 552 | 35 | 50 | 0 | 25 | 47 |
| 223 | Sheridan Lake Road | 582 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 224 | W. Highway 44 | 4,310 | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 225 | Nemo Road | 1,376 | 130 | 225 | 24 | 12 | 24 | 13 | 18 | 23 | 0 | 17 |
| 226 | SD Highway 79 | 5,496 | 520 | 226 | 67 | 33 | 67 | 36 | 228 | 64 | 26 | 0 |

It should be noted that several external stations resulted with less than 100 vpd estimated to be E-E trips. Those stations are not included with the initial E-E trip matrix and E-E trips from those stations are assumed to be negligible.

Because the E-E trips represent average daily trips, the matrix should be symmetrical. The initial E-E trip matrix needs to be balanced for use with the travel demand model, under the assumption that directional distribution of E-E trips is equal for a 24-hour period. The balancing procedure is also part of the spreadsheet and is conducted through an iterative process called Fratar Balancing, where row and column totals are alternatively compared to their target values, and factored by their difference. The process is repeated until row/column totals converge within 1 percent of target values. The balanced E-E trip matrix resulting from the Fratar Balancing process is shown in Table 11

Table 11 - Balanced E-E Trip Matrix (Year 2000)

| Origin | Destination Zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zone | 211 | 212 | 218 | 219 | 220 | 222 | 225 | 226 |
| 211 | 0 | 88 | 6054 | 112 | 193 | 474 | 54 | 159 |
| 212 | 88 | 0 | 254 | 26 | 45 | 54 | 12 | 38 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 218 | 6054 | 254 | 0 | 257 | 427 | 1046 | 129 | 361 |
| 219 | 112 | 26 | 257 | 0 | 40 | 45 | 10 | 79 |
| 220 | 193 | 45 | 427 | 40 | 0 | 84 | 19 | 251 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 222 | 474 | 54 | 1046 | 45 | 84 | 0 | 20 | 70 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 225 | 54 | 12 | 129 | 10 | 19 | 20 | 0 | 15 |
| 226 | 159 | 38 | 361 | 79 | 251 | 70 | 15 | 0 |

### 3.6.6. External-Internal Traffic

The internal-to-external (I-E) and external-to-internal (E-I) trips need to be coded as productions and attractions at the external station TAZ's. Without travel survey data on which to base this estimate, NCHRP Report No. 365 was consulted to formulate estimates based on national data.

All trips (vehicle counts) at external stations that are not included in the E-E trip matrix need to be included as vehicle trip productions or attractions at the same external station to balance the model area interaction with the "outside world". The estimation procedure outlined by NCHRP \#365 considers several factors in estimating the external productions and attractions for each purpose, including the size of the modeling area, its socioeconomic characteristics, and proximity to other urbanized and suburban areas. While the existence of a strong employment center within the model area will tend to pull more nonresidents into the region for work trips, a model area that is mostly suburban may have a shortage of overall employment opportunities and a surplus of service and retail employment. In such a community, the flow of trips across the external station boundaries could reflect a net export of work trips and a net import of other trips.

Considering the socioeconomic make-up of the Rapid City modeling area, it is estimated that the region will be a net importer of work and shopping trips. For this modeling effort, the area is considered to be a net importer of work trips by a $70 / 30$ split, with the exception of TAZ's \#211 and \#226 where the ratio is assumed to be 80/20. The modeling area is assumed to be a net importer of HBO trips by a 60/40 ratio for all external stations. Following the guidelines of NCHRP \#365, HBW trips at external stations are assumed to make up 40\% of E-I and I-E trips, HBO trips are assumed to
make up 35\% of E-I and I-E trips, and NHB trips are assumed to make up 25\% of E-I and I-E trips.

The next step of the internal-external interaction is to factor the vehicle trips (achieved from station counts) to person trips to enable balancing with internal person trip productions and attractions. For this step, an average auto occupancy factor of 1.10 was utilized for HBW trips, 1.30 for HBO trips, and 1.20 for NHB trips. These auto occupancy rates were selected during the model calibration process and represent reasonable values for mid-western communities of this size and were shown to result with the correct overall number of vehicle trips on the model network.

The resulting internal-external interaction (productions \& attractions) person trips are shown in Table 12.

Table 12 - E-I and I-E Productions and Attractions (Year 2000)

| External Sta. Number | Description | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { I-E \& E-I } \\ \text { Trips } \\ \hline \hline \end{gathered}$ | I-E \& E-I Person Trip Productions and Attractions |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Productions |  |  | Attractions |  |  |
|  |  |  | HBW | HBO | NHB | HBW | HBO | NHB |
| 211 | 1-90 - West | 9500 | 3344 | 2594 | 1426 | 836 | 1729 | 1424 |
| 212 | Deadwood Ave. North of I-90 | 2250 | 693 | 615 | 337 | 297 | 410 | 338 |
| 213 | Haines Avenue North of Viking Rd. | 1627 | 502 | 445 | 244 | 215 | 295 | 245 |
| 214 | W. Nike Road North of Country Rd. | 177 | 55 | 48 | 26 | 23 | 33 | 26 |
| 215 | Dyess Avenue North of 225 St . | 329 | 101 | 90 | 49 | 44 | 60 | 49 |
| 216 | Elk Vale Road North of Country Rd. | 730 | 224 | 199 | 109 | 97 | 134 | 110 |
| 217 | 151st Avenue North of I-90 | 178 | 55 | 48 | 26 | 23 | 33 | 28 |
| 218 | I-90 East | 7526 | 2318 | 2054 | 1129 | 993 | 1370 | 1129 |
| 219 | E. Highway 44 | 2633 | 811 | 719 | 395 | 348 | 480 | 395 |
| 220 | S. Highway 79 | 4895 | 1508 | 1336 | 734 | 646 | 891 | 734 |
| 221 | Neck Yoke Road | 655 | 201 | 179 | 98 | 87 | 118 | 98 |
| 222 | S. Highway 16 | 6880 | 2119 | 1879 | 1032 | 909 | 1252 | 1032 |
| 223 | Sheridan Lake Road | 582 | 179 | 159 | 88 | 77 | 107 | 88 |
| 224 | W. Highway 44 | 4210 | 1297 | 1149 | 631 | 556 | 767 | 632 |
| 225 | Nemo Road | 1116 | 343 | 304 | 168 | 147 | 204 | 167 |
| 226 | SD Highway 79 | 4456 | 1569 | 1217 | 668 | 392 | 811 | 668 |

### 3.6.7. Balancing Productions and Attractions

It is necessary to achieve an exact balance between trip productions and attractions before performing the trip distribution process. This is because each production must be paired with a corresponding attraction, including the E-I and I-E productions and attractions.

As with most models, a higher confidence level is placed on productions since they are generated from household data which tends to be easier to collect (through census surveys). Employment data is much more difficult to collect and, hence, has a lower confidence level for accuracy. Consequently, the control totals of trips are the regional totals of trip productions by purpose. The exceptions to this are special generator zones, where attractions are "held" during the balancing process on a TAZ-by-TAZ basis, although the overall model-wide total of productions governs. Attractions (and productions) are also held at external stations since they are a function of observed traffic counts and, therefore, are fixed and not factored.

It is desirable to develop productions and attractions that are within 10-15\% of each other before beginning the balancing process. In the case of the Rapid City TransCAD model, total productions and attractions (including externals) were within $11 \%$ before the balancing process for the year 2000 model. In this case, productions exceeded attractions by about $11 \%$. Production and attraction results of the trip generation process before balancing are shown in Table 13. A complete listing of balanced productions and attractions by TAZ is provided in Appendix B.

Table 13 - Person Trip Productions and Attractions Before Balancing (Year 2000)

|  | 2000 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HBW | HBO | NHB |
| Total P's | 92,399 | 239,660 | 106,745 |
| Total A's | 72,699 | 196,627 | 109,584 |
| Internal P's | 77,080 | 226,625 | 99,605 |
| Internal A's | 67,007 | 187,933 | 102,421 |
| External P's | 15,319 | 13,035 | 7,140 |
| External A's | 5,692 | 8,694 | 7,163 |
| Total P's (all purposes) |  |  | 438,804 |
| Total A's (all purposes) |  |  | 378,910 |
| External P's as \% of Total |  |  | 3.5\% |
| External A's as \% of Total |  |  | 1.5\% |
| Difference between Total P's \& A's (\%) |  |  | 14\% |
| Difference between Internal P's \& A's (\%) |  |  | 11\% |

### 3.7. Trip Distribution

Trip distribution is the second major step in the travel demand modeling process. Trip generation (the first major step) provided methodology for estimating trip productions and attractions for each purpose within each TAZ. Trip distribution is the process that links the productions to attractions for each zonal pair. It is these trip interchanges that must be accommodated by the transportation system.

The trip distribution process utilizes a gravity model to define the intrazonal and interzonal trip interchanges between zones. A gravity model is the most common form of trip distribution model. A gravity model utilizes an impedance matrix that reflects the distance, time, or cost (or some combination of these) between zones and explicitly relates flows between zones to interzonal impedance. The gravity model was originally motivated by the observation that flows decrease as a function of the distance (impedance) separating zones, and increase as a function of the number of productions or attractions (size) of zones, much like Newton's Law of Gravity.

Many different measures of impedance can be used, such as travel distance, time, or "cost". Several potential impedance functions are also available to describe the relative attractiveness of each zone from the impedance, including exponential, inverse power,
and gamma functions. The gamma function is the one most often used and recommended in US planning practice, and is the function that will be utilized for the Rapid City model.

Before applying the gravity model, we must calibrate the impedance function. This is an iterative process that entails selecting the impedance value (time, distance, cost, etc.), then estimating impedance function (gamma function) coefficients until the gravity model replicates trip length frequency distribution desired.

In the absence of origin-destination studies on which to base actual trip length frequency distributions for the Rapid City area, US Census Bureau information and NCHRP \#365 were consulted to determine reasonable trip length frequency distributions for the three trip purposes. The census data for travel time to work was utilized to create a trip length distribution for work trips (HBW). This "actual" distribution served as the target for our model HBW distribution. The census data on work trips shows an average trip length (including terminal time) of 14.7 minutes. The distribution of census data work trip lengths is shown in Figure 6.

## Figure 6 - Census Work Trip Length Data for Rapid City



The NCHRP \#365 reference notes that work trip lengths typically run 15-20 minutes in "smaller" communities (under 500,000 population), and 25-30 minutes in large metropolitan areas. Given the size of the Rapid City model area ( 79,000 population), a work trip length less than 15 minutes is reasonable. The NCHRP \#365 reference also notes that non-HBW trip lengths typically vary from $75-85 \%$ of the work trip length for communities with less than 500,000 population. These parameters (average HBW trip length, and the relationship of non-HBW trips to HBW trips) served as targets for calibration of the trip distribution process.

Beginning with gamma function coefficients recommended in NCHRP \#365, numerous trial runs were made using distance and travel time as the impedance values. A "cost"
impedance formulated as a combination of time and distance was also tried where impedance was made of $85 \%$ time cost and $15 \%$ distance cost (using $\$ 15 /$ hour for time cost and $\$ 0.35 / \mathrm{mile}$ for distance cost). Starting with the "default" coefficients resulted with an average HBW trip length of just over 11 minutes, lower than our target value. The coefficients and impedance values were varied throughout numerous trial runs until a reasonable average trip length for HBW trip was obtained, and until the shape of the trip length frequency distribution closely matched that of the census data. The resulting gamma function coefficients are listed in Table 14 while the resulting trip length frequency distribution for all purpose trips is shown in Figure 7.

The calibrated trip distribution utilizes travel time as the impedance value and results with an average free-flow HBW trip length of 13.7 minutes. Average trip length for HBO and NHB trips were 10.8 minutes and 11.0 minutes, respectively. At these values, the HBW trip length is $93 \%$ of the target value, while non-HBW trip lengths fall within the $75-85 \%$ of HBW trip length values, as recommended by NCHRP \#365. It should be noted that the trip lengths were based on free-flow network travel times and will likely be somewhat higher under actual assignment conditions of congested network travel times.

Table 14 - Calibrated Gamma Function Coefficients

| Trip Purpose | a | b | c |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| HBW | 28,507 | .02 | .01 |
| HBO | 139,173 | 1.0 | .05 |
| NHB | 219,113 | 1.0 | .05 |

Figure 7 - Trip Length Frequency Distribution - Calibrated Gamma Coefficients


### 3.8. Production-Attraction to Origin-Destination Conversion

The outputs from the earlier stages of the modeling process are in the form of person trip productions and attractions. Since the assignment algorithms require vehicle trip origins and destinations as inputs, the productions and attractions must be converted to origins and destinations, and the person trips must be converted to vehicle trips. TransCAD provides procedures to easily make this conversion. In addition, TransCAD provides the capabilities of producing a 24-hour origin-destination matrix, or to provide separate matrices for each hour of the day. TransCAD also allows vehicle occupancy factors to be applied simultaneously with the P-A conversion to O-D. For initial model development, a 24 -hour vehicle trip matrix is desired. The TransCAD P-A to O-D procedure is utilized with auto occupancy rates as discussed below. The result is a 24 -hour vehicle O-D matrix.

### 3.8.1. Auto Occupancy Rates

Trips generated by trip purpose during the trip generation step (and consequently through the trip distribution step) are in person trips, as discussed above. Auto occupancy factors are utilized to convert from person trips to vehicle trips prior to assigning the traffic to the roadway network. Auto occupancy rates can also be utilized to account for alternate modes, including motorized (taxi, bus, etc.) and non-motorized (walk, bicycle, etc.) modes.

Trip purpose is the most significant factor influencing auto occupancy. Other factors such as household income and trip distance are less important factors of auto occupancy.

In the absence of local study data, auto occupancy factors were initially based on national averages listed in NCHRP Report No. 365. That report suggests that for communities of 50,000 to 200,000 population, HBW trip auto occupancy rates are about 1.11 persons/auto. It also suggests that HBO auto occupancy rates averaged about 1.56, while NHB auto occupancy rates averaged about 1.56 persons/auto. Use of these national average rates initially produced assignments that were low when compared to traffic counts. Discussion with the Model Working Group suggested that auto occupancy rates for Rapid City were likely lower than national averages. As one of the final stages of model calibration, lower rates were applied until assignments approximately equaled counts in aggregate. Resulting auto occupancy rates are shown in Table 15.

Table 15 - Auto Occupancy By Trip Purpose

| TRIP PURPOSE | OCCUPANCY RATE (Persons/Vehicle) |
| :---: | :---: |
| HBW | 1.10 |
| HBO | 1.30 |
| NHB | 1.20 |

### 3.9. Traffic Assignment

The traffic assignment process allocates vehicle traffic to individual roadway links. This step takes as input a matrix of flows (vehicles) that indicate the volume of traffic between origin and destination pairs.

In the preceding section, our P-A to O-D transformation and application of auto occupancy factors resulted with three matrices of vehicle flows...one for each purpose. The external-external vehicle trip matrix developed earlier also needs to be included in the assignment process. To develop the combined vehicle trip matrix for assignment, the four individual matrices (HBW, HBO, NHB, and EE) are added cell-by-cell to create a combined, 24-hour all purpose vehicle trip matrix. Paths for the traffic assignment are developed using the same impedance function (travel time) as was used for trip distribution.

TransCAD supports a wide variety of assignment methods, including all-or-nothing assignments, STOCH assignments, incremental assignments, capacity restraint, and user equilibrium assignments. Since it was desired to consider the impacts of link volume and congestion when assigning trips to a particular path, the all-or-nothing and STOCH methods were not considered appropriate. Although the TModel2 model utilized an incremental assignment technique, this method is a variation of the all-or-nothing method and again was not considered appropriate for use with this model update. Capacity restraint methods attempt to approximate an equilibrium solution by iterating between all-or-nothing loadings and recalculating link travel times following each loading. This method does not converge to an equilibrium solution and results are highly dependent on the specific number of iterations run. A user equilibrium assignment technique was selected for this model update since it uses an iterative process to converge on a solution. After each iteration, link flows are computed and travel times re-calculated. While a specific number of iterations can be specified, typically iterations continue until some user-specified convergence value is reached.

Inputs required for user equilibrium assignment (and other capacity restrained assignment methods) are link performance functions. These functions describe the travel time across a link under various conditions of congestion as measured by volume-to-capacity ratios. Most common for this function is the BPR (Bureau of Public Roads) equation. For this equation, the user selects link capacity and two calibration parameters (alpha and beta). While the basic BPR equation used values of 0.15 and 4.0 for alpha and beta, respectively, recent work has demonstrated that different values should be utilized to better replicate delay as computed using the Highway Capacity Manual. Recently suggested values for alpha vary from 0.71 to 1.0 for multi-lane roadways, and from 0.56 to 0.88 for freeways. Suggested values for beta vary from 2.1 to 5.4 for multi-lane roadways, and from 3.6 to 9.8 for freeways.

Values of BPR equation coefficients selected for this model update are listed in Table 15. The resulting variation of link speed using these values is demonstrated in Figure 8 and Figure 9 for freeway and arterial links, respectively.

Table 15 - BPR Coefficient Values

| Coefficient | Interstates | Arterials | Collectors |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Alpha $(\boldsymbol{\alpha})$ | 0.88 | 0.84 | 0.84 |
| Beta $(\boldsymbol{\beta})$ | 9.80 | 5.50 | 5.50 |

Figure 8 - Travel Speed Variation with V/C - Interstate Links


Figure 9 - Travel Speed Variation with V/C - Arterial Links


For the Rapid City TransCAD model, the BPR coefficients, as well as link capacities are maintained in a link type look-up table. Use of this table provides an easy method of
changing these values, and allows the use of a single network for both 24-hour and time-of-day assignments (through use of two different link type look-up tables).

Traffic assignment procedures developed for the Rapid City model require two traffic assignments. Following the initial assignment using link free-flow speeds, a second assignment is made using the congested travel times resulting from the initial assignment. In this way, the path selection for the assignment reflects path choice under congested conditions.

### 3.10. Travel Model Output Summary \& Reporting Procedures

Following execution of the traffic assignment, TransCAD creates a binary file of link volumes, final travel speeds, v/c ratios, and congested travel times. The output file is joined to the link database file. The user merely needs to "export" the joined file as a geographic file to have permanent access to the assignment results.

In any assignment of travel to a network, the link-assigned volumes may require some redistribution between available facilities to more closely reflect actual operating conditions. Historically, transportation planning procedures have used screen lines and /or auxiliary cut lines to validate and analyze assignment results. The use of functional class, count ID, and link type identifiers in the network line file allows complete flexibility to extract model output for various combinations of links. As part of this model development process, several Excel spreadsheets have been set up to quickly perform some "post-processing" of assignment output.

A screen line adjustment procedure documented in NCHRP Report No. 255 has been "programmed" to an Excel spreadsheet. This procedure is based on the premise that while models are accurate with assignments within a travel corridor of multiple routes, models are less accurate with respect to assignments to individual routes within a single corridor. The procedure examines differences between the base year counts and base year model assignment, and then makes corresponding adjustments to a future year assignment. The procedure is capable of accounting for new routes and increased capacity on existing routes as well.

The spreadsheet contains information about the base year (2000) calibrated model results, and only needs results from a specific run to perform screen line adjustments. The process requires only that the assignment output be screened to include only links with counts (where "COUNT_ID" field is $>0$ ), and sorted into COUNT_ID ascending order. The screened, sorted data then can be easily saved from TransCAD as a database file, and the results "pasted" directly into the input portion of the spreadsheet. The spreadsheet is currently set up to process over 40 different screen lines and report the results in COUNT_ID order. A printed copy of one of the screen line adjustment spreadsheets is included in Appendix D. A map showing model network links and screen lines established for calibration and assignment adjustments is included as Figure 10.

While the screen line adjustment process is automatic, some user judgment is still required to review results for reasonableness. Since some specific count links are reported by more than one screen line, user judgment is required to select the most reasonable traffic volume projection.

Just as caution is advised when reporting results of the screen line adjustment process, caution is also advised when reporting raw model assignments. The user must keep in mind the required accuracy of travel demand models (plus or minus one lane) and understand that others may expect, or assume greater levels of accuracy.

Figure 10 - Model Screen Lines


### 3.11. Validation to Year 2000 Traffic Counts

Validation of model parameters and results began with trip generation procedures. At that level, US Census Bureau data was utilized to ensure HBW trip productions matched closely with model-produced HBW trip productions. At subsequent steps, validation adjustments were also made. HBW trip length frequency and average trip lengths were closely matched to census data during the trip distribution process.

Following assignment, validation consists with comparison of model assignments to ground counts to ensure reasonable assignment and route choice by the model. Several references provide guidelines for allowable error with "calibrated" models. NCHRP \#365 notes acceptable assignment errors vs. counts based on facility type. NCHRP \#255 lists acceptable errors for individual links, also based on facility type. In addition to comparison of assignments at the link level, an examination of differences of total assignment vs. count at the screen line level is also a good method of model validation.

### 3.11.1. Link Volume Comparisons

On a link-by-link basis, model validation was compared against both the NCHRP \#365 and NCHRP \#255 standards. With over 300 links coded with traffic count data, the comparison is comprehensive and wide-spread. Table 16 shows the acceptable error and actual error of count vs. assignment for five different facility types throughout the model. Table 17 shows allowable per link error vs. actual per link error for the calibrated model.

Table 16 - Overall Acceptable Error vs. Actual Overall Error

| FACILITY TYPE | ACCEPTABLE ERROR* | ACTUAL ERROR |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Freeways/Interstates | $7 \%$ | $0.60 \%$ |
| Principal Arterials | $10 \%$ | $-0.03 \%$ |
| Minor Arterials | $15 \%$ | $4.00 \%$ |
| Collectors | $25 \%$ | $-12.30 \%$ |
| Locals | N/A | $21.50 \%$ |
| OVERALL | N/A | $-1.60 \%$ |

*From NCHRP \#365 and "Calibration and Adjustment of System Planning Models (1990)

Table 17 - Acceptable Error Per Link vs. Actual Per Link Error

| FACILITY TYPE | ACCEPTABLE ERROR* | ACTUAL MAXIMUM <br> ERROR |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Freeways/Interstates | $+/-18,000 \mathrm{vpd}$ | $3,876 \mathrm{vpd}$ |
| Principal Arterials | $+/-8,500$ to $15,000 \mathrm{vpd}$ | $15,244 \mathrm{vpd}{ }^{* *}$ |
| Minor Arterials | $+/-8,250 \mathrm{vpd}$ | $6,216 \mathrm{vpd}$ |
| Collectors | $+/-5,500 \mathrm{vpd}$ | $10,005 \mathrm{vpd}^{* * *}$ |

* From NCHRP \#255
**Only 12 links of 161 total Principal Arterial links exceed 8,500 vpd absolute error.
**Only 2 links of 50 total Collector links exceed 5,500 vpd absolute error

Figure 11 is a "scattergram" that is a plot of count vs. assignment at every link with a COUNT_ID in the model network. The plot also shows the acceptable error per NCHRP \#255. As can be observed, the allowable error is less as the volume is lower. The rationale for this trend with allowable error is that the model should not fail to predict the need for an additional traffic lane on a network roadway or predict an additional lane where one would not be needed. The plot also shows an r-squared coefficient (coefficient of best fit) for the data as $\mathrm{R}^{2}=0.8242$. NCHRP \#255 and other references generally note r-squared coefficients should be 0.80 or higher.

Figure 11 - Calibrated Model Assignment vs. Counts Scattergram


### 3.11.2. Screen Line Comparisons

As was stated previously, travel demand models are typically much better at volume assignments on a corridor level, rather than at the individual route level. It is for this reason that screen line adjustments are often undertaken prior to reporting traffic volume projections. For the Rapid City Model, 46 different screen lines were developed to assist with model calibration and reporting. Screen lines are considered "good" when assigned volumes across links of the screen line are within $20 \%$ of counts. Of the 46 screen lines utilized for model post processing and calibration, 34 are within acceptable parameters. 37 of the 46 screen lines have assignments within $25 \%$ of counts.

The screen lines were utilized to enhance model calibration. The results showed that while most screen lines were "good", individual links on some contained significant assignment errors. To correct individual assignment errors, travel speeds were adjusted (typically by less than 5 mph ) on some links. The adjustments where model speed differs from actual posted speed limits are listed below:

- Reduced speed from 30 to 25 mph on North Street from Haines to West Blvd (I90 Business Loop).
- Increased speed from 25 to 30 mph on West Blvd. from Flormann to St. Joseph.
- Reduced speed from 65 to 55 mph on US 16 Bypass
- Increased speed from 35 to 45 mph on Catron Blvd.
- Increased speed from 20 to 25 mph on St. Joseph and Main St. between West Blvd. and $5^{\text {th }}$ Street.
- Reduced speed from 45 to 35 mph on E. St. Joseph between Steele and Campbell (previously was a mix of 35 and $45 \mathrm{mph} .$. changed all to 35 mph ).
- Reduced speed from 35 to 30 mph on Jackson Blvd. from Canyon Lake to Mountain View.
- Reduced speed from 50 mph to 35 mph on W. Chicago from Sturgis Rd. to Mountain View.
- Increased speed from 30 to 35 mph on W. Main from Sturgis Rd to Mountain View.
- Increased speed from 30 to 35 mph on Canyon Lake from Jackson to Mountain View.
- Increased speed from 25 to 30 mph on Anamosa St. from Haines to LaCrosse.
- Increased speed from 30 to 35 mph on E. North speed from Omaha to LaCrosse.


## 4. Model Development for Year 2025

The base year (2000) model is useful for current planning, but was primarily developed for use in future years. As part of model development, a future year model was developed for the year 2025. For future year modeling, only land use and model network changes are typically modeled, although changes to auto occupancy and mode shift are also possible.

### 4.1. Year 2025 Land Use Data Projections

Through their Neighborhood Planning process, the Rapid City MPO has developed year 2025 land use projections for both residential and non-residential uses. The neighborhood plans were utilized by MPO staff to provide future land use data at the TAZ level for future year modeling.

A notable difference between non-residential land use data format between the base and future year was discovered. While base year non-residential land use data was reported by structure square footage in ten different categories, future year non-residential land use was reported in only four categories. Consequently, conversion factors developed and utilized for base year modeling could not be used directly for future year modeling. Instead, equivalent conversion factors were developed. Non-residential conversion factors used for both base year and future year modeling are shown in Table 18.

Table 18 - Non-residential Land Use Conversion Factors (sq.ft. to employment)

| Year 2000 Employment Conversion Factors |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Employment Category Name | Conversion Units |  |  |
| Retail | 1.56 | Emp. / | 1000 sq. ft. |
| Drive Thru Retail | 2.78 | Emp. / | 1000 sq. ft. |
| Service | 1.56 | Emp. I | 1000 sq. ft. |
| Hospital / Medical | 3.23 | Emp. / | $1000 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{ft}$. |
| Warehousing / Manufacturing | 1.56 | Emp. / | 1000 sq. ft. |
| Elementary School / Daycare | 1.11 | Emp. / | 1000 sq. ft. |
| High School | 2.86 | Emp. / | 1000 sq. ft. |
| Hotel / Motel | 0.5 | Emp. I | 500 sq. ft. |
| Campground | 1 | Site / | 1 sites |
| Office | 3.03 | Emp. | 1000 sq. ft. |
|  |  |  |  |
| Year 2025 Employment Conversion Factors |  |  |  |
| Retail | 1.65 | Emp. / | 1000 sq.ft. |
| Service | 2.13 | Emp. / | 1000 sq.ft. |
| Office/Industrial | 1.56 | Emp. / | 1000 sq.ft. |
| Public | 1.84 | Emp. / | 1000 sq.ft. |

Once employment was determined for the future year socioeconomic data, special generator land uses were examined for growth. Based on input from City of Rapid City staff, changes to special generators were made. Those changes included the following:

- National American University enrollment increased from 800 to 1,100 students
- Nursing School enrollment increased from 97 to 135 students
- SDSM\&T enrollment increased from 2,500 students to 3,500 students
- Oglala Lakota College enrollment increased from 90 to 125 students
- Western Dakota Technical Institute enrollment increased from 850 to 1,200 students
- Western Dakota Tech enrollment increased from 300 to 420 students
- Regional Airport traffic increased from 49 to 75 daily flights

An examination of the socioeconomic growth projected for the modeling area highlights some interesting trends. The growth trends are detailed in Table 19 and shown graphically in Figure 12.

Table 19 - Socioeconomic Growth, 2000 to 2025 (within model area only)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0} \%$ of Total | $\mathbf{2 0 2 5} \%$ of Total | Growth (\%) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Retail Employment | 10,716 | 22,880 | $29 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $114 \%$ |
| Service Employment | 9,390 | 13,350 | $26 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $42 \%$ |
| Other Employment | 16,317 | 19,326 | $45 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $18 \%$ |
| Total Employment | 36,423 | 55,556 |  |  | $53 \%$ |
| Households | 30,860 | 39,339 |  | $27 \%$ |  |
| Employees per Household | 1.18 | 1.41 |  |  |  |

Figure 12 - Socioeconomic Growth, 2000 to 2025 (within model area only)


As the table and figure show, employment growth rate doubles the household growth rate. This means that Rapid City will increase the tendency to "import" workers. This observation is important where future E-I and I-E trips are concerned. The change in balance of households to employment is further illustrated with development of productions and attractions. As Table 20 shows, future land use results with (internal) attractions exceeding productions by about 22\%. Recall that for the base year model, productions exceeded attractions by about $11 \%$.

Table 20 - Person Trip Productions and Attractions Before Balancing (Year 2025)

|  | 2025 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | HBW |  | HBO |
| Total P's | 158,401 | 283,720 | 125,502 |
| Total A's | 110,547 | 335,286 | 182,425 |
| Internal P's | 113,574 | 246,303 | 104,941 |
| Internal A's | 95,072 | 310,341 | 161,869 |
| External P's | 44,827 | 37,417 | 20,561 |
| External A's | 15,475 | 24,945 | 20,556 |
| Total P's (all purposes) |  | 567,623 |  |
| Total A's (all purposes) |  | 628,258 |  |
| External P's as \% of Total |  | $7.9 \%$ |  |
| External A's as \% of Total |  | $2.5 \%$ |  |
| Difference between Total P's \& A's (\%) |  | $-11 \%$ |  |
| Difference between Internal P's \& A's (\%) |  | $-22 \%$ |  |

While the large difference between internal productions and attractions may be cause for concern, we must remember that the model balances productions and attractions by holding total productions, meaning the difference will be "equalized" through the balancing process. Also, it is noted that about $1 / 2$ of the difference with productions and attractions is equalized through the generation of I-E and E-I productions and attractions (discussed in a later section). A complete listing of the year 2025 socioeconomic data and productions and attractions is provided in Appendix C.

### 4.2. Existing Plus Committed (E+C) Roadway Network

In addition to changes with socioeconomic conditions, changes to the roadway network are also expected. Some improvements to existing facilities and construction of new facilities are already planned and programmed through previous planning processes. These improvements, improvements with a high probability of implementation, are called "committed" projects. Modeling of a future "base scenario" network should include all committed projects. The Rapid City MPO provided a list of future committed projects as follows:

- Addition of Minor Arterials and Collectors Northeast of the Deadwood Ave/Interstate 90 interchange
- Addition of Collectors North and West of the Haines Ave/Country Rd intersection
- N. LaCrosse St. extended to Neva Way
- Seger Dr. extended East to Elk Vale Rd. and a Collector South to Mall Dr.
- Minor Arterial and Collector South of Elk Vale Rd./Interstate 90 interchange
- East North St. extended North to Mall Dr.
- Collector and Minor Arterial network Northeast Of Anamosa St./N. LaCrosse St. intersection
- Minor Arterial and Collector network Southeast of Anamosa St./Elk Vale Rd. intersection, including Homestead St. and Concourse Dr.
- Anamosa St. from Elk Vale Rd. to Reservoir Rd. and Degeest Dr. From Anamosa St. to Twilight Dr.
- Anderson Rd. from Twilight Dr. to South Side Dr.
- Collector and Minor Arterial network South of Hwy 44 and East of the Southeast Connector including Jolly Ln.
- Minor Arterial and Collector network North and West of the Southeast Connector including S. Valley Dr., Creek Dr, E. Minnesota St., and E. Fairmont Blvd.
- Minor arterial from Elk Vale Rd. to Twilight Dr. at the Southeast Connector
- E Oakland St from Hoefer St. to Cambell St.
- Hawthorne Ave from E. Indiana St. to E. St. Patrick St.
- Tower Rd. realignment
- Severson St. from Meadowbrook Dr. to Corral Dr.
- Collector and Minor Arterial network East of Countryside/Red Rock area
- Collector network South of Minnesota St., North of Catron Blvd., West of Cambell St., and East of 5th St.
- 5th St. extended South of Catron Blvd.
- Minor Arterial connecting Hwy. 79 S. and Old Folsom Rd.
- Southeast Connector
- Elm Ave extension to Catron Blvd.
- 5th St. Extension to Catron Blvd.
- E. Anamosa St. extension
- Mall Dr. extension to Elk Vale Rd.
- Liberty Blvd. construction
- Extended E. $27^{\text {th }}$ Street to connect to E. Anamosa St.
- Removal of Dyess Ave. overpass
- Removal of WB on-ramp to I-90 from Dyess Ave.
- Created a Single Point Urban Interchange at E. North St. \& I-90
- Prohibit left turns at Beale \& Elk Vale

Network changes also suggested changes to some TAZ centroid connector links. Centroid connector links were changed as necessary to reflect local street connections to new collector links. Figure 13 shows the year 2025 model network with changes highlighted.

Figure 13 - Year 2025 E+C Model Network


### 4.3. Year 2025 External Station Traffic

Growth of traffic at model external stations cannot be predicted by the model itself. Growth at these locations must be predicted by the user through other means. For the Rapid City model, several years of traffic count data were available for most external stations, allowing a linear projection of future daily traffic at those locations. Based on historic growth trends, Table 21 shows projected year 2025 traffic at external stations.

Table 21 - Future (year 2025) Daily Traffic at External Stations

| Count on: | Between: | And: | 2000 | 2025 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Deadwood Ave | county line | I-90 | 2,750 | 6,550 |
| Elk Vale Rd | County Line | Seger Dr | 667 | 1,550 |
| Haines Av | north | Viking Dr | 1,417 | 2,400 |
| I-90 | Deadwood Av | SD 79 | 22,500 | 69,000 |
| Mt Rushmore Rd | Catron Bl | south | 17,083 | 34,500 |
| W Nike Rd | Country Rd | County Line | 177 | 1,250 |
| Dyess Ave | Country Rd | County Line | 329 | 2,000 |
| 151st Ave | I-90 | 225 th St | 178 | 400 |
| I-90 - WB | N Ellsworth Rd | 154 th Ave | 8,783 | 21,100 |
| I-90 - EB | N Ellsworth Rd | 154 th Ave | 8,783 | 21,100 |
| E Hwy 44 | St Germaine Rd | Wisehart Rd | 3,233 | 10,600 |
| S Hwy 79 | Lower Spr. Cr. Rd | Daughenbaugh Rd | 6,035 | 6,900 |
| Neck Yoke Rd | Evans Ct | Aero Rd | 675 | 1,200 |
| Sheridan Lake Rd | Sawmill Rd | Old Sheridan Rd | 582 | 1,700 |
| W Hwy 44 | Carter Dr | Falling Rock Rd | 4,310 | 4,800 |
| Nemo Rd | Miller Dr | Potter Rd | 1,376 | 6,950 |
| N Hwy 79 | Merritt Rd | County Line | 5,496 | 14,500 |

Projected daily traffic at external stations is utilized in the same fashion as with the base year model to determine the portion of traffic that will be external-external (through) trips, and the remaining portion that will constitute external-internal and internal-external productions and attractions. The results of this process are summarized in Table 22.

Table 22 - E-E and I-E/E-I Trips at External Stations (Year 2025)

| External Sta.Number | Description | ADT | \% Tks | \%Pu/Van | Functional Class |  |  | AreaPopulation | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \% \text { of ADT } \\ \text { as E-E Trips } \end{array}$ | DirectionalE-E Trips | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { I-E \& E-I } \\ \text { Trips } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Interstate | Princ. Art. | Minor Art. |  |  |  |  |
| 211 | 1-90-West | 69,000 | 10 | 10 | 1 |  |  | 101,000 | 55.2 | 11,000 | 47,000 |
| 212 | Deadwood Ave. North of I-90 | 6,550 | 13 | 10 |  | 1 |  | 101,000 | 12.6 | 410 | 5,730 |
| 213 | Haines Avenue North of Viking Rd. | 2,400 | 3 | 10 |  |  | 1 | 101,000 | -10.3 | - | 2,400 |
| 214 | W. Nike Road North of Country Rd. | 1,250 | 5 | 10 |  |  | 1 | 101,000 | -9.2 |  | 1,250 |
| 215 | Dyess Avenue North of 225 St . | 2,000 | 5 | 10 |  |  | 1 | 101,000 | -9.1 |  | 2,000 |
| 216 | Elk Vale Road North of Country Rd. | 1,550 | 8 | 10 |  |  | 1 | 101,000 | -7.4 |  | 1,550 |
| 217 | 151st Avenue North of I-90 | 400 | 5 | 10 |  |  | 1 | 101,000 | -9.3 | - | 400 |
| 218 | 1-90 East | 42,200 | 12 | 10 | 1 |  |  | 101,000 | 53.2 | 11,230 | 19,740 |
| 219 | E. Highway 44 | 10,600 | 12 | 10 |  | 1 |  | 101,000 | 12.5 | 660 | 9,280 |
| 220 | S. Highway 79 | 6,900 | 16 | 10 |  | 1 |  | 101,000 | 14.4 | 500 | 5,900 |
| 221 | Neck Yoke Road | 1,200 | 3 | 10 |  |  | 1 | 101,000 | -10.4 | - | 1,200 |
| 222 | S. Highway 16 | 17,250 | 8 | 10 |  | 1 |  | 101,000 | 10.9 | 940 | 15,370 |
| 223 | Sheridan Lake Road | 1,700 | 2 | 10 |  |  | 1 | 101,000 | -11.0 | - | 1,700 |
| 224 | W. Highway 44 | 4,800 | 5 | 10 |  |  | 1 | 101,000 | -8.8 | - | 4,800 |
| 225 | Nemo Road | 6,950 | 8 | 10 |  | 1 |  | 101,000 | 9.7 | 340 | 6,270 |
| 226 | SD Highway 79 | 14,500 | 14 | 10 |  | 1 |  | 101,000 | 14.1 | 1,020 | 12,460 |

### 4.3.1. External-External Traffic

As with the base year model, a balanced station-to-station matrix of E-E trip interchanges is required for model assignment. Utilizing the same procedure as was utilized for the base year E-E trip interchange (per NCHRP Report No. 365) yields the balanced E-E trip matrix shown in Table 23. The E-E trip interchange is shown graphically in Figure 14.

Table 23 - Balanced External-External Vehicle Trip Matrix (Year 2025)

| Origin | Destination Zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Zone | 211 | 212 | 218 | 220 | 222 | 225 | 226 |
| 211 | 0 | 151 | 9874 | 124 | 239 | 107 | 283 |
| 212 | 151 | 0 | 142 | 15 | 28 | 15 | 34 |
| 218 | 9874 | 142 | 0 | 118 | 552 | 97 | 269 |
| 219 | 206 | 25 | 195 | 16 | 30 | 26 | 161 |
| 220 | 124 | 15 | 118 | 0 | 16 | 19 | 193 |
| 222 | 239 | 28 | 552 | 16 | 0 | 35 | 39 |
| 225 | 107 | 15 | 97 | 19 | 35 | $\mathbf{0}$ | 40 |
| 226 | 283 | 34 | 269 | 193 | 39 | 40 | $\mathbf{0}$ |

Again, as with the base year model, the external-internal and internal-external productions and attractions must also be determined for the external stations. These productions and attractions are formulated in person trips, with the resulting productions and attractions shown in Table 24. As with the base year, the model area is assumed to be a net importer of work and shopping trips.

Figure 14 - External-External Traffic (Year 2025)


Table 24 - External Station Productions \& Attractions (Year 2025)


### 4.4. Adjusting \& Reporting Future Year Model Traffic Projections

As with the base year model assignment, execution of the traffic assignment causes TransCAD to create a binary file of link volumes, final travel speeds, v/c ratios, and congested travel times. The output file is joined to the link database file. The user merely needs to "export" the joined file as a geographic file to have permanent access to the assignment results as a geographic file.

In any assignment of travel to a network, the link-assigned volumes may require some redistribution between available facilities to more accurately predict actual operating conditions. Historically, transportation planning procedures have used screen lines and /or auxiliary cut lines to analyze assignment results. The use of functional class, count ID, and link type identifiers in the network line file allows complete flexibility to extract model output for various combinations of links. As part of this model development process, several Excel spreadsheets have been set up to quickly perform some "postprocessing" of assignment output.

A screen line adjustment procedure documented in NCHRP Report No. 255 has been "programmed" to an Excel spreadsheet ("Vol_Adj_Rapid 2025_1.xls"). This procedure is based on the premise that while models are accurate with assignments within a travel corridor of multiple routes, models are less accurate with respect to assignments to individual routes within a single corridor. The procedure examines differences between the base year counts and base year model assignment, and then makes corresponding adjustments to a future year assignment. The procedure is capable of accounting for new routes and increased capacity on existing routes as well.

The spreadsheet contains information about the base year (2000) calibrated model results, and only needs results from a specific run to perform screen line adjustments. The process requires only that the assignment output be screened to include only links with
counts (where "COUNT_ID" field is $>0$ ), and sorted into COUNT_ID ascending order. The screened, sorted data then can be easily saved from the TransCAD dataview to a database file, and the results "pasted" directly into the input portion of the spreadsheet. The spreadsheet is currently set up to process 46 different screen lines and report the results in COUNT_ID order. A printed copy of the spreadsheet process results for the year 2025 assignment is included in Appendix D.

While the screen line adjustment process is automatic, some user judgment is still required to review results for reasonableness. Since some specific count links are reported by more than one screen line, user judgment is required to select the most reasonable traffic volume projection.

Just as caution is advised when reporting results of the screen line adjustment process, caution is also advised when reporting raw model assignments. The user must keep in mind the required accuracy of travel demand models (plus or minus one lane) and understand that others may expect, or assume greater levels of accuracy.

## 5. Model Users Guide

This section has been prepared to guide the user through the execution the Rapid City TransCAD model. The Users Guide begins with development and/or revisions to socioeconomic data, covers model network preparation, includes discussions and instructions to operate all models (trip generation through assignment), and includes detailed instructions to perform post-processing operations. A modeling step flow diagram graphic is provided as Figure 15 to show overall model flow and execution.

Figure 15 - Modeling Flow Diagram


### 5.1. Socioeconomic Data Preparation

Socioeconomic data is necessary to perform trip generation steps. Residential data required includes households, average household size, and autos per household. This data is coded directly to the TAZ geographic file and is utilized with TransCAD procedures for generating trip productions.

Non-residential data is required in the form of employees in three different categories; retail, service, and other. Non-residential data is utilized by Excel spreadsheets to develop trip attractions. Since raw non-residential land use data is formatted differently for the base year and future, two separate Excel spreadsheets have been utilized to convert square footage of non-residential building space to employment. One spreadsheet utilizes the 10 different categories of employment provided for base year modeling and provides the required three categories of trip attractions; another utilizes the 4 categories of non-residential building space provided for future land use and results with three categories of trip attractions. A third spreadsheet was utilized to take parcellevel non-residential building area information and convert it to the 10 different categories of employment.

Special generator zone attractions are handled by separate Excel spreadsheets; one for the base year and one for the future year. The spreadsheets are identical. Only the input data regarding land use intensity is different. Since special generator attractions are calculated separately from "ordinary" attractions, the two must be added together to form total attractions before being joined to the TransCAD TAZ file.

Since base year socioeconomic data is "fixed", data preparation steps will concentrate on methods for using different socioeconomic data for a future land use scenario. Several potential methods for developing different socioeconomic data scenarios are possible:

1. Residential data (households, etc.) could be prepared "off-line" and saved to a database format. Provided that the TAZ number is part of the database, the revised residential data could then merely be "joined" to the TransCAD TAZ file for use with generating trip productions.
2. Residential data already part of the TransCAD TAZ file could be edited directly within the TransCAD dataview. Caution should be exercised with this method as the editing process will overwrite any existing data unless the TAZ file is first exported to a new file.
3. Non-residential building areas can be revised directly in the Excel spreadsheet. The revisions will result with newly generated trip attractions which can then be added to special generator attractions and joined to the TransCAD TAZ file as total attractions.

### 5.2. Roadway Network Preparation

The model network is "built" from the TransCAD line layer for streets. This line layer file contains all data necessary for use as a model network, and contains coding to enable
several network scenarios to be individually utilized. To enable the line layer to be utilized as a network for traffic modeling, the network needs to be "created" using the TransCAD Networks/Paths....Create menu. Prior to creating the network, several selection sets need to be formulated based on the line layer and its nodes so the user can control and identify particular aspects of the line file for use with modeling.

All line layer links that are to be utilized for network modeling need to be coded with a non-zero "NETWORK_ID". Through the initial model set-up, base year 2000 network links are all coded with NETWORK_ID=1. Future links have been coded with ID's greater than 1, as follows:
NETWORK_ID = 2: Southeast Connector
NETWORK_ID = 3: Not utilized
NETWORK_ID = 4: Elm Avenue extension to Catron Boulevard (US 16B)
NETWORK_ID $=5: 5^{\text {th }}$ Street extension to Catron Boulevard (US 16B)
NETWORK_ID = 6: East Anamosa Street extension from E. North St. to Elk Vale Rd.
NETWORK_ID = 7: East Mall Drive extension to Elk Vale Road
NETWORK_ID = 8: I-90 / Liberty Blvd. interchange and connection to N. Ellsworth Rd
NETWORK_ID = 25: Various other collector streets expected to be in-place and operating by the year 2025.

In addition to identifying links to be included with the model network, a field called "NetDisable" has been established to allow identification of links that will be disabled or out of service for the future. For instance, the reconstruction of the Exit 60 interchange with I-90 (E. North Street) will require that the Dyess Avenue overpass be eliminated as well as the westbound on-ramp to I-90 from Dyess Avenue. These links are identified with a "NetDisable" code of " 25 ", since the new interchange is identified with a NETWORK_ID code of "25". Likewise, disabled links associated with a specific project listed with the specific NETWORK_ID's above have corresponding "NetDisable" codes. This way, if it was desired only to model the Southeast Connector project, links with NETWORK_ID = 2 would be included while links with "NetDisable" $=2$ would be disabled.

To prepare a line file for use as a network for travel demand modeling, the following steps are necessary:

1. Open centerline geographic file
a. Make selection sets for following:
i. Network links. (Select using the NETWORK_ID field)
ii. Centroid connector links. (Select links with Link Type or 2025 Link Type = 99)
iii. Disabled Links (Select using the "NetDisable" field)
b. Change selection set settings (selection tools) to make other links invisible...to simplify displayed centerline file (optional).
c. Make endpoints geographic file visible and make selection sets for the following:
i. TAZ centroids ( $I D<=226$ )
ii. External station centroids (ID between 211 and 226)
d. Make non-centroid nodes invisible to simplify and clean up displayed map (optional).
2. Create Model Network from line layer (using the "Networks/Paths...Create" тепи):
a. Include all link attributes
b. Include ID in node fields
c. Save to network file (name of your choice).
d. Edit Network "Settings" (using the "Networks/Paths...Settings" menu)
i. Check the "centroids" box and select "Link Type" or "2025 Link Type" field, both on the "options" tab of the "info" window.
ii. Select "Turn Penalties" radio button, then select "Turn Penalties" tab to allow selection of turn penalty file ("2025
TurnPenalties.bin"). This file prohibits left turns at Elk Vale Rd. and Beale St., and could be modified to include other locations, as desired.
iii. Select "other settings" tab...check "in selection set" for centroids and pick set from pull-down menu for TAZ centroids.
iv. Select link type codes file (LINKTYPE LOOKUP 24.DBD, for 24hour assignment)
v. Select the "Update" tab at the bottom of this window to allow selection of disabled links. Select the "Enable/Disable Links" pulldown and select "disable". Then select specific links from the selection set listed.
vi. Select "OK" for the Update window.
vii. Select "OK" for the Settings window. The network is now completed.
e. Select "Networks/Paths...Multiple Paths" menu and run multiple shortest paths using "25_Model Time" from TAZ centroids to TAZ centroids (be sure to use the free-flow travel time that includes a 2-minute "penalty" for interstate ramps...not including ramps to/from I-190 or ramps to/from E. North Street. Those ramps have a 1-minute penalty.).
f. Fill intrazonal travel times on resulting travel time matrix using the "planning utilities" menu. Use nearest neighbor method (adjacent 3 zones) factoring times by 0.5 to fill the diagonal (intrazonals).
g. Clear E-E interchange impedance values (done by highlighting the rows/columns of 211-226 (external zones), right-click the highlighted area and select "clear". This prevents E-E trips from being distributed (they are user input separately with the EE Trip matrix).

Once these steps are completed, a network is now prepared and ready for assignment. Once the network is created, it is recommended that the "map" file be saved. This way, the map and associated network settings can easily be called up at a later time without having to re-create the network from scratch.

The user is cautioned to exercise care when editing the line file from which the network will be created. When adding links, it is frequently necessary to split existing links to form a new node for connecting the new link. TransCAD allows the user to determine how the data associated with the link being split is treated. Care is needed to ensure that critical link data (COUNT_ID, Link Type, FunClass, etc.) are not mathematically "split" during the process. Care also needs to be exercised to ensure new links are physically attached to existing links to create a continuous path for flow of traffic.

### 5.3. Trip Generation

The next series of steps will perform the development of trip attractions and productions, and the balancing of productions and attractions. This step will include use of the external-internal and internal-external productions and attractions. The result will be balanced production and attraction fields for each TAZ that will be a part of the TAZ file.

### 5.3.1. Productions

Trip productions are developed using a modified cross-classification file and a
TransCAD procedure. The TAZ layer is required for this operation, and data for TAZ households, average household size, and autos per household are required to be part of the file. Development of the residential socioeconomic data was covered in an earlier section. The procedure is as follows:

1. Open the TransCAD TAZ geographic file.
2. Make selection sets for External Station TAZ's (ID between 211 and 226)
3. Make selection set for Special Generator Zones $(S p G e n=1)$
4. Make a selection set for Interior TAZ's (ID<=210)
5. Open the cross-classification table (CRCL_PAS_Rapid.bin)
6. Select the "Planning" pull-down menu, then "Productions", then "crossclassification"
a. Select the correct file for "zone data" (TAZ layer file)
b. Select "Interior TAZ's" for the "records" section
c. Select the households field for the "Zone or Sub-zone Size) selection
d. Fill in area population box with model area population
e. Select the appropriate fields for Autos/HH and HH Size
f. Once the inputs are complete, the Productions window will appear as that shown in Figure 16.
g. Select OK to close window
h. Name the output file
7. Once the productions run is completed, you will have a dataview containing the TAZ data joined to the production results for interior zones. Export this file to a new file name for use later.

Figure 16 - Productions Window


### 5.3.2. Attractions

Attractions for internal TAZ's are developed using spreadsheets detailed earlier in this document. TAZ attractions developed from spreadsheets need to be saved as database files so they can be joined to the TAZ file using the TAZ identifier to accomplish the join.

Attractions are developed from employment data in three categories; retail, service, and other. Non-residential building square footages are used as input to the attractions spreadsheet to generate the attractions. In addition to non-residential floor area, the spreadsheet has an input field for attractions generated through the special generator spreadsheet. Unless special generator uses are changed, that portion of the attractions spreadsheet may be left unchanged. Otherwise, the results of the special generator
spreadsheet need to be pasted into the attractions spreadsheet before total attractions are extracted and joined to the TAZ file.

Once the attractions are joined to the TAZ layer, it again needs to be exported to make the join permanent.

### 5.3.3. External Zone Productions \& Attractions

Productions and attractions (person trips) at external station TAZ's are developed separately from the TransCAD platform by an Excel spreadsheet (2025 IE \& EI Trip Estimate - Final.xls). This spreadsheet results with productions and attractions by purpose for each external station TAZ. Results from this spreadsheet can be either handcoded to the TAZ file, or may be joined by first creating a database file of the results and joining the database file to the TAZ file.

### 5.3.4. Balancing Productions and Attractions

Once productions and attractions from both internal and external station TAZ's are included on the TAZ file, they may be summed to create total productions and attractions by purpose (HBW, HBO, and NHB). The summed productions and attractions need to be balanced (where total productions and attractions, by purpose, are equal) prior to performing the trip distribution step.

For the Rapid City model, the balancing process is performed by holding productions and allowing attractions to adjust to result with the balance. This is true for all TAZ's except for special generator zones and external station TAZ's.

To balance productions and attractions, the following steps are necessary:

1. Open the TAZ geographic file (if not open already)
2. Make selection sets for the following:
a. Special Generator Zones (SpGen=1)
b. External Station Zones (ID>=211)
3. Initiate balancing process by choosing the "Planning...Balancing" menu.
4. Add a row of vectors to balance for each purpose (HBW, HBO, and NHB).
5. For each purpose, select the appropriate production field for Vector 1 , and the appropriate attraction field for Vector 2.
6. For each purpose, select "Hold Vector 1" in the Method list (this assumes that productions are selected as Vector 1.)
7. For each purpose (row), select the "Hold vector in" radio button, and select the "special generator TAZ" selection set created earlier. The Vector Balancing window should look like the one shown in Figure 17.

Figure 17 - Vector Balancing Window

8. Select "OK" and provide a name for the resulting file.

The results of the balancing process will be data for balanced productions and attractions for each purpose. This result will be temporarily joined to the TAZ dataview. To make the join permanent, export the TAZ file.

Once productions and attractions are balanced, this step does not need to be repeated unless a change of land use is modeled.

### 5.4. Trip Distribution

Trip distribution uses the impedances developed earlier (based on travel time), and distributes our balanced production-attraction matrix from zone to zone. The Rapid City model uses a gravity model application using a gamma function. The result of the trip distribution process will be a matrix file with three matrices, one for each trip purpose. The file will still be in person trips and will still be in production-attraction format.

Trip distribution begins with a TransCAD window with both the network (line layer) and TAZ files open. Also, the shortest path matrix of travel times between zones (a result of network preparation step) will need to be open.

The trip distribution process steps are detailed below:

1. Select TAZ layer as dataview - all features
2. Select the Planning...Trip Distribution...Gravity Evaluation from the pull-down menu.
3. Set up evaluation for each purpose (HNW, HBO, and NHB), and select the balanced P's and A's from the TAZ dataview. Use default number of iterations (10) and convergence value (0.01). Ensure constraint type is set to doubly with the radio button.
4. Select the Friction Factors tab, and then select the Gamma radio button.
5. Use the following Gamma function parameters for gravity evaluation:

| Trip Purpose | $\boldsymbol{a}$ | $\boldsymbol{b}$ | $\boldsymbol{c}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| HBW | 28,507 | 0.02 | 0.01 |
| HBO | 139,173 | 1.0 | 0.05 |
| NHB | 219,113 | 1.0 | 0.05 |

6. Ensure the proper Impedance Matrix File and Matrix are selected
7. Use free flow time (with 2-min. and 1-min. interstate ramp penalties) for free-flow Trip Distribution
8. Create 24 -hour all purpose trip matrix:

### 5.5. Converting P/A to O/D Format

The trip distribution process results with a 24-hour zone-to-zone person trip matrix file with matrices for each purpose. This matrix is still in production-attraction format and now needs to be converted to origin-destination format. TransCAD provides a procedure to make this conversion simultaneously with converting person trips to vehicle trips. The $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{A}$ to $\mathrm{O} / \mathrm{D}$ conversion (and person trip to vehicle trip conversion) is accomplished as follows:

1. Select the Planning...PA to OD pull-down menu.
2. Uncheck "report each hour separately" to create a 24 -hour trip matrix.
3. Highlight each trip purpose matrix in turn, check the "use matrix" box and the "convert person trips to vehicle trips" box, then fill in the auto occupancy factors listed below for each purpose. The window will look like the one shown in Figure 18.

| Trip <br> Purpose | HBW | HBO | NHB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Auto <br> Occupancy | 1.10 | 1.30 | 1.20 |

4. Save the resulting file.

Figure 18 - P/A to O/D Window


### 5.5.1. Development of 24-Hour All-Purpose Vehicle Trip Matrix

The result of the P/A to O/D process will be a matrix file with three matrices of vehicle trips for each purpose. For the 24-hour assignment, it is desired to have a 24 -hour all purpose vehicle trip table. To obtain the all-purpose table, we need to combine the three purpose matrices with the external-external vehicle trip matrix. That is accomplished through the following steps:

1. Add resulting $\mathrm{O} / \mathrm{D}$ matrices together to form a combined-purpose vehicle trip matrix using "quick sum" matrix operation.
2. Within the same matrix file, create a new (empty) matrix using Matrix...Contents. Rename the new matrix as "24-Hr. All Purpose".
3. Open E-E Trip Matrix file.
4. Fill the newly created "24-Hr. All Purpose" matrix using cell-by-cell addition, adding the "quick sum" matrix and the external-external trip matrix. The result is the 24 -hour all purpose vehicle trip matrix that is ready for assignment to the model network. Be sure to check the box so that empty cells are treated as zeros.

### 5.6. Initial Free-Flow Assignment

Now that we have created a 24-hour all purpose vehicle trip table (matrix), it can be assigned to the network. The initial assignment will utilize the free-flow travel times (created using the " 2025 Model Time" field for time). The initial free-flow assignment is accomplished using the following steps:

1. Perform assignment using "user equilibrium assignment" and the travel time with added ramp penalty and capacity, alpha and beta parameters from the 24-hour linktype look-up table.
2. Export line layer to permanently join the initial assignment results and the line layer database.
3. Modify the link attributes of Free-Flow Assignment to eliminate unnecessary attributes...saving the congested travel times and any other desired attributes.

The result is an assignment using the free-flow travel times for route selection. Since it is desired to emulate congested roadways for route selection, an additional assignment is necessary...one using the "congested" travel times for route selection. The congested travel times are the "max time" field that result from the initial free-flow assignment.

### 5.7. Final, Congested Assignment

In this step, we will perform another assignment of the 24-hour all purpose vehicle trip table, only this time we will utilize the congested travel times that resulted from the freeflow assignment. This assignment is accomplished using the following steps:

1. Use Free-Flow Assignment line layer to create new network file...make this network file ready for congested-flow trip assignment.
2. Reassign the " $24-\mathrm{Hr}$ All Purpose vehicle" trip table created earlier to the new network, selecting the congested travel time (max time) as the impedance.

The result from this assignment is the 24 -hour all purpose vehicle assignment. The new assignment results are joined to the network layer and need to be exported to make the join permanent. Export the dataview to make the results readily available. This assignment is ready for post-processing and interpretation by the modeler.

### 5.8. Post-processing Adjustment Procedures

Post-processing of the future model results entails saving all results for links with COUNT_ID>0. By creating a selection set with only links with a COUNT_ID value greater than 0 , the resulting network link attributes can be saved to a database file for copy-paste into the volume adjustment spreadsheet that works with the established screen lines. The screen line adjustment spreadsheet will automatically adjust future assignments and result with future traffic projections. Some cautions are necessary, as some screen lines may not be reliable, and some links are reported by more than one screen line. User judgment and discretion is required for reporting of future "projections".

Once future projections are finalized, they can be formulated in a database file and, using the "COUNT_ID" value, they can be joined to the line layer for use with creating plots showing future projections.

### 5.9. Output Summary and Reporting

The output of future traffic assignments can be reported in many different ways. TransCAD allows full freedom with selection of links, and with mathematical manipulation of link data. Measures of future network performance such as Vehicle Hours of Travel (VHT), or Vehicle Miles of Travel (VMT) are easily generated using mathematical formulas with the assignment results. Results can be summarized by facility type through the use of the functional class field, or can be summarized by area type through the use of area type field. The creation of model graphics depicting assignment results is virtually limitless.

## 6. Recommended Additional Traffic/Travel Studies

Through development of the Rapid City travel demand model, the need for additional data became apparent. Although use of national "average" data or US Census data is acceptable, it is not a replacement for local data. Model development required the use of national or average data for several key components. The development of actual local data and statistics would be very beneficial for model accuracy and applicability. Useful and suggested local data would include data gathered through conduct of the following local studies or data collections efforts:

- Additional Traffic Count Data: Although traffic count data is available at over 300 sites throughout the modeling area, numerous discrepancies were disclosed through the model development process.
o It was discovered that the process of factoring local count data to peak season average daily traffic (PSADT) may have inherent flaws. Through development of model inputs, it was discovered that seasonal factors were developed through examination of permanent count stations maintained by the SDDOT...mostly on state highways on the fringe of the modeling area. An examination of permanent count station data nearer the center of Rapid City urban area failed to show the large seasonal variation exhibited by count stations located towards the fringe of the urban area. I-90 count stations exhibited the largest variation in seasonal traffic counts. Additional permanent count stations, or more frequent traffic counts are needed to verify the seasonal variation of traffic throughout the modeling area.
o The City of Rapid City conducts a regular traffic count program...a commendable activity. An examination of year-by-year count data revealed that counts are recorded for each year regardless of extenuating circumstances that may have a significant impact to the count. We evaluated traffic counts year-by-year and found significant variations (both increases and decreases). Most often the variations were "explained" by construction or detours in effect at the time of the counts. The annual count program should consider changes to roadways and delay, or skip counts on facilities that are experiencing unusual traffic volumes due to construction activities. Counts during detours or major construction activities are not "normal" and only detract from data that has the ability to track normal growth or changes.
- Origin-Destination Travel Studies: Origin-destination studies are extremely useful for calibration of travel demand models. In the absence of such studies, limited data is available to "localize" travel demand models to specific, local conditions. While these sorts of studies are expensive and comprehensive, their value is tremendous where travel demand modeling is concerned. Most often these studies are conducted as mail-in surveys supplemented with telephone follow-ups. Such data may be substituted to some degree with US Census Bureau Journey to Work data, when available, although the census data is typically not as comprehensive.
- Vehicle Occupancy Studies: Vehicle occupancy for particular trip purposes had to be estimated to achieve acceptable model results. In the absence of real, local data, these estimates are somewhat arbitrary and are sometimes no better than guesses. National averages may not be applicable in the Rapid City area. Vehicle occupancy studies conducted during the peak periods or near major employment centers would help establish real vehicle occupancy rates by purpose.
- Trip Generation Studies: The trip generation study conducted by the City of Rapid in 1995 was instrumental with establishment of trip generation rates used for model development. The study demonstrated that trip generation rates of Rapid City varied substantially from national average rates. Additional studies are needed. Studies should target residential subdivisions where access is limited (to obtain accurate results) and where other land uses (other than residential) are limited. Studies should also target non-residential developments of varied uses. For instance, the Rushmore Mall should be targeted to establish "real" trip generation rates for this special generator. Likewise, other major special generators identified through this model development process should be studied individually to establish their true trip generation rates.
- Travel Time Studies: While the uses of posted speed limits are useful for determination of travel times, travel time studies are invaluable for model calibration to local conditions. Travel time studies would be beneficial for major corridors to establish "real" congested travel times, including the impacts of signalized intersections and traffic congestion. Through the model calibration process, several corridors were revealed to receive traffic assignments that were substantially different from reality. It is suspected that travel times in these corridors are substantially different than what might be assumed through utilization of speed limits for model travel times.


## 7. Recommended Model Enhancements

The travel demand model developed through this project is a starting point. It is not the end product and can be enhanced in many ways. Limited by budget and schedule, this model is not "perfect". Much room exists for enhancements to the level of detail. The following are areas where the model can be enhanced to add detail, and possibly accuracy without adding to data collection efforts:

- Turn Penalties/Delays: Intersection delays are an important part of daily vehicle travels within the model area. Certain intersections present minimal delays, while others present significant delays. Through the use of "actual" delay, route choices can be influenced to a significant degree. TransCAD offers fairly easy methods of establishing delay for left and right turns at intersections of different facility types. A more detailed approach might be to establish turn delays specific to individual intersections to more closely emulate actual conditions on the roadway network. The City staff could provide such estimates, and identify specific intersections with significant delays to improve model assignments.
- Volume-Delay Curve Parameters: Estimates of the volume/delay relationship for the Rapid City area were made for the initial model assessment based on nationally accepted parameters. The relationships may be completely different for the specific situation of Rapid City and they might well be investigated. The initial model was established with sufficient link type codes to enable very detailed volume-delay relationships that are specific to Rapid City. The flexibility of the model set-up also allows establishment of additional link-type codes to handle specific links that may experience volume-delay relationships different than other similarly classified links.

In addition to the enhancements identified above, additional enhancements could be made to further refine and add accuracy to basic model elements:

- Traffic Analysis Zone Structure: The trend as of late, given the computing ability available, is to move towards a very fine-grained traffic analysis zone structure. Specifically, the Montana Department of Transportation has been developing TransCAD models for MPO's using the census block as the TAZ structure. This also involves utilizing local streets in the network structure. This trend brings both positives and negatives to the regional planning work task. While the advanced computing capabilities allows much more detail with respect to the TAZ structure, and requires inclusion of local streets in the model network, it also gives the impression that regional transportation planning activities are accurate, and reasonable down to the local street level. This move may give the impression that regional planning models have the accuracy to be utilized for local, traffic impact study-level planning. This is a misconception. The use of very fine-grained TAZ structures does, however, allow for a more accurate and realistic assignment of traffic to collector streets. In most cases of fine-grained TAZ structure models, assignments to local streets should be ignored and should be excluded from the calibration and reporting processes.
- Trip Generation Rates: With additional local studies, better trip generation rates could be established, specifically with respect to non-residential trip attractions. Residential trip productions are currently cross-classified using average household size and average vehicles per household. Data for the crossclassification was available only at the block-group level. Should data become available at the census block level, the cross-classification could be refined.
- Development of Time-of-Day Parameters: While the TransCAD software comes with tables for time-of-day trip factoring, the tables represent national "average" conditions and may not be representative of conditions specific to the Rapid City area. Time-of-day parameters are necessary for accurate modeling of peak hour conditions, a condition of great interest in the transportation planning process. To develop area-specific time-of-day parameters, the MPO will need to amend their traffic count program to begin collecting hourly volumes in addition to daily totals. A peak hour assignment model will also be greatly enhanced with the addition of some of the other enhancement recommendations, such as development of turning delays.


## APPENDIX A

## Roadway Network Data

## LINK TYPE LOOK-UP TABLE - 24-HOUR ASSIGNMENTS

| LINKNAME | CODE | BPRA | BPRB | SPEED | CAP | LANES | ERROR | \|FUNCTIONK |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2-lane Rural Non-Standard, 2-way | 1 | 0.84 | 5.50 | 30.00 | 5500.00 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 |  |
| Centroid Connector, 2-way | 99 | 0.84 | 5.50 | 100.00 | 50000.00 | 2 | 9.00 | 1 |  |
| 4-lane CBD Interstate | 100 | 0.88 | 9.80 | 65.00 | 18000.00 | 4 | 9.00 | 1 |  |
| 2-lane Urban Interstate | 101 | 0.88 | 9.80 | 65.00 | 18000.00 | 2 | 9.00 | 1 |  |
| 4-lane Urban Interstate | 102 | 0.88 | 9.80 | 65.00 | 18000.00 | 4 | 9.00 | 1 |  |
| 2-lane Suburban Interstate | 103 | 0.88 | 9.80 | 65.00 | 18000.00 | 2 | 9.00 | 1 |  |
| 2-lane Rural Interstate | 104 | 0.88 | 9.80 | 65.00 | 18000.00 | 2 | 9.00 | 1 |  |
| 1-lane Urban Interstate Ramp | 105 | 0.88 | 9.80 | 35.00 | 15000.00 | 1 | 8.00 | 1 |  |
| 2-lane Urban Interstate Ramp | 106 | 0.88 | 9.80 | 35.00 | 15000.00 | 2 | 8.00 | 1 |  |
| 1-lane Suburban Interstate Ramp | 107 | 0.88 | 9.80 | 35.00 | 15000.00 | 1 | 8.00 | , |  |
| 2-lane Suburban Interstate Ramp | 108 | 0.88 | 9.80 | 35.00 | 15000.00 | 2 | 8.00 | 1 |  |
| 1-Lane Rural Interstate Ramp | 109 | 0.88 | 9.80 | 35.00 | 15000.00 | 1 | 8.00 | 1 |  |
| 2-lane CBD Principal Arterial, 1-way | 200 | 0.84 | 5.50 | 30.00 | 8500.00 | 2 | 5.00 | 1 |  |
| 3-lane CBD Principal Arterial, 1-way | 201 | 0.84 | 5.50 | 30.00 | 8500.00 | 3 | 5.00 | 1 |  |
| 4-lane CBD Principal Arterial, 1-way | 202 | 0.84 | 5.50 | 45.00 | 8500.00 | 4 | 5.00 | 1 |  |
| 5-lane CBD Principal Arterial, 1-way | 203 | 0.84 | 5.50 | 45.00 | 8500.00 | 5 | 5.00 | 1 |  |
| 6-lane CBD Principal Arterial, 2-way | 204 | 0.84 | 5.50 | 45.00 | 8500.00 | 3 | 5.00 | 1 |  |
| 2-lane Urban Principal Arterial, 1-way | 205 | 0.84 | 5.50 | 30.00 | 10000.00 | 2 | 5.00 | 1 |  |
| 3-lane Urban Principal Arterial, 1-way | 206 | 0.84 | 5.50 | 30.00 | 10000.00 | 3 | 5.00 | 1 |  |
| 4-lane Urban Principal Arterial, 1-way | 207 | 0.84 | 5.50 | 45.00 | 10000.00 | 4 | 5.00 | 1 |  |
| 5-lane Urban Principal Arterial, 2-way | 208 | 0.84 | 5.50 | 45.00 | 11000.00 | 2 | 5.00 | 1 |  |
| 6-lane Urban Principal Arterial, 2-way | 209 | 0.84 | 5.50 | 45.00 | 11000.00 | 3 | 5.00 | 1 |  |
| 2-lane Suburban Principal Arterial, 1-wa | 210 | 0.84 | 5.50 | 30.00 | 14000.00 | 2 | 5.00 | 1 |  |
| 3-lane Suburban Principal Arterial, 1-wa | 211 | 0.84 | 5.50 | 30.00 | 14000.00 | 3 | 5.00 | 1 |  |
| 4-lane Suburban Principal Arterial, 1-wa | 212 | 0.84 | 5.50 | 45.00 | 14000.00 | 4 | 5.00 | 1 |  |
| 5-lane Suburban Principal Arterial, 2-wa | 213 | 0.84 | 5.50 | 45.00 | 14000.00 | 2 | 5.00 | 1 |  |
| 6-lane Suburban Principal Arterial, 2-wa | 214 | 0.84 | 5.50 | 45.00 | 14000.00 | 3 | 5.00 | 1 |  |
| 2-lane Rural Principal Arterial, 1-way | 215 | 0.84 | 5.50 | 30.00 | 11000.00 | 2 | 5.00 | 1 |  |
| 3-lane Rural Principal Arterial, 1-way | 216 | 0.84 | 5.50 | 30.00 | 11000.00 | 3 | 5.00 | 1 |  |
| 4-lane Rural Principal Arterial, 1-way | 217 | 0.84 | 5.50 | 45.00 | 15000.00 | 4 | 5.00 | 1 |  |
| 5-lane Rural Principal Arterial, 2-way | 218 | 0.84 | 5.50 | 45.00 | 15000.00 | 2 | 5.00 | 1 |  |
| 2-lane CBD Principal Arterial, 2-way | 219 | 0.84 | 5.50 | 30.00 | 8500.00 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 |  |
| 5-lane CBD Principal Arterial, 2-way | 220 | 0.84 | 5.50 | 45.00 | 8500.00 | 2 | 5.00 | 1 |  |
| 2-lane Urban Principal Arterial, 2-way | 221 | 0.84 | 5.50 | 30.00 | 10000.00 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 |  |
| 3-lane Urban Principal Arterial, 2-way | 222 | 0.84 | 5.50 | 30.00 | 10000.00 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 |  |
| 4-lane Urban Principal Arterial, 2-way | 223 | 0.84 | 5.50 | 45.00 | 10000.00 | 2 | 5.00 | 1 |  |
| 2-lane Suburban Principal Arterial, 2-wa | 224 | 0.84 | 5.50 | 30.00 | 14000.00 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 |  |
| 3-lane Suburban Principal Arterial, 2-wa | 225 | 0.84 | 5.50 | 30.00 | 14000.00 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 |  |
| 4-lane Suburban Principal Arterial, 2-wa | 226 | 0.84 | 5.50 | 45.00 | 14000.00 | 2 | 5.00 | 1 |  |
| 2-lane Rural Principal Arterial, 2-way | 227 | 0.84 | 5.50 | 30.00 | 11000.00 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 |  |
| 3-lane Rural Principal Arterial, 2-way | 228 | 0.84 | 5.50 | 30.00 | 11000.00 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 |  |
| 4-lane Rural Principal Arterial, 2-way | 229 | 0.84 | 5.50 | 45.00 | 15000.00 | 2 | 5.00 | 1 |  |
| 2-lane Urban Minor Arterial, 2-way | 300 | 0.84 | 5.50 | 30.00 | 8250.00 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 |  |
| 3-lane Urban Minor Arterial, 2-way | 301 | 0.84 | 5.50 | 30.00 | 8250.00 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 |  |
| 4-lane Urban Minor Arterial, 2-way | 302 | 0.84 | 5.50 | 45.00 | 10000.00 | 2 | 5.00 | 1 |  |
| 2-lane Suburban Minor Arterial, 2-way | 303 | 0.84 | 5.50 | 30.00 | 8250.00 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 |  |
| 3-lane Suburban Minor Arterial, 2-way | 304 | 0.84 | 5.50 | 30.00 | 8250.00 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 |  |
| 4-lane Suburban Minor Arterial, 2-way | 305 | 0.84 | 5.50 | 45.00 | 8250.00 | 2 | 5.00 | 1 |  |
| 6-lane Suburban Minor Arterial, 2-way | 306 | 0.84 | 5.50 | 45.00 | 8250.00 | 3 | 5.00 | 1 |  |
| 2-lane Rural Minor Arterial, 2-way | 307 | 0.84 | 5.50 | 30.00 | 8250.00 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 |  |
| 3-lane Rural Minor Arterial, 2-way | 308 | 0.84 | 5.50 | 30.00 | 8250.00 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 |  |
| 2-Lane Suburban Minor Arterial, 1-way | 309 | 0.84 | 5.50 | 30.00 | 8250.00 | 2 | 5.00 | 1 |  |
| 2-lane CBD Collector, 1-way | 400 | 0.84 | 5.50 | 30.00 | 5500.00 | 2 | 6.00 | 1 |  |
| 1-lane Urban Collector, 1-way | 401 | 0.84 | 5.50 | 30.00 | 8250.00 | 1 | 6.00 | 1 |  |
| 2-lane Urban Collector, 2-way | 402 | 0.84 | 5.50 | 30.00 | 8250.00 | 1 | 6.00 | 1 |  |
| 3-lane Urban Collector, 2-way | 403 | 0.84 | 5.50 | 30.00 | 8250.00 | 1 | 6.00 | 1 |  |
| 4-lane Urban Collector, 2-way | 404 | 0.84 | 5.50 | 30.00 | 8250.00 | 2 | 6.00 | 1 |  |
| 1-lane Suburban Collector, 1-way | 405 | 0.84 | 5.50 | 30.00 | 5500.00 | 1 | 6.00 | 1 |  |
| 2-lane Suburban Collector, 1-way | 406 | 0.84 | 5.50 | 30.00 | 5500.00 | 2 | 6.00 | 1 |  |
| 3-lane Suburban Collector, 2-way | 407 | 0.84 | 5.50 | 30.00 | 5500.00 | 1 | 6.00 | 1 |  |
| 4-lane Suburban Collector, 2-way | 408 | 0.84 | 5.50 | 30.00 | 5500.00 | 2 | 6.00 | 1 |  |
| 2-lane Rural Collector, 2-way | 409 | 0.84 | 5.50 | 30.00 | 5500.00 | 1 | 6.00 | 1 |  |
| 3-lane Rural Collector, 2-way | 410 | 0.84 | 5.50 | 30.00 | 5500.00 | 1 | 6.00 | 1 |  |
| 2-lane CBD Collector, 2-way | 411 | 0.84 | 5.50 | 30.00 | 5500.00 | 1 | 6.00 | 1 |  |
| 2-lane Suburban Collector, 2-way | 412 | 0.84 | 5.50 | 30.00 | 5500.00 | 1 | 6.00 | 1 |  |
| 1-lane CBD Local Street, 1-way | 500 | 0.84 | 5.50 | 30.00 | 5500.00 | 1 | 6.00 | 1 |  |
| 2-lane CBD Local Street, 1-way | 501 | 0.84 | 5.50 | 30.00 | 5500.00 | 2 | 6.00 | 1 |  |
| 3-lane CBD Local Street, 2-way | 502 | 0.84 | 5.50 | 30.00 | 5500.00 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 |  |
| 1-lane Urban Local Street, 1-way | 503 | 0.84 | 5.50 | 30.00 | 5500.00 | 1 | 6.00 | 1 |  |
| 2-Iane Urban Local Street, 1-way | 504 | 0.84 | 5.50 | 30.00 | 5500.00 | 2 | 6.00 | 1 |  |
| 3-lane Urban Local Street, 1-way | 505 | 0.84 | 5.50 | 30.00 | 5500.00 | 3 | 5.00 | 1 |  |
| 1-lane Suburban Local Street, 1-way | 506 | 0.84 | 5.50 | 30.00 | 5500.00 | , | 6.00 | 1 |  |
| 2-lane Suburban Local Street, 1-way | 507 | 0.84 | 5.50 | 30.00 | 5500.00 | 2 | 6.00 | 1 |  |
| 3-lane Suburban Local Street, 2-way | 508 | 0.84 | 5.50 | 30.00 | 5500.00 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 |  |
| 2-lane Rural Local Street, 2-way | 510 | 0.84 | 5.50 | 30.00 | 5500.00 | 1 | 6.00 | 1 |  |
| 3-lane Rural Local Street, 2-way | 511 | 0.84 | 5.50 | 30.00 | 5500.00 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 |  |
| 2-lane CBD Local Street, 2-way | 512 | 0.84 | 5.50 | 30.00 | 5500.00 | 1 | 6.00 | 1 |  |
| 2-lane Urban Local Street, 2-way | 513 | 0.84 | 5.50 | 30.00 | 5500.00 | 1 | 6.00 | 1 |  |
| 3-lane Urban Local Street, 2-way | 514 | 0.84 | 5.50 | 30.00 | 5500.00 | 1 | 5.00 | 1 |  |
| 2-lane Suburban Local Street, 2-way | 515 | 0.84 | 5.50 | 30.00 | 5500.00 | 1 | 6.00 | 1 |  |
| 2-lane Urban Private Road, 2-way | 600 | 0.84 | 5.50 | 30.00 | 5500.00 | 1 | 6.00 | 1 |  |
| 2-lane Suburban Private Road, 2-way | 601 | 0.84 | 5.50 | 30.00 | 5500.00 | 1 | 6.00 | 1 |  |
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ID
.Unique identification number assigned to each link by TransCAD
Length Length (miles) of each link
Dir .............................Indicator of one or two-way flow, and direction of flow for each link in relation to link topology. Dir = 0, two-way flow, $\operatorname{Dir}=1$, one-way flow in topology direction, Dir $==-1$, one-way flow in direction opposite to topology.
Roadname ..................Street or roadway name
TOT_LANES .............Total number of lanes, including auxiliary (turn) lanes.
00_Speed....................Posted speed limit, base year conditions
25_Speed....................Anticipated speed limit, year 2025 conditions
FunClass.....................Functional classification code per Rapid City Major Street Plan
NETWORK_ID .........Identifier for links to be included in model network. A value of " 1 " is used for the base year network, a value of " 25 " used for 2025 network links, other values greater than 1 used for specific improvement projects.
NetDisable..................Field used to indicate links that are disabled for use with various modeling scenarios.
COUNT_ID................Used as a unique identifier for links with base year count data.
PSADT......................Peak Season ADT for count links from Rapid City count program data.
TAZ............................Indicator of TAZ that contains link. Primarily utilized for centroid connector links.
Link Type...................Identifier of link type. Used primarily for link capacities and to identify centroid connector links (link type = 99).
2025 Link Type..........Identifier of link type for future conditions. Allows changes to link type from base year to future year.
ScreenLine .................Identifier to denote links utilized in screenline analysis. Not necessary for screenline procedure as later developments utilize COUNT_ID field as primary source of link data.
00_LinkTime..............Year 2000 link travel time using 2000 model speed.
00_CCLinkTime ........Year 2000 centroid connector link travel time. Kept separate since this time is assigned by area type and includes network access and terminal time.
25_LinkTime .Year 2025 link travel time using 2025 model speed
25_CCLinkTime ........Year 2025 centroid connector link travel time. Kept separate since this time is assigned by area type and includes network access and terminal time.
A Type .......................Designator for area type. Primarily utilized for centroid connector travel times/terminal times.
CAP............................Link Capacity. Used for assignment with BPR formula. Data NOT coded directly to link. Data is obtained from link type lookup table. Field must remain for link for assignment and can be used should user want to code a unique capacity value to a specific link.
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BPRA .........................Alpha coefficient for BPR formula. Data NOT coded directly to link. Data is obtained from link type look-up table. Field must remain for link for assignment and can be used should user want to code a unique value to a specific link.
BPRB .........................Beta coefficient for BPR formula. Data NOT coded directly to link. Data is obtained from link type look-up table. Field must remain for link for assignment and can be used should user want to code a unique value to a specific link.
LANES.......................Number of directional through lanes (excluding auxiliary lanes) 00_Model_Sp.............Field used to utilize a speed other than the posted speed limit for base year modeling. Allows input of "true" link travel speed (freeflow conditions).
2025 Model Sp...........Field used to utilize a speed other than the posted speed limit for future year modeling. Allows input of "true" link travel speed (free-flow conditions).
00_ModelTime...........Field used for calculation of link travel time using "model speed" parameter rather than posted speed limit. Allows input of "true" link travel time (free-flow conditions).
Peak Season Factor ....Factor utilized to convert PSADT count values to AADT values. AADT AADT count values for all links with counts.
Cordon Line ...............Established for use with evaluating cordon lines (not used at this time)
00_TravTime..............Field used for final free-flow year 2000 link travel time.
25_TravTime..............Field used for final free-flow year 2025 link travel time.

## APPENDIX B

## Year 2000 Demographic Data \& Final P's \& A's
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ATTRACTIONS |  |  | PRODUCTIONS |  |  | BALANCED PRODUCTIONS \& ATTRACTIONS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TAZ | AREA | POPULATION | HOUSEHOLDS | AVE HH SIZE | AVG HH INC 02 | AVG AUTO OWN | SPGEN | ATYPE | HBW_A | HBO ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | NHB_A | HBW_P | HBO_P | NHB_P | HBW_P | HBW_A | HBO_P | HBO_A | NHB_P | NHB_A |
| 1 | 0.20 | - | - | 0.00 | 36293 | 1.34 | 1 |  | 1,115 | 2,905 | 1,643 |  |  |  |  | 1,115 |  | 2,905 |  | 1.643 |
|  | 0.02 |  |  | 0.00 | 36293 | 1.34 |  |  | 87 | 337 | 179 |  |  |  |  | 87 |  | 337 |  | 179 |
|  | 0.03 | 6 | 5 | 1.20 | 17841 | 1.09 |  |  | 413 | 526 | 371 | 10 | 25 | 11 | 10 | 576 | 25 | 691 | 11 | 357 |
|  | 0.01 | 65 | 47 | 1.38 | 7558 | 0.69 | 0 |  | 476 | 611 | 425 | 79 | 195 | 87 | 79 | 664 | 195 | 802 | 87 | 409 |
|  | 0.03 |  |  | 0.00 | 17841 | 1.09 | 0 |  | 264 | 383 | 256 |  |  |  |  | 368 |  | 503 |  | 247 |
|  | 0.03 | 19 | 16 | 1.19 | 7558 | 0.69 | 0 |  | 831 | 939 | 672 | 27 | 66 | 29 | 27 | 1,159 | 66 | 1,233 | 29 | 647 |
|  | 0.03 | 11 | 7 | 1.57 | 7558 | 0.69 | 0 |  | 724 | 1,398 | 859 | 12 | 29 | 13 | 12 | 1,010 | 29 | 1,836 | 13 | 827 |
|  | 0.02 |  |  | 0.00 | 17841 | 1.09 | 0 |  | 160 |  | 154 |  |  |  |  | 223 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.03 | 11 | 4 | 2.75 | 17841 | 1.09 | 0 |  | 229 | 302 | 211 | 9 | 28 | 12 | 9 | 320 | 28 | 397 | 12 | 203 |
| 10 | 0.03 |  | 2 | 1.50 | 7558 | 0.69 | 0 |  | 571 | 688 | 489 | 3 | 8 |  |  | 797 | 8 | 903 |  | 471 |
|  | 0.03 | 142 |  | 20.29 | 27569 | 0.88 |  |  | 464 | 428 | 318 | 16 | 59 |  |  | 647 | 59 | 562 | 20 | 306 |
| 12 | 0.05 | 161 | 69 | 2.33 | 36293 | 1.34 | 0 |  | 68 | 145 | 93 | 162 | 479 | 214 | 162 | 95 | 479 | 190 | 214 | 90 |
| 13 | 0.03 | 201 | 102 | 1.97 | 36293 | 1.34 | 1 |  | 203 | 720 | 391 | 212 | 520 | 231 | 212 | 203 | 520 | 720 | 231 | 391 |
| 14 | 0.03 | 47 | 16 | 2.94 | 36293 | 1.34 | , |  | 1,206 | 3,046 | 1,739 | 38 | 111 | 50 | 38 | 1,206 | 111 | 3,046 | 50 | 1,739 |
| 15 | 0.03 | 33 | 21 | 1.57 | 36293 | 1.34 | 0 |  | 1,259 | 2,118 | 1,328 | 44 | 107 | 48 | 44 | 1,757 | 107 | 2,781 | 48 | 1,279 |
| 16 | 0.03 | 74 | 38 | 1.95 | 27569 | 0.88 |  |  | 450 | 803 | 498 | 64 | 157 | 70 | 64 | 628 | 157 | 1,054 | 70 |  |
| 17 | 0.02 | 316 | 1 | 316.00 | 27569 | 0.88 | 0 |  | 554 | 650 | 459 | 2 | 8 | 3 | , | 773 | 8 | 853 | 3 | 442 |
| 18 | 0.02 | 239 | 23 | 10.39 | 27569 | 0.88 | 1 |  | 123 | 760 | 382 | 54 | 195 | 66 | 54 | 123 | 195 | 760 | 66 | 382 |
| 19 | 0.02 | 96 | 45 | 2.13 | 27569 | 0.88 |  |  | 210 | 173 | 130 | 94 | 277 | 123 | 94 | 293 | 277 | 227 | 123 | 125 |
| 20 | 0.04 | 264 | 132 | 2.00 | 51944 | 1.65 | , |  |  | 119 | 66 | 274 | 673 | 299 | 274 |  | 673 | 156 | 299 | 64 |
| 21 | 0.03 | 141 | 85 | 1.66 | 36293 | 1.34 | 0 |  | 183 | 446 | 246 | 177 | 433 | 193 | 177 | 255 | 433 | 586 | 193 | 237 |
| 22 | 0.01 | 41 | 16 | 2.56 | 36293 | 1.34 |  |  | 85 | 265 | 135 |  | 111 | 50 |  | 119 | 111 | 348 | 50 | 130 |
| 23 | 0.01 | 61 | 43 | 1.42 | 27569 | 0.88 | - |  | 83 | 155 | 95 | 73 | 178 | 79 | 73 | 116 | 178 | 204 | 79 | 91 |
| 24 | 0.01 | 17 | , | 1.89 | 22885 | 1.34 | , |  | 255 | 96 | 93 | 19 | 46 | 20 | 19 | 356 | 46 | 126 | 20 | 90 |
| 25 | 0.01 | 112 | 34 | 3.29 | 27569 | 0.88 |  |  | 187 | 837 | 436 | 81 | 247 | 98 |  | 187 | 247 | 837 | 98 | 436 |
| 26 | 0.07 | 205 | 74 | 2.77 | 27569 | 0.88 | 0 |  | 57 | 133 | 84 | 154 | 455 | 203 | 154 | 80 | 455 | 175 | 203 | 81 |
| 27 | 0.03 |  | - | 0.00 | 25370 | 1.42 |  |  | 247 | 1,289 | 601 |  |  |  |  | 345 |  | 1,692 |  | 579 |
| 28 | 0.06 | 9 | 4 | 2.25 | 25370 | 1.42 | 0 |  | 197 | 594 | 307 |  | 28 | 12 |  | 275 | 28 | 780 | 12 | 296 |
| 29 | 0.01 | 5 | 2 | 2.50 | 19018 | 1.09 | 1 |  | 1,080 | 6,484 | 3,242 | 5 | 14 | 6 | 5 | 1,080 | 14 | 6,484 | 6 | 3,242 |
| 30 | 0.03 | 64 | 29 | 2.21 | 19018 | 1.09 | 0 |  | 75 | 419 | 196 | 68 | 201 | 90 | 68 | 105 | 201 | 550 | 90 | 189 |
| 31 | 0.04 | 4 | , | 4.00 | 25370 | 1.42 | 0 |  | 191 | 67 | 67 | 3 | 10 | 4 | 3 | 266 | 10 | 88 | 4 | 65 |
| 32 | 0.03 | 419 | 185 | 2.26 | 19018 | 1.09 | 0 |  | 74 | 237 | 140 | 436 | 1,284 | 573 | 436 | 103 | 1,284 | 311 | 573 | 135 |
| 33 | 0.50 | 483 | 208 | 2.32 | 51944 | 1.65 | 1 |  | 145 | 471 | 262 | 490 | 1,443 | 644 | 490 | 145 | 1,443 | 471 | 644 | 262 |
| 34 | 0.13 | 755 | 370 | 2.04 | 34129 | 1.65 | 0 |  | 187 | 714 | 392 | 871 | 2,567 | 1,146 | 871 | 261 | 2,567 | 937 | 1,146 | 377 |
| 35 | 0.09 | 570 | 268 | 2.13 | 22885 | 1.34 | 0 |  | 83 | 397 | 218 | 631 | 1,859 | 830 | 631 | 116 | 1,859 | 521 | 830 | 210 |
|  | 0.05 | 235 | 81 | 2.90 | 17155 | 1.10 |  |  | 100 | 124 |  | 191 | 562 | 251 | 191 | 140 | 562 | 163 | 251 |  |
| 37 | 0.15 | 781 | 356 | 2.19 | 32222 | 1.49 | 0 |  |  | 320 | 178 | 838 | 2,470 | 1,103 | 838 |  | 2,470 | 420 | 1,103 | 171 |
| 38 | 0.22 | 67 | 30 | 2.23 | 19018 | 1.09 | , |  | 163 | 567 |  | 71 | 208 | 93 | 71 | 227 | 208 | 744 | 93 | 278 |
| 39 | 0.07 | 480 | 2 | 240.00 | 19018 | 1.09 |  |  | 595 | 3,572 | 1,786 |  | 25 |  |  | 595 | 25 | 3,572 |  | 1,786 |
| 40 | 0.11 | 65 | 30 | 2.17 | 38750 | 1.57 | 0 |  | 25 | 56 | 35 | 71 | 208 | 93 | 71 | 35 | 208 | 74 | 93 | 34 |
| 41 | 0.21 |  |  | 1.00 | 38750 | 1.57 | 0 |  | 428 | 1,868 | 897 |  |  |  |  | 597 | 3 | 2,453 |  | 864 |
| 42 | 0.08 | 308 | 119 | 2.59 | 34129 | 1.65 | , |  | 116 | 300 | 164 | 280 | 826 | 369 | 280 | 162 | 826 | 394 | 369 | 158 |
| 43 | 0.08 | 459 | 214 | 2.14 | 32386 | 1.68 | 0 |  | 43 | 435 | 220 | 504 | 1,485 | 663 | 504 | 60 | 1,485 | 571 | 663 | 212 |
| 44 | 0.07 | 462 | 198 | 2.33 | 37500 | 1.90 | 0 |  | 12 | 187 | 106 | 466 | 1,374 | 613 | 466 | 17 | 1,374 | 246 | 613 | 102 |
| 45 | 0.06 | 368 | 170 | 2.16 | 32917 | 1.61 | . |  |  | 153 | 85 | 400 | 1,180 | 527 | 400 |  | 1,180 | 201 | 527 | 82 |
| 46 | 0.11 | 153 | 103 | 1.49 | 33152 | 1.86 | 0 |  | 189 | 850 | 416 | 214 | 525 | 233 | 214 | 264 | 525 | 1,116 | 233 | 401 |
| 47 | 0.14 | 292 | 139 | 2.10 | 38750 | 1.57 |  |  | 226 | 887 | 447 | 327 | 964 | 431 | 327 | 315 | 964 | 1,165 | 431 | 430 |
| 48 | 0.06 | 150 | 71 | 2.11 | 67279 | 1.73 | 0 |  | 30 | 168 | 86 | 167 | 493 | 220 | 167 | 42 | 493 | 221 | 220 | 83 |
| 49 | 0.06 | 127 | 63 | 2.02 | 32386 | 1.68 | 0 |  | 559 | 1,147 | 610 | 148 | 437 | 195 | 148 |  | 437 | 1,506 | 195 | 587 |
| 50 | 0.07 | 457 | 197 | 2.32 | 37500 | 1.90 | 0 |  |  | 213 | 115 | 464 | 1,367 | 610 | 464 | 8 | 1,367 | 280 | 610 | 111 |
| 51 | 0.08 | 463 | 214 | 2.16 | 32917 | 1.61 | 0 |  | 17 | 295 | 158 | 504 | 1,485 | 663 | 504 | 24 | 1,485 | 387 | 663 | 152 |
| 52 | 0.05 | 342 | 139 | 2.46 | 33152 | 1.86 | 0 |  | 51 | 341 | 171 | 327 | 964 | 431 | 327 | 71 | 964 | 448 | 431 | 165 |
| 53 | 0.08 | 139 | 52 | 2.67 | 33152 | 1.86 | , |  | 328 | 1,152 | 580 | 122 | 361 | 161 | 122 | 458 | 361 | 1,513 | 161 | 558 |
| 54 | 0.08 | 302 | 115 | 2.63 | 17661 | 1.71 | 0 |  | 246 | 674 | 348 | 271 | 798 | 356 | 271 | 343 | 798 | 885 | 356 | 335 |
| 55 | 0.10 | 440 | 177 | 2.49 | 45811 | 1.99 | 0 |  | 78 | 230 | 136 | 417 | 1,228 | 548 | 417 | 109 | 1,228 | 302 | 548 | 131 |
| 56 | 0.05 | 49 | 19 | 2.58 | 45811 | 1.99 | , |  | 124 | 134 | 88 | 45 | 132 | 59 | 45 | 173 | 132 | 176 | 59 | 85 |
| 57 | 0.08 | 343 | 132 | 2.60 | 45811 | 1.99 | 0 |  |  | 166 | 89 | 311 | 916 | 409 | 311 | 11 | 916 | 218 | 409 | 86 |
| 58 | 0.06 | 292 | 117 | 2.50 | 37794 | 1.72 | 0 |  | 70 | 129 | 83 | 275 | 812 | 362 | 275 | 98 | 812 | 169 | 362 | 80 |
| 59 | 0.14 | 923 | 343 | 2.69 | 49815 | 2.01 | - |  |  | 309 | 172 | 900 | 2,652 | 1,184 | 900 |  | 2,652 | 406 | 1,184 | 166 |
| 60 | 0.61 | 295 | 116 | 2.54 | 51944 | 1.65 |  |  | 236 | 721 | 366 | 273 | 805 | 359 | 273 | 329 | 805 | 947 | 359 | 352 |
| 61 | 0.03 | 115 | 96 | 1.20 | ${ }_{56691}^{17692}$ | ${ }_{1}^{1.71}$ | 0 |  | 95 | 538 169 | 270 | 199 | 489 | 218 | 199 | 133 166 | 489 | 706 | 218 | 260 |
| ${ }_{6}^{62}$ | 0.02 0.04 | 42 | 20 | 0.00 2.10 | 56692 5473 | ${ }_{2}^{2.17}$ | 0 |  | 119 325 | 169 130 | 122 | 52 | 155 | 69 | 52 | 166 453 | 155 | 222 171 | 69 | 117 |
| 64 | 0.11 | 57 | 26 | 2.19 | 56692 | 2.01 | 0 |  | 2,777 | 981 | 971 | 68 | 201 | 90 | 68 | 3,874 | 201 | 1,288 | 90 | 935 |
|  | 0.12 | 527 | 204 | 2.58 | 54773 | 2.17 | 0 |  | 35 | 378 | 192 | 535 | 1,577 | 704 | 535 | 49 | 1,577 | 496 | 704 | 185 |
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ATTRACTIONS |  |  | PRoductions |  |  | BALANCED PRODUCTIONS \& ATTRACTION |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TAZ | AREA | POPULATION | HOUSEHOLDS | AVE HH SIZE | AVG HH INC 02 | AVG AUTO OWN | SPGEN | ATYPE | HBW_A | HBO_A | NHB_A | HBW_P | HBO_P | NHB_P | HBW_P | HBW_A | HBO_P | HBO_A | NHBP P | NHB_A |
| 66 | 0.14 | 881 | 387 | 2.28 | 25542 | 1.79 | 0 |  | 14 | 431 | 235 | 911 | 2,685 | 1,199 | 911 | 20 | 2,685 | 566 | 1,199 | 226 |
| 67 | 0.45 | 359 | 129 | 2.78 | 49815 | 2.01 | 0 |  | 328 | 1,589 | 770 | 338 | 997 | 445 | 338 | 458 | 997 | 2,086 | 445 | 741 |
| 68 | 1.29 | 527 | 205 | 2.57 | 33981 | 1.50 |  |  | 249 | 271 | 189 | 483 | 1,422 |  | 483 | 347 | 1,422 | 356 | 635 | 182 |
| 69 | 0.29 | 1,690 | 716 | 2.36 | 33981 | 1.50 | 0 |  | 530 | 3,587 | 1,717 | 1,686 | 4,968 | 2,218 | 1,686 | 739 | 4,968 | 4,710 | 2,218 | 1,653 |
| 70 | 0.27 | 949 | 330 | 2.88 | 20000 | 1.46 | 0 |  | 471 | 1,454 | 766 | 777 | 2,290 | 1,022 | 777 | 657 | 2,290 | 1,909 | 1,022 | 738 |
| 71 | 0.25 | 1,119 | 433 | 2.58 | 38250 | 1.59 |  |  | 283 |  | 534 | 1,019 | 3,004 | 1,341 | 1,019 | 395 | 3,004 | 1,301 | 1,341 |  |
| 72 | 0.52 | 557 | 259 | 2.15 | 38250 | 1.59 | 0 |  | 1,016 | 4,977 | 2,634 | 610 | 1,797 | 802 | 610 | 1,418 | 1,797 | 6,535 | 802 | 2.536 |
| 73 | 0.24 | 1,398 | 581 | 2.41 | 35733 | 1.72 | 0 |  | 48 | 649 | 362 | 1,368 | 4,031 | 1,800 | 1,368 | 67 | 4,031 | 852 | 1,800 | 349 |
| 74 | 0.28 | 1,699 | 658 | 2.58 | 28250 | 1.27 | 0 |  | 87 | 656 | 379 | 1,549 | 4,565 | 2,038 | 1,549 | 121 | 4.565 | 861 |  | 365 |
| 75 | 0.19 | 1,277 | 496 | 2.57 | 30682 | 1.61 | 0 |  | 40 | 504 | 285 | 1,168 | 3,441 | 1,536 | 1,168 | 56 | 3,441 | 662 | 1,536 | 274 |
| 76 | 0.07 | 352 | 159 | 2.21 | 27417 | 1.49 | 0 |  |  | 143 | 80 |  | 1,103 |  | 374 |  | 1,103 | 188 | 493 |  |
| 77 | 0.30 | 285 | 130 | 2.19 | 27417 | 1.49 | 0 |  | 128 | 748 | 362 | 306 | 902 | 403 | 306 | 179 | 902 | 982 | 403 | 349 |
| 78 | 0.07 |  |  | 0.00 | 17841 | 1.09 | 0 |  | 1,369 | 472 | 472 |  |  |  |  | 1,910 |  | 620 |  | 454 |
| 79 | 0.10 |  |  | 0.00 | 17841 | 1.09 |  |  | 790 | 3,507 | 1,791 |  |  |  |  | 790 |  | 3,507 |  |  |
| 80 | 0.12 | 333 | 246 | 1.35 | 17841 | 1.09 | 1 |  | 287 | 1,325 | 702 | 511 | 1,254 | 557 | 511 | 287 | 1,254 | 1,325 | 557 | 702 |
| 81 | 0.04 | 240 | 99 | 2.42 | 17422 | 1.40 | 0 |  | 16 | 188 |  | 233 | 687 |  | 233 | 22 | 687 | 247 | 307 |  |
| 82 | 0.03 | 145 | 39 | 3.72 | 30682 | 1.61 |  |  | 177 | 238 | 148 | 122 | 374 | 148 | 122 | 247 | 374 | 312 | 148 | 143 |
| 83 | 0.05 | 323 | 146 | 2.21 | 17422 | 1.40 | 0 |  | 106 | 445 | 228 | 344 | 1,013 | 452 | 344 | 148 | 1,013 | 584 | 452 | 220 |
| 84 | 0.09 | 235 | 95 | 2.47 | 27417 | 1.49 | 0 |  | 352 | 1,342 | 668 | 224 | 659 | 294 | 224 | 491 | 659 | 1,762 | 294 | 643 |
| 85 | 0.02 |  | - | 0.00 | 17841 | 1.09 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 86 | 0.03 | - | - | 0.00 | 17841 | 1.09 | 0 |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 87 | 0.04 | - | - | 0.00 | 17841 | 1.09 | 0 |  | 97 | 34 | 34 |  |  |  |  | 135 |  | 45 |  |  |
| 88 | 0.04 |  |  | 0.00 | 17841 | 1.09 | 0 |  | 307 | 1,353 | 649 |  |  |  |  | 428 |  | 1,776 |  | 625 |
| 89 | 0.26 | 1,146 | 491 | 2.33 | 25370 | 1.42 | 0 |  | 571 | 2,190 | 1,125 | 1,156 | 3,407 | 1,521 | 1,156 | 797 | 3,407 | 2,875 | 1,521 | 1,083 |
| 90 | 0.06 | 45 | 19 | 2.37 | 27417 | 1.49 | , |  | 635 | 3,080 | 1,435 | 45 | 132 | 59 | 45 | 886 | 132 | 4,044 |  | 1,382 |
| 91 | 0.15 | 346 | 155 | 2.23 | 38750 | 1.57 | 0 |  | 635 | 2,214 | 1,103 | 365 | 1,075 | 480 | 365 | 886 | 1,075 | 2,907 | 480 | 1,062 |
| 92 | 1.32 | 370 | 177 | 2.09 | 44465 | 2.25 | 0 |  |  | 159 | 89 | 464 | 1,368 | 611 | 464 |  | 1,368 | 209 | 611 | 86 |
| 93 | 0.16 | 1,034 | 439 | 2.36 | 44465 | 2.25 | 0 |  |  | 395 | 220 | 1,152 | 3,394 | 1,515 | 1,152 |  | 3,394 | 519 | 1,515 | 212 |
| 94 | 0.22 | 481 | 226 | 2.13 | 44465 | 2.25 | 0 |  | 365 | 1,839 | 903 | 593 | 1,747 | 780 | 593 | 509 | 1,747 | 2,415 | 780 | 869 |
| 95 | 0.34 | 235 | 86 | 2.73 | 71918 | 2.23 | 0 |  |  | 77 | 43 | 226 | 665 | 297 | 226 |  | 665 | 101 | 297 | 41 |
| 96 | 0.39 | 1,712 | 604 | 2.83 | 71918 | 2.23 | 0 |  | 131 | 685 | 397 | 1,584 | 4,670 | 2,085 | 1,584 | 183 | 4,670 | 899 | 2,085 | 382 |
| 97 | 0.21 | 878 | 407 | 2.16 | 30595 | 1.67 | 0 |  | 190 | 926 | 485 | 958 | 2,824 | 1,261 | 958 | 265 | 2,824 | 1,216 | 1,261 | 467 |
| 98 | 0.21 | 508 | 247 | 2.06 | 17381 | 1.64 | 0 |  | 1,111 | 3,986 | 2,016 | 581 | 1,714 | 765 | 581 | 1,550 | 1,714 | 5,234 |  | 1,941 |
| 99 | 0.25 | 1,103 | 435 | 2.54 | 65750 | 2.05 | 0 |  | 90 | 664 | 355 | 1,141 | 3,363 | 1,501 | 1,141 | 126 | 3,363 | 872 | 1,501 | 342 |
| 100 | 0.16 | 8 | 2 | 4.00 | 43387 | 1.64 | 0 |  | 72 | 184 | 105 |  | 19 |  |  | 100 | 19 | 242 |  | 101 |
| 101 | 0.09 | 397 | 217 | 1.83 | 43387 | 1.64 | 0 |  | 268 | 710 | 404 | 451 | 1,106 | 492 | 451 | 374 | 1,106 | 932 | 492 | 389 |
| 102 | 0.05 |  |  | 0.00 | 35595 | 2.24 | 0 |  | 708 | 3,915 | 1,858 |  |  |  |  | 988 |  | 5,140 |  | 1,789 |
| 103 | 0.07 | 95 | 1 | 95.00 | 35595 | 2.24 | 0 |  | 925 | 4,898 | 2,274 | 4 | 14 | 5 | 4 | 1,291 | 14 | 6,431 | 5 | 2,190 |
| 104 | 0.13 | 23 |  | 2.56 | 65750 | 2.05 | 0 |  | 86 | 108 | 75 | 24 |  | 31 | 24 | 120 | 70 | 142 | 31 |  |
| 105 | 0.28 | 362 | 169 | 2.14 | 45909 | 1.93 | 0 |  | 1,246 | 1,073 | 759 | 398 | 1,173 | 523 | 398 | 1,738 | 1,173 | 1,409 | 523 | 731 |
| 106 | 0.05 | - - | - | 0.00 | 43387 | 1.64 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 107 | 0.08 |  |  | 0.00 | 43387 | 1.64 |  |  | 81 | 486 | 243 |  |  |  |  | 81 |  | 486 |  | 243 |
| 108 | 0.20 | 566 | 247 | 2.29 | 45909 | 1.93 | 0 |  | 1,048 | 635 | 508 | 581 | 1,714 | 765 | 581 | 1,462 | 1,714 | 834 | 765 | 489 |
| 109 | 0.12 | 2 |  | 2.00 | 45833 | 1.76 | 0 |  | 84 | 100 | 70 |  |  |  |  | 117 | 5 | 131 |  | 67 |
| 110 | 0.11 |  |  | 2.00 | 48421 |  |  |  | 332 | 650 |  |  |  |  | 4 | 332 | 10 | 650 | 5 |  |
| 111 | 0.15 | 320 | 99 | 3.23 | 35595 | 2.24 | 0 |  | 571 | 1,004 | 586 | 329 | 1,003 | 398 | 329 | 797 | 1,003 | 1,318 | 398 | 564 |
| 112 | 0.21 | 293 | 125 | 2.34 | 45000 | 1.98 | 0 |  | 49 | 345 | 172 | 294 | 867 | 387 | 294 | 68 | 867 | 453 | 387 | 166 |
| 113 | 0.09 | 596 | 272 | 2.19 | 28359 | 1.89 | 1 |  | 204 | 1,017 | 528 | 640 | 1,887 | 843 | 640 | 204 | 1,887 | 1,017 | 843 | 528 |
| 114 | 0.15 | 1,047 | 496 | 2.11 | 36574 | 1.70 | 0 |  | 88 | 658 | 362 | 1,168 | 3,441 | 1,536 | 1,168 | 123 | 3,441 | 864 | 1,536 | 349 |
| 115 | 0.12 | 436 | 213 | 2.05 | 45833 | 1.76 | 0 |  | 69 | 296 | 168 | 501 | 1,478 | 660 | 501 | 96 | 1,478 | 389 | 660 | 162 |
| 116 | 1.61 | 842 | 322 | 2.61 | 58519 | 2.18 | 0 |  | 461 | 780 | 488 | 845 | 2,490 | 1,111 | 845 | 643 | 2,490 | 1,024 | 1,111 | 470 |
| 117 | 1.74 | 50 | 18 | 2.78 | 76865 | 2.19 | 1 |  | 140 | 527 | 550 | 47 | 139 | 62 | 47 | 140 | 139 | 527 | 62 | 550 |
| 118 | 0.23 | 14 |  | 2.00 | 56692 | 2.01 |  |  | 25 | 17 | 13 | 15 | 36 | 16 | 15 | 35 | 36 | 22 | 16 | 13 |
| 119 | 0.45 | 457 | 153 | 2.99 | 56692 | 2.01 | 0 |  | 750 | 711 | 468 | 401 | 1,183 | 528 | 401 | 1,046 | 1,183 | 934 | 528 | 451 |
| 120 | 0.05 | 62 |  | 3.26 | 56692 | 2.01 | , |  |  |  | 10 |  | 192 | ${ }^{76}$ |  |  | 192 | 22 | ${ }^{76}$ |  |
| 121 | 0.53 | 2,961 | 1,161 | 2.55 | 56692 | 2.01 | 0 |  | 84 | 1,074 | 610 | ${ }^{3,045}$ | $\begin{array}{r}8,976 \\ 3774 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 4,007 | 3,045 | 117 | 8,976 <br> 3774 | 1,410 | 4,007 | 587 |
| 122 | 0.41 1.58 | 1,627 543 | 544 | 2.99 2.89 | 52625 | 1.75 | 0 |  | 460 62 | 1,236 | 719 | 1,281 | $\frac{3,774}{1,454}$ | 1,685 | 1,281 493 | $\frac{642}{87}$ | $\frac{3,774}{1,454}$ | $\frac{1,623}{351}$ | 1,685 649 | 692 399 |
| 124 | 0.71 | 1,816 | 675 | 2.69 | 52625 | 1.75 | 0 |  | 7 | 616 | 344 | 1,589 | 4,683 | 2,091 | 1,589 | 10 | 4,683 | 809 | 2,091 | 331 |
| 125 | 0.79 | 208 | 68 | 3.06 | 56692 | 2.01 | , |  | 71 | 423 | 216 | 226 | 689 | 273 | 226 | 71 | 689 | 423 | 273 | 216 |
| 126 | 0.77 |  |  | 0.00 | 39583 | 2.76 | 0 |  | 185 | 224 | 155 |  |  |  |  | 255 |  | 294 |  | 149 |
| 127 | 0.46 | 34 | 13 | 2.62 | 39583 | 2.76 |  |  | 25 | 130 | 64 | 34 | 101 | 45 | 34 | 35 | 101 | 171 | 45 | 62 |
| 128 | 0.10 0.19 |  |  | 0.00 1.00 | ${ }_{36667}^{3667}$ | 1.90 | 0 |  | 248 396 | 291 | 205 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | $\begin{array}{r}346 \\ 552 \\ \hline 5\end{array}$ | 3 | $\stackrel{382}{1.392}$ | 1 | $\frac{197}{526}$ |
| 130 | 1.92 | 431 | 200 | 2.16 | 36667 | 1.90 | 0 |  | 1,216 | 4,895 | 2,431 | 471 | 1,388 | 620 | 471 | 1,697 | 1,388 | 6,427 | 620 | 2,341 |
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ATTRACTIONS |  |  | PRODUCTIONS |  |  | BALANCED PRODUCTIONS \& ATTRACTIONS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TAZ | AREA | POPULATION | HOUSEHOLDS | AVE HH SIZE | AVG HHINC 02 | AVG AUTO OWN | SPGEN | ATYPE | HBW_A | HBO_A | NHB A | HBW_P | HBOPP | NHB_P | HBW_P | HBW A | HBOPP | HBO_A | NHB_P | NHB_A |
| 131 | 0.21 |  |  | 2.00 | 36667 | 1.90 |  |  | 342 | 1,236 | 612 |  |  |  |  | 477 |  | 1,623 |  |  |
| 132 | 0.67 | 286 | 87 | 3.29 | 36667 | 1.90 | 0 |  | 65 | 101 | 66 | 273 | 834 | 331 | 273 | 91 | 834 | 133 | 331 | 64 |
| 133 | 0.26 | 486 | 164 | 2.96 | 36667 | 1.90 | 0 |  | 667 | 906 | 1,059 | 386 | 1,138 | 508 | 386 | 931 | 1,138 | 1,190 | 508 | 1,020 |
| 134 | 2.90 | 1,235 | 426 | 2.90 | 39255 | 1.99 |  |  |  | 383 | 213 | 1,003 | 2,956 | 1,320 | 1,003 |  | 2,956 | 503 | 1,320 | 205 |
| 135 | 0.22 | 1,008 | 325 | 3.10 | 39255 | 1.99 | 0 |  |  | 293 | 163 | 1,020 | 3,114 | 1,235 | 1,020 |  | 3,114 | 385 | 1,235 | 157 |
| 136 | 0.29 | 392 | 175 | 2.24 | 27990 | 1.92 | 0 |  | 149 | 629 | 329 | 412 | 1,214 | 542 | 412 | 208 | 1,214 | 826 | 542 | 317 |
| 137 | 0.42 | 1,475 |  | 2.91 | 41706 | 2.30 |  |  |  | 456 | 254 | 1,330 | 3,920 | 1,750 |  |  | 3,920 |  |  |  |
| 138 | 0.57 | 1,461 | 455 | 3.21 | 41706 | 2.30 | 0 |  | 96 | 520 | 295 | 1,510 | 4,609 | 1,828 | 1,510 | 134 | 4,609 | 683 | 1,828 | 284 |
| 139 | 2.11 | 362 | 145 | 2.50 | 30391 | 1.96 |  |  | 19 | 218 | 114 | 341 | 1,006 | 449 | 341 | 27 | 1,006 | 286 | 449 | 110 |
| 140 | 2.53 | 639 | 187 | 3.42 | 32313 | 1.16 |  |  | 6,869 | 3,421 | 1,447 |  | 1,792 | 711 | 587 | 6,869 | 1,792 | 3,421 | 711 |  |
| 141 | 2.92 | 600 | 189 | 3.17 | 32982 | 1.71 | 0 | 4 | 138 | 957 | 459 | 593 | 1,811 | 718 | 593 | 193 | 1,811 | 1,257 | 718 | 442 |
| 142 | 1.55 | 14 |  | 2.80 | 30391 | 1.96 |  |  | 168 | 405 | 207 | 12 | 35 | 15 | 12 | 234 | 35 | 532 | 15 | 199 |
| 143 | 0.89 | 487 | 190 | 2.56 | 30391 | 1.96 | 0 |  |  | 171 | 95 | 447 | 1,318 | 589 | 447 |  | 1,318 | 225 | 589 | 91 |
| 144 | 0.90 | 606 | 180 | 3.37 | 34265 | 1.83 | 0 |  | - | 162 | 90 | 565 | 1,725 | 684 | 565 |  | 1,725 | 213 | 684 | 87 |
| 145 | 3.31 | 709 | 269 | 2.64 | 39255 | 1.99 |  |  | 335 | 948 | 524 | 633 | 1,866 | 833 | 633 | 467 | 1,866 | 1,245 | 833 | 505 |
| 146 | 1.10 | 677 | 248 | 2.73 | 34265 | 1.83 | 0 |  | 78 | 460 | 242 | 584 | 1,721 | 768 | 584 | 109 | 1,721 | 604 | 768 | 233 |
| 147 | 3.07 | 235 | 83 | 2.83 | 56389 | 2.36 | 0 |  | $\cdots$ | 75 | 42 | 218 | 642 | 286 | 218 |  | 642 | 98 | 286 |  |
| 148 | 1.81 | 531 | 168 | 3.16 | 34409 | 1.82 |  |  | . | 151 | 84 | 527 | 1,610 |  |  |  | 1,610 | 198 | 638 |  |
| 149 | 2.06 | 360 | 142 | 2.54 | 34409 | 1.82 | 0 |  |  | 128 | 71 | 334 | 985 | 440 | 334 |  | 985 | 168 | 440 | 68 |
| 150 | 1.54 | 74 | 33 | 2.24 | 34409 | 1.82 |  |  | 222 | 646 | 329 | 78 | 229 | 102 | 78 | 310 | 229 | 848 | 102 | 317 |
| 151 | 2.01 | 633 | 233 | 2.72 | 34409 | 1.82 | 0 |  | 138 | 919 | 448 | 549 | 1,617 | 722 | 549 | 193 | 1,617 | 1,207 | 722 | 431 |
| 152 | 0.11 | - |  | 0.00 | 34409 | 1.82 | 0 |  | 496 | 740 | 414 |  |  |  |  | 692 |  | 972 |  | 399 |
| 153 | 0.17 | - |  | 0.00 | 34409 | 1.82 |  |  | 2,174 | 12,925 | 6,448 |  |  |  |  | 2,174 |  | 12,925 |  | 6,448 |
| 154 | 0.07 | - | - | 0.00 | 34409 | 1.82 | 0 |  | 418 | 2,176 | 1,004 |  |  |  |  | 583 |  | 2,857 |  | 967 |
| 155 | 0.05 |  | - | 0.00 | 34409 | 1.82 | 0 |  | 257 | 157 | 117 |  |  |  |  | 359 |  | 206 |  | 113 |
| 156 | 1.01 | 12 | 4 | 3.00 | 34409 | 1.82 | 0 |  | 189 | 307 | 168 | 9 | 28 | 12 | 9 | 264 | 28 | 403 | 12 | 162 |
| 157 | 0.78 | 7 | , | 3.50 | 34409 | 1.82 | 1 |  | 213 | 941 | 465 | 6 | 19 | 8 | 6 | 213 | 19 | 941 | 8 | 465 |
| 158 | 2.58 | 536 | 235 | 2.28 | 34409 | 1.82 | 0 |  | 336 | 1,350 | 681 | 553 | 1,631 | 728 | 553 | 469 | 1,631 | 1,773 | 728 | 656 |
| 159 | 4.87 | 215 | 83 | 2.59 | 34409 | 1.82 |  |  | 62 | 248 | 128 | 195 | 576 | 257 | 195 | 87 | 576 | 326 | 257 | 123 |
| 160 | 0.44 | 834 | 285 | 2.93 | 34409 | 1.82 | 0 |  |  | 257 | 143 | 671 | 1,977 | 883 | 671 |  | 1,977 | 337 | 883 | 138 |
| 161 | 1.33 | - - | - | 0.00 | 34409 | 1.82 | 0 |  | 1,322 | 690 | 560 |  |  |  |  | 1,844 |  | 906 |  |  |
| 162 | 0.61 | - | - | 0.00 | 34409 | 1.82 |  |  | 1,350 | 2,305 | 1,293 |  |  |  |  | 1,884 |  | 3,026 |  | 1,245 |
| 163 | 0.50 |  |  | 0.00 | 34409 | 1.82 | , |  | 2,031 | 5,490 | 2,859 |  |  |  |  | 2,834 |  | 7,208 |  | 2,753 |
| 164 | 0.77 | 162 | 56 | 2.89 | 34409 | 1.82 | 0 |  | 984 | 2,127 | 1,154 | 132 | 389 | 173 | 132 | 1,373 | 389 | 2,793 | 173 | 1,111 |
| 165 | 0.37 | 981 | 289 | 3.39 | 34409 | 1.82 | 0 |  | 377 | 2,126 | 1,013 | 907 | 2,769 | 1,098 | 907 | 526 | 2,769 | 2,791 | 1,098 |  |
| 166 | 4.06 | 422 | 135 | 3.13 | 44465 | 2.25 | 0 | 4 | 9 | 176 | 92 | 448 | 1,367 | 542 | 448 | 13 | 1,367 | 231 | 542 | 89 |
| 167 | 6.34 | 319 | 126 | 2.53 | 44465 | 2.25 | , |  |  | 113 | 63 | 331 | 974 | 435 | 331 |  | 974 | 148 | 435 | 61 |
| 168 | 2.65 | 196 | 75 | 2.61 | 71918 | 2.23 | 0 |  |  | 68 | 38 | 197 | 580 | 259 | 197 |  | 580 | 89 | 259 | 37 |
| 169 | 2.98 | 171 | 72 | 2.38 | 45000 | 1.98 | 0 | 4 | 23 | 209 | 102 | 170 | 500 | 223 | 170 | 32 | 500 | 274 | 223 | 98 |
| 170 | 0.38 |  |  | 0.00 | 45000 | 1.98 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 171 | 1.00 | 66 | 36 | 1.83 | 45000 | 1.98 | 0 |  |  | 32 | 18 | 75 | 184 | 82 | 75 |  | 184 | 42 | 82 | 17 |
| 172 | 10.40 | 1,013 | 352 | 2.88 | 76865 | 2.19 | 0 |  | 187 | 1,478 | 705 | 923 | 2,721 | 1,215 | 923 | 261 | 2,721 | 1,941 | 1,215 |  |
| 173 | 6.39 | 1,683 | 545 | 3.09 | 67727 | 2.34 |  | 4 | 125 | 1,265 | 625 | 1,808 | 5,520 | 2,189 | 1,808 | 174 | 5,520 | 1,661 | 2,189 | 602 |
| 174 | 0.68 | 603 | 201 | 3.00 | 78865 | 2.19 | 0 |  |  | 181 | 101 | 527 | 1,554 | 694 | 527 |  | 1,554 | 238 | 694 | 97 |
| 175 | 0.43 | 1,138 | 455 | 2.50 | 76865 | 2.19 |  |  | 61 | 587 | 431 | 1,194 |  | 1,570 | 1,194 | 85 | 3,518 | 771 | 1,570 | 415 |
| 177 | 0.41 | 423 | 166 325 | 2.55 | ${ }_{7} 935191$ | 2.30 |  |  |  | 149 | ${ }^{83}$ | 435 | 1,283 | 573 | 435 |  | 1,283 | 196 | 573 | 80 |
|  | 0.55 | 8999 | 325 | 2.77 | ${ }^{755872}$ | $\underline{1.19}$ | 0 |  | 144 | 444 | 257 | ${ }_{1} 705$ | 2,255 | ${ }_{1}^{1,007}$ | 765 | $\frac{201}{38}$ | 2,255 | 583 | 1,007 | 247 |
| 179 | 0.19 | 1,042 799 | $\begin{array}{r}386 \\ 305 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 2.62 | 47188 | ${ }^{2.183}$ | 0 |  | 55 | 584 | 303 | 1,013 | 2,9,116 |  | 1,013 | 38 <br> 7 | 2,116 |  |  | 292 |
| 180 | 0.38 | 407 | 241 | 1.69 | 47188 | 1.83 | 0 |  | 769 | 1,940 | 1,068 | 501 | 1,229 | 546 | 501 | 1,073 | 1,229 | 2,547 | 546 | 1,028 |
| 181 | 0.37 | 321 | 125 | 2.57 | 76865 | 2.19 |  |  |  | 113 | 63 | 328 | 966 | 431 | 328 |  | 966 | 148 | 431 | 61 |
| 182 | 0.48 | 486 | 180 | 2.70 | 93191 | 2.30 | 0 |  | 200 | 231 | 159 | 472 | 1,392 | 621 | 472 | 279 | 1,392 | 303 | 621 | 153 |
| 183 | 0.73 | 734 | 273 | 2.69 | 93191 | 2.30 | , |  | 125 | 1,020 | 489 | 716 | 2,111 | 942 | 716 | 174 | 2,111 | 1,339 | 942 | 471 |
| 184 | 0.11 | 632 | 202 | 3.13 | 75587 | 1.95 | , |  |  | 182 | 101 | 634 | 1,935 | 768 | 634 |  | 1,935 | 239 | 768 | 97 |
| 185 | 0.99 | 1,227 | 589 | 2.08 | 79787 | 2.14 | 0 |  | 33 | 632 | 348 | 1,545 | 4,554 | 2,033 | 1,545 | 46 | 4,554 | 830 | 2,033 | 335 |
| 186 | 4.20 | 477 | 167 | 2.86 | 37625 | 1.62 | 0 |  | 106 | 698 | 1,374 | 393 | 1,159 | 517 | 393 | 148 | 1,159 | 916 | 517 |  |
| 187 | 7.69 | - 214 | 91 | 2.35 | 37625 | 1.62 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 100 | 54 | 214 | 631 | 282 | 214 | 4 | 631 | 131 | 282 | 52 |
| 188 | $\frac{13.15}{0.10}$ | ${ }^{161}$ | 66 | 2.44 0.00 | 39583 <br> 3850 | 2.76 1.57 |  |  |  | ${ }^{61}$ | 251 | 173 | 510 | 228 | 173 | 565 | 510 |  | 228 | $\frac{242}{729}$ |
| 189 | 0.10 0.08 | - | ${ }^{2}$ | 1.00 | ${ }^{366667}$ | 1.90 | 1 |  | 486 | 1,551 1,366 | 702 | 4 | 10 | 5 | 4 | 486 | 10 | 2,036 1,346 | 5 | 702 <br> 702 |
| 191 | 0.13 | 1 | - 1 | 1.00 | 38750 | 1.57 | 0 |  | 307 | 1,690 | 783 | 1 | 3 | , | 1 | 428 | 3 | 2,219 | 1 | 754 |
| 192 | 0.23 | 42 | 18 | 2.33 | 36667 | 1.90 | 0 |  | 306 | 412 | 249 | 42 | 125 | 56 | 42 | 427 | 125 | 541 | 56 | 240 |
| 193 | 0.12 | 4 | - 2 | 2.00 | 36667 | 1.90 | 0 |  | 395 | 975 | 535 | 4 | 10 | 5 | , | 551 | 10 | 1,280 | 5 | 515 |
| 194 | 0.17 | 364 | 202 | 1.80 | 27990 | 1.92 | 0 |  | 858 | 880 | 581 | 420 | 1,030 | 458 | 420 | 1,197 | 1,030 | 1,155 | 458 | 559 |
| 195 | 2.18 | -62 | 20 | 3.10 | 27990 | 1.92 | 0 | 3 | 1,883 | 2,392 | 1,418 | 63 | 192 | 76 | 63 | 2,627 | 192 | 3,141 | 76 | 1,365 |

## BASE YEAR ZONAL PRODUCTIONS \& ATTRACTIONS, page 4 of 4

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ATTRACTIONS |  |  | PRODUCTIONS |  |  | BALANCED PRODUCTIONS \& ATTRACTIONS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TAZ | AREA | POPULATION | HOUSEHOLDS | AVE HH SIZE | AVG HH INC 02 | AVG AUTO OWN | SPGEN | ATYPE | HBW_A | HBO_A | NHB_A | HBW_P | HBO_P | NHB_P | HBW_P | HBW_A | HBO_P | HBO_A | NHB_P | NHB_A |
| 196 | 0.29 | 112 | ${ }^{41}$ | 2.73 | 27990 | 1.92 | 0 |  | 30 | 226 | 107 | 97 | 284 | 127 | 97 | 42 | 284 | 297 | 127 | 103 |
| 197 | 0.17 |  |  |  | 27990 | 1.92 |  |  |  |  |  | 54 | 160 |  | 54 |  | 160 | 28 | 71 |  |
| 198 | 0.52 | 562 | 216 | 2.60 | 27990 | 1.92 | 0 |  |  | 194 | 108 | 508 | 1,499 | 669 | 508 |  | 1,499 | 255 | 669 | 104 |
| 199 | 2.29 | 394 | 183 | 2.15 | 27990 | 1.92 | 1 |  | 202 | 1,379 | 693 | 431 | 1,270 | 567 | 431 | 202 | 1,270 | 1,379 | 567 | 693 |
| 200 | 5.07 | 18 | 6 | 3.00 | 27990 | 1.92 | 0 |  | 196 | 319 | 187 | 14 | 42 | 19 | 14 | 273 | 42 | 419 | 19 | 180 |
| 201 | 1.86 | 516 | 202 | 2.55 | 42800 | 2.41 | 0 |  |  | 182 | 101 | 530 | 1,562 | 697 | 530 |  | 1,562 | 239 | 697 | 97 |
| 202 | 10.82 | 68 | 26 | 2.62 | 56389 | 2.36 |  |  |  | 23 | 13 | 68 | 201 | 90 | 68 |  | 201 | 30 | 90 | 13 |
| 203 | 20.25 | 192 | 74 | 2.59 | 56389 | 2.36 | 0 |  | 35 | 79 | 49 | 194 | 572 | 255 | 194 | 49 | 572 | 104 | 255 | 47 |
| 204 | 6.96 | 113 | 44 | 2.57 | 56389 | 2.36 | 0 |  | 6 | 76 | 38 | 115 | 340 | 152 | 115 | 8 | 340 | 100 | 152 | 37 |
|  | 4.13 | 151 | 48 | 3.15 | 39255 | 1.99 |  |  | 9 | 53 | 31 | 151 | 460 | 182 | 151 | 13 | 460 | 70 | 182 |  |
| 206 | 14.78 | 189 | 67 | 2.82 | 56389 | 2.36 | 0 |  |  | 60 | 34 | 176 | 518 | 231 | 176 |  | 518 | 79 | 231 | 33 |
| 207 | 0.73 | 603 | 230 | 2.62 | 39255 | 1.99 |  |  | 17 | 213 | 121 | 541 | 1,596 | 712 | 541 | 24 | 1,596 | 280 | 712 | 117 |
| 208 | 1.97 | 185 | 88 | 2.10 | 42800 | 2.41 | 0 |  | 23 | 106 | 63 | 231 | 680 | 304 | 231 | 32 | 680 | 139 | 304 | 61 |
| 209 | 2.89 | 23 | 5 | 4.60 | 56389 | 2.36 | 1 |  | 560 | 3,368 | 1,684 | 19 | 70 | 24 | 19 | 560 | 70 | 3,368 | 24 | 1,684 |
| 210 | 15.55 | 161 | 60 | 2.68 | 56389 | 2.36 | 0 |  |  | 54 | 30 | 157 | 464 | 207 | 157 |  | 464 | 71 | 207 |  |
| 211 | 0.10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 836 | 1,729 | 1,424 | 3,344 | 2,594 | 1,426 | 3,344 | 836 | 2,594 | 1,729 | 1,426 | 1,424 |
| 212 | 0.15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 297 | 410 | 338 | 693 | 615 | 337 | 693 | 297 | 615 | 410 | 337 | 338 |
| 213 | 0.15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 215 | 295 | 245 | 502 | 445 | 224 | 502 | 215 | 445 | 295 | 224 | 245 |
| 214 | 0.16 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 23 | 33 | 26 |  | 48 |  | 55 |  | 48 | 33 |  |  |
| 215 | 0.17 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 44 | 60 | 49 | 101 | 90 | 49 | 101 | 44 | 90 | 60 | 49 | 49 |
| 216 | 0.16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 99 | 134 | 110 | 224 | 199 | 109 | 224 | 99 | 199 | 134 | 109 |  |
| 217 | 0.14 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 23 | 33 | 28 | 55 | 48 | 26 | 55 | 23 | 48 | 33 | 26 | 28 |
| 218 | 0.29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 993 | 1,370 | 1,129 | 2,318 | 2,054 | 1,129 | 2,318 | 993 | 2,054 | 1,370 | 1,129 | 1,129 |
| 219 | 0.49 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 348 | 480 | 395 | 811 | 719 | 395 | 811 | 348 | 719 | 480 |  |  |
| 220 | 0.24 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 646 | 891 | 734 | 1,508 | 1,336 | 734 | 1,508 | 646 | 1,336 | 891 | 734 | 734 |
| 221 | 0.26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 87 | 118 |  |  | 179 |  | 201 | 87 |  | 118 |  | 98 |
| 222 | 0.21 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 909 | 1,252 | 1,032 | 2,119 | 1,879 | 1,032 | 2,119 | 909 | 1,879 | 1,252 | 1,032 | 1,032 |
| $\underline{223}$ | 0.30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 75 | 107 | ${ }_{68}^{632}$ | $\frac{179}{1,297}$ | 159 1,149 | ${ }_{631} 68$ | $\begin{array}{r}1,297 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 77 | $\frac{159}{1,149}$ | 107 | ${ }_{68} 68$ | $\frac{88}{632}$ |
| 225 | 0.28 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 147 | 204 | 167 | ,343 | , 304 | 168 | 343 | 147 | 304 | 204 | 168 | 167 |
| 226 | 0.03 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 392 | 811 | 668 | 1,569 | 1,217 | 668 | 1,569 | 392 | 1,217 | 811 | 668 | 668 |
| TOTALS: | 231.60 | 79,006 | 30,860 |  |  |  |  |  | 72,697 | 196,627 | 109,584 | 92,399 | 239,660 | 106,745 | 92,399 | 92,399 | 239,660 | 239,660 | 106,745 | 106,745 |

## SPECIAL GENERATOR TRIPS AND DECISION TABLE, page 1 of 3

|  | Regression Results |  |  |  | Special Generators (ITE Results) |  |  |  | TOTAL | SpGen \% | SpGenZone? | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TAZ | HBW_A | HBO_A | NHB_A | Total | SpHBW_A | Sp_HBO_A | Sp_NHB_A | SpTotal |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 774 | 860 | 620 | 2254 | 341 | 2045 | 1023 | 3409 | 5663 | 60\% | Yes | Amusement Center |
| 2 | 39 | 51 | 36 | 126 | 48 | 286 | 143 | 477 | 603 | 79\% | Yes | Museum/Childrens Science Center |
| 3 | 413 | 526 | 371 | 1310 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1310 | 0\% |  |  |
| 4 | 476 | 611 | 425 | 1512 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1512 | 0\% |  |  |
| 5 | 254 | 321 | 225 | 800 | 10 | 62 | 31 | 103 | 903 | 11\% |  |  |
| 6 | 831 | 939 | 672 | 2442 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2442 | 0\% |  |  |
| 7 | 624 | 799 | 560 | 1983 | 100 | 599 | 299 | 998 | 2981 | 33\% |  | VFW |
| 8 | 160 | 220 | 154 | 534 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 534 | 0\% |  |  |
| 9 | 229 | 302 | 211 | 742 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 742 | 0\% |  |  |
| 10 | 571 | 688 | 489 | 1748 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1748 | 0\% |  |  |
| 11 | 464 | 428 | 318 | 1210 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1210 | 0\% |  |  |
| 12 | 68 | 145 | 93 | 306 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 306 | 0\% |  |  |
| 13 | 116 | 197 | 129 | 442 | 87 | 523 | 262 | 872 | 1314 | 66\% | Yes | Health Club |
| 14 | 874 | 1055 | 744 | 2673 | 332 | 1991 | 995 | 3318 | 5991 | 55\% | Yes | YMCA |
| 15 | 1114 | 1247 | 892 | 3253 | 145 | 871 | 436 | 1452 | 4705 | 31\% |  |  |
| 16 | 396 | 476 | 335 | 1207 | 54 | 327 | 163 | 545 | 1752 | 31\% |  |  |
| 17 | 554 | 650 | 459 | 1663 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1663 | 0\% |  |  |
| 18 | 0 | 21 | 12 | 33 | 123 | 739 | 370 | 1232 | 1265 | 97\% | Yes | National American University |
| 19 | 210 | 173 | 130 | 513 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 513 | 0\% |  |  |
| 20 | 0 | 119 | 66 | 185 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 185 | 0\% |  |  |
| 21 | 183 | 446 | 246 | 875 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 875 | 0\% |  |  |
| 22 | 71 | 183 | 94 | 348 | 14 | 82 | 41 | 137 | 485 | 28\% |  |  |
| 23 | 75 | 106 | 71 | 252 | 8 | 49 | 24 | 81 | 333 | 24\% |  |  |
| 24 | 255 | 96 | 93 | 444 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 444 | 0\% |  |  |
| 25 | 65 | 107 | 71 | 243 | 122 | 730 | 365 | 1217 | 1460 | 83\% | Yes | Knights of Columbus |
| 26 | 57 | 133 | 84 | 274 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 274 | 0\% |  |  |
| 27 | 247 | 1289 | 601 | 2137 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2137 | 0\% |  |  |
| 28 | 197 | 594 | 307 | 1098 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1098 | 0\% |  |  |
| 29 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1080 | 6482 | 3241 | 10803 | 10806 | 100\% | Yes | US Post Office |
| 30 | 75 | 419 | 196 | 690 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 690 | 0\% |  |  |
| 31 | 191 | 67 | 67 | 325 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 325 | 0\% |  |  |
| 32 | 74 | 237 | 140 | 451 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 451 | 0\% |  |  |
| 33 | 113 | 277 | 165 | 555 | 32 | 194 | 97 | 323 | 878 | 37\% | Yes | Church |
| 34 | 170 | 612 | 341 | 1123 | 17 | 102 | 51 | 170 | 1293 | 13\% |  |  |
| 35 | 83 | 397 | 218 | 698 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 698 | 0\% |  |  |
| 36 | 100 | 124 | 82 | 306 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 306 | 0\% |  |  |
| 37 | 0 | 320 | 178 | 498 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 498 | 0\% |  |  |
| 38 | 128 | 359 | 185 | 672 | 35 | 208 | 104 | 347 | 1019 | 34\% |  | Gymnastics Center |
| 39 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 595 | 3570 | 1785 | 5950 | 5953 | 100\% | Yes | SDSM\&T |
| 40 | 25 | 56 | 35 | 116 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 116 | 0\% |  |  |
| 41 | 428 | 1868 | 897 | 3193 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3193 | 0\% |  |  |
| 42 | 116 | 300 | 164 | 580 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 580 | 0\% |  |  |
| 43 | 39 | 414 | 209 | 662 | 4 | 21 | 11 | 35 | 697 | 5\% |  |  |
| 44 | 12 | 187 | 106 | 305 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 305 | 0\% |  |  |
| 45 | 0 | 153 | 85 | 238 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 238 | 0\% |  |  |
| 46 | 167 | 716 | 349 | 1232 | 22 | 134 | 67 | 223 | 1455 | 15\% |  |  |
| 47 | 226 | 887 | 447 | 1560 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1560 | 0\% |  |  |
| 48 | 30 | 168 | 86 | 284 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 284 | 0\% |  |  |
| 49 | 542 | 1043 | 558 | 2143 | 17 | 104 | 52 | 173 | 2316 | 7\% |  |  |
| 50 | 6 | 213 | 115 | 334 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 334 | 0\% |  |  |
| 51 | 0 | 193 | 107 | 300 | 17 | 102 | 51 | 170 | 470 | 36\% |  | Church |
| 52 | 51 | 341 | 171 | 563 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 563 | 0\% |  |  |
| 53 | 328 | 1152 | 580 | 2060 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2060 | 0\% |  |  |
| 54 | 244 | 664 | 343 | 1251 | 2 | 10 | 5 | 17 | 1268 | 1\% |  |  |
| 55 | 70 | 183 | 113 | 366 | 8 | 47 | 23 | 78 | 444 | 18\% |  |  |
| 56 | 112 | 64 | 53 | 229 | 12 | 70 | 35 | 116 | 345 | 34\% |  | Church |
| 57 | 0 | 119 | 66 | 185 | 8 | 47 | 23 | 78 | 263 | 30\% |  |  |
| 58 | 70 | 129 | 83 | 282 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 282 | 0\% |  |  |
| 59 | - | 309 | 172 | 481 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 481 | 0\% |  |  |
| 60 | 236 | 721 | 366 | 1323 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1323 | 0\% |  |  |
| 61 | 48 | 256 | 129 | 433 | 47 | 282 | 141 | 470 | 903 | 52\% |  | Church |
| 62 | 119 | 169 | 95 | 383 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 383 | 0\% |  |  |
| 63 | 325 | 130 | 122 | 577 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 577 | 0\% |  |  |
| 64 | 2777 | 981 | 971 | 4729 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4729 | 0\% |  |  |
| 65 | 35 | 378 | 192 | 605 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 605 | 0\% |  |  |
| 66 | 0 | 348 | 194 | 542 | 14 | 83 | 41 | 138 | 680 | 20\% |  |  |
| 67 | 294 | 1385 | 668 | 2347 | 34 | 204 | 102 | 339 | 2686 | 13\% |  |  |
| 68 | 249 | 271 | 189 | 709 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 709 | 0\% |  |  |
| 69 | 506 | 3442 | 1644 | 5592 | 24 | 145 | 73 | 242 | 5834 | 4\% |  |  |
| 70 | 445 | 1300 | 689 | 2434 | 26 | 154 | 77 | 257 | 2691 | 10\% |  |  |
| 71 | 260 | 852 | 465 | 1577 | 23 | 139 | 69 | 231 | 1808 | 13\% |  |  |
| 72 | 1016 | 4977 | 2634 | 8627 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8627 | 0\% |  |  |
| 73 | 33 | 562 | 318 | 913 | 15 | 87 | 44 | 145 | 1058 | 14\% |  |  |
| 74 | 87 | 656 | 379 | 1122 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1122 | 0\% |  |  |
| 75 | 36 | 482 | 274 | 792 | 4 | 22 | 11 | 37 | 829 | 4\% |  |  |
| 76 | 0 | 143 | 80 | 223 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 223 | 0\% |  |  |
| 77 | 128 | 748 | 362 | 1238 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1238 | 0\% |  |  |
| 78 | 1369 | 472 | 472 | 2313 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2313 | 0\% |  |  |
| 79 | 218 | 75 | 75 | 368 | 572 | 3432 | 1716 | 5720 | 6088 | 94\% | Yes | Civic Center |
| 80 | 122 | 337 | 208 | 667 | 165 | 988 | 494 | 1647 | 2314 | 71\% | Yes | Museum |

## SPECIAL GENERATOR TRIPS AND DECISION TABLE, page 2 of 3

|  | Regression Results |  |  |  | Special Generators (ITE Results) |  |  |  | TOTAL | SpGen \% | SpGenZone? | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TAZ | HBW_A | HBO_A | NHB_A | Total | SpHBW_A | Sp_HBO_A | Sp_NHB_A | SpTotal |  |  |  |  |
| 81 | 16 | 188 | 95 | 299 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 299 | 0\% |  |  |
| 82 | 177 | 238 | 148 | 563 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 563 | 0\% |  |  |
| 83 | 106 | 445 | 228 | 779 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 779 | 0\% |  |  |
| 84 | 348 | 1318 | 656 | 2322 | 4 | 24 | 12 | 41 | 2363 | 2\% |  |  |
| 85 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \#DIV/0! |  |  |
| 86 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \#DIV/0! |  |  |
| 87 | 97 | 34 | 34 | 165 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 165 | 0\% |  |  |
| 88 | 307 | 1353 | 649 | 2309 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2309 | 0\% |  |  |
| 89 | 571 | 2190 | 1125 | 3886 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3886 | 0\% |  |  |
| 90 | 635 | 3080 | 1435 | 5150 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5150 | 0\% |  |  |
| 91 | 635 | 2214 | 1103 | 3952 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3952 | 0\% |  |  |
| 92 | 0 | 159 | 89 | 248 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 248 | 0\% |  |  |
| 93 | 0 | 395 | 220 | 615 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 615 | 0\% |  |  |
| 94 | 293 | 1407 | 687 | 2387 | 72 | 432 | 216 | 720 | 3107 | 23\% |  |  |
| 95 | 0 | 77 | 43 | 120 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 120 | 0\% |  |  |
| 96 | 120 | 621 | 365 | 1106 | 11 | 64 | 32 | 107 | 1213 | 9\% |  |  |
| 97 | 183 | 884 | 464 | 1531 | 7 | 42 | 21 | 69 | 1600 | 4\% |  |  |
| 98 | 1037 | 3543 | 1795 | 6375 | 74 | 443 | 221 | 738 | 7113 | 10\% |  |  |
| 99 | 90 | 664 | 355 | 1109 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1109 | 0\% |  |  |
| 100 | 52 | 63 | 44 | 159 | 20 | 121 | 61 | 202 | 361 | 56\% |  | Church |
| 101 | 268 | 710 | 404 | 1382 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1382 | 0\% |  |  |
| 102 | 522 | 2797 | 1299 | 4618 | 186 | 1118 | 559 | 1863 | 6481 | 29\% |  |  |
| 103 | 909 | 4800 | 2225 | 7934 | 16 | 98 | 49 | 163 | 8097 | 2\% |  |  |
| 104 | 86 | 108 | 75 | 269 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 269 | 0\% |  |  |
| 105 | 1204 | 819 | 632 | 2655 | 42 | 254 | 127 | 423 | 3078 | 14\% |  |  |
| 106 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \#DIV/0! |  |  |
| 107 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 81 | 486 | 243 | 810 | 810 | 100\% | Yes | Pool/Waterpark |
| 108 | 1043 | 607 | 494 | 2144 | 5 | 28 | 14 | 47 | 2191 | 2\% |  |  |
| 109 | 84 | 100 | 70 | 254 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 254 | 0\% |  |  |
| 110 | 267 | 258 | 189 | 714 | 65 | 392 | 196 | 653 | 1367 | 48\% | Yes | Storybook Island |
| 111 | 551 | 884 | 526 | 1961 | 20 | 120 | 60 | 201 | 2162 | 9\% |  |  |
| 112 | 44 | 315 | 157 | 516 | 5 | 30 | 15 | 49 | 565 | 9\% |  |  |
| 113 | 109 | 444 | 242 | 795 | 95 | 573 | 286 | 954 | 1749 | 55\% | Yes | Health Club |
| 114 | 83 | 630 | 348 | 1061 | 5 | 28 | 14 | 46 | 1107 | 4\% |  |  |
| 115 | 55 | 211 | 126 | 392 | 14 | 85 | 42 | 141 | 533 | 26\% |  |  |
| 116 | 422 | 548 | 372 | 1342 | 39 | 232 | 116 | 387 | 1729 | 22\% |  |  |
| 117 | 64 | 72 | 322 | 458 | 76 | 455 | 228 | 758 | 1216 | 62\% | Yes | Amusement Park |
| 118 | 25 | 17 | 13 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 0\% |  |  |
| 119 | 750 | 711 | 468 | 1929 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1929 | 0\% |  |  |
| 120 | 0 | 17 | 10 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 0\% |  |  |
| 121 | 84 | 1074 | 610 | 1768 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1768 | 0\% |  |  |
| 122 | 415 | 968 | 585 | 1968 | 45 | 268 | 134 | 447 | 2415 | 19\% |  |  |
| 123 | 49 | 186 | 374 | 609 | 13 | 81 | 40 | 134 | 743 | 18\% |  |  |
| 124 | 7 | 616 | 344 | 967 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 967 | 0\% |  |  |
| 125 | 19 | 110 | 59 | 188 | 52 | 313 | 157 | 522 | 710 | 74\% | Yes | Amusement Park |
| 126 | 181 | 213 | 150 | 544 | 2 | 11 | 5 | 18 | 562 | 3\% |  |  |
| 127 | 22 | 110 | 54 | 186 | 3 | 20 | 10 | 33 | 219 | 15\% |  |  |
| 128 | 248 | 291 | 205 | 744 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 744 | 0\% |  |  |
| 129 | 396 | 1060 | 546 | 2002 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2002 | 0\% |  |  |
| 130 | 1085 | 4110 | 2038 | 7233 | 131 | 785 | 393 | 1309 | 8542 | 15\% |  |  |
| 131 | 342 | 1236 | 612 | 2190 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2190 | 0\% |  |  |
| 132 | 65 | 101 | 66 | 232 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 232 | 0\% |  |  |
| 133 | 667 | 906 | 1059 | 2632 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2632 | 0\% |  |  |
| 134 | 0 | 383 | 213 | 596 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 596 | 0\% |  |  |
| 135 | 0 | 293 | 163 | 456 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 456 | 0\% |  |  |
| 136 | 136 | 554 | 291 | 981 | 13 | 75 | 38 | 125 | 1106 | 11\% |  |  |
| 137 | 0 | 456 | 254 | 710 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 710 | 0\% |  |  |
| 138 | 96 | 520 | 295 | 911 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 911 | 0\% |  |  |
| 139 | 19 | 218 | 114 | 351 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 351 | 0\% |  |  |
| 140 | 1170 | 572 | 497 | 2239 | 5699 | 2849 | 950 | 9498 | 11737 | 81\% | Yes | Ellsworth Air Force Base |
| 141 | 132 | 923 | 442 | 1497 | 6 | 34 | 17 | 57 | 1554 | 4\% |  |  |
| 142 | 168 | 405 | 207 | 780 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 780 | 0\% |  |  |
| 143 | 0 | 171 | 95 | 266 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 266 | 0\% |  |  |
| 144 | 0 | 162 | 90 | 252 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 252 | 0\% |  |  |
| 145 | 335 | 948 | 524 | 1807 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1807 | 0\% |  |  |
| 146 | 78 | 460 | 242 | 780 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 780 | 0\% |  |  |
| 147 | 0 | 75 | 42 | 117 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 117 | 0\% |  |  |
| 148 | 0 | 151 | 84 | 235 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 235 | 0\% |  |  |
| 149 | 0 | 128 | 71 | 199 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 199 | 0\% |  |  |
| 150 | 222 | 646 | 329 | 1197 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1197 | 0\% |  |  |
| 151 | 138 | 919 | 448 | 1505 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1505 | 0\% |  |  |
| 152 | 496 | 740 | 414 | 1650 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1650 | 0\% |  |  |
| 153 | 103 | 500 | 236 | 839 | 2071 | 12425 | 6212 | 20708 | 21547 | 96\% | Yes | Rushmore Mall |
| 154 | 418 | 2176 | 1004 | 3598 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3598 | 0\% |  |  |
| 155 | 257 | 157 | 117 | 531 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 531 | 0\% |  |  |
| 156 | 189 | 307 | 168 | 664 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 664 | 0\% |  |  |
| 157 | 122 | 392 | 191 | 705 | 91 | 549 | 274 | 915 | 1620 | 56\% | Yes | Visitor Information Center |
| 158 | 336 | 1350 | 681 | 2367 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2367 | 0\% |  |  |
| 159 | 62 | 248 | 128 | 438 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 438 | 0\% |  |  |
| 160 | 0 | 257 | 143 | 400 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 400 | 0\% |  |  |

## SPECIAL GENERATOR TRIPS AND DECISION TABLE, page 3 of 3

|  | Regression Results |  |  |  | Special Generators (ITE Results) |  |  |  | TOTAL | SpGen \% | SpGenZone? | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TAZ | HBW_A | HBO_A | NHB_A | Total | SpHBW_A | Sp_HBO_A | Sp_NHB_A | SpTotal |  |  |  |  |
| 161 | 1322 | 690 | 560 | 2572 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2572 | 0\% |  |  |
| 162 | 1350 | 2305 | 1293 | 4948 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4948 | 0\% |  |  |
| 163 | 2031 | 5490 | 2859 | 10380 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10380 | 0\% |  |  |
| 164 | 969 | 2034 | 1108 | 4111 | 15 | 93 | 46 | 155 | 4266 | 4\% |  |  |
| 165 | 377 | 2126 | 1013 | 3516 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3516 | 0\% |  |  |
| 166 | 9 | 176 | 92 | 277 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 277 | 0\% |  |  |
| 167 | 0 | 113 | 63 | 176 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 176 | 0\% |  |  |
| 168 | 0 | 68 | 38 | 106 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 106 | 0\% |  |  |
| 169 | 23 | 209 | 102 | 334 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 334 | 0\% |  |  |
| 170 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | \#DIV/0! |  |  |
| 171 | 0 | 32 | 18 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0\% |  |  |
| 172 | 187 | 1478 | 705 | 2370 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2370 | 0\% |  |  |
| 173 | 125 | 1265 | 625 | 2015 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2015 | 0\% |  |  |
| 174 | 0 | 181 | 101 | 282 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 282 | 0\% |  |  |
| 175 | 57 | 565 | 420 | 1042 | 4 | 22 | 11 | 36 | 1078 | 3\% |  |  |
| 176 | 0 | 149 | 83 | 232 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 232 | 0\% |  |  |
| 177 | 144 | 444 | 257 | 845 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 845 | 0\% |  |  |
| 178 | 0 | 347 | 193 | 540 | 27 | 165 | 82 | 275 | 815 | 34\% |  | Assisted Living Center \& Church |
| 179 | 22 | 388 | 205 | 615 | 33 | 196 | 98 | 327 | 942 | 35\% |  | Cemetary |
| 180 | 764 | 1912 | 1054 | 3730 | 5 | 28 | 14 | 47 | 3777 | 1\% |  |  |
| 181 | 0 | 113 | 63 | 176 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 176 | 0\% |  |  |
| 182 | 200 | 231 | 159 | 590 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 590 | 0\% |  |  |
| 183 | 125 | 1020 | 489 | 1634 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1634 | 0\% |  |  |
| 184 | 0 | 182 | 101 | 283 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 283 | 0\% |  |  |
| 185 | 29 | 608 | 336 | 973 | 4 | 24 | 12 | 40 | 1013 | 4\% |  |  |
| 186 | 106 | 698 | 1374 | 2178 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2178 | 0\% |  |  |
| 187 | 3 | 100 | 54 | 157 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 157 | 0\% |  |  |
| 188 | 6 | 61 | 251 | 318 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 318 | 0\% |  |  |
| 189 | 352 | 1236 | 599 | 2187 | 53 | 315 | 158 | 525 | 2712 | 19\% |  |  |
| 190 | 376 | 689 | 373 | 1438 | 110 | 657 | 329 | 1096 | 2534 | 43\% | Yes | Retired Enlisted Center |
| 191 | 307 | 1690 | 783 | 2780 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2780 | 0\% |  |  |
| 192 | 306 | 412 | 249 | 967 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 967 | 0\% |  |  |
| 193 | 349 | 698 | 396 | 1443 | 46 | 277 | 139 | 462 | 1905 | 24\% |  |  |
| 194 | 858 | 880 | 581 | 2319 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2319 | 0\% |  |  |
| 195 | 1856 | 2228 | 1336 | 5420 | 27 | 164 | 82 | 274 | 5694 | 5\% |  |  |
| 196 | 30 | 226 | 107 | 363 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 363 | 0\% |  |  |
| 197 | 0 | 21 | 12 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0\% |  |  |
| 198 | 0 | 194 | 108 | 302 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 302 | 0\% |  |  |
| 199 | 22 | 300 | 153 | 475 | 180 | 1079 | 540 | 1799 | 2274 | 79\% | Yes | Elks Club |
| 200 | 196 | 319 | 187 | 702 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 702 | 0\% |  |  |
| 201 | 0 | 182 | 101 | 283 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 283 | 0\% |  |  |
| 202 | 0 | 23 | 13 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 0\% |  |  |
| 203 | 35 | 79 | 49 | 163 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 163 | 0\% |  |  |
| 204 | 6 | 76 | 38 | 120 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 120 | 0\% |  |  |
| 205 | 9 | 53 | 31 | 93 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 93 | 0\% |  |  |
| 206 | 0 | 60 | 34 | 94 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 94 | 0\% |  |  |
| 207 | 17 | 213 | 121 | 351 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 351 | 0\% |  |  |
| 208 | 23 | 106 | 63 | 192 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 192 | 0\% |  |  |
| 209 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 8 | 560 | 3363 | 1681 | 5605 | 5613 | 100\% | Yes | Regional Airport |
| 210 | 0 | 54 | 30 | 84 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 84 | 0\% |  |  |

## APPENDIX C

## Year 2025 Demographic Data and Final P’s \& A's
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | PRODUCTIONS |  |  | ATTRACTIONS |  |  | BALANCED PRODUCTIONS \& ATTRACTIONS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TAZ | AREA | AVE HH SIZE | AVG AUTO OWN | SPGEN | ATYPE | 2025 HH | 2025 POP | HBW_P | HBO_P | NHB_P | HBW_A | HBO_A | NHB_A | HBW_P | HBW_A | HBO_P | HBO_A | NHB_P | NHB_A |
| 1 | 0.20 | 0.00 | 1.34 | 1 | 1 |  | - |  |  |  | 900 | 1,138 | 785 |  | 900 |  | 1,138 |  | 785 |
| 2 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 1.34 | 1 | 1 | - | - |  |  |  | 110 | 363 | 197 |  | 110 |  | 363 |  | 197 |
| 3 | 0.03 | 1.20 | 1.09 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 11 | 23 | 10 | 454 | 621 | 434 | 11 | 746 | 23 | 490 | 10 | 242 |
| 4 | 0.01 | 1.38 | 0.69 | 0 | 1 | 47 | 65 | 86 | 179 | 79 | 392 | 406 | 293 | 86 | 644 | 179 | 320 | 79 | 163 |
| 5 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 1.09 | 0 | 1 | - | - |  |  |  | 352 | 367 | 261 |  | 578 |  | 290 |  | 145 |
| 6 | 0.03 | 1.19 | 0.69 | 0 | 1 | 16 | 19 | 29 | 61 | 27 | 790 | 865 | 623 | 29 | 1,298 | 61 | 682 | 27 | 347 |
| 7 | 0.03 | 1.57 | 0.69 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 11 | 13 | 27 | 12 | 670 | 1,340 | 819 | 13 | 1,101 | 27 | 1,057 | 12 | 456 |
| 8 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 1.09 | 0 | 1 | - | - |  |  |  | 207 | 283 | 198 |  | 340 |  | 223 |  | 110 |
| 9 | 0.03 | 2.75 | 1.09 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 11 | 12 | 24 | 11 | 286 | 388 | 271 | 12 | 470 | 24 | 306 | 11 | 151 |
| 10 | 0.03 | 1.50 | 0.69 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 8 | 3 | 613 | 739 | 525 | 4 | 1,007 | 8 | 583 | 3 | 292 |
| 11 | 0.03 | 20.29 | 0.88 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 142 | 24 | 78 | 24 | 573 | 554 | 410 | 24 | 941 | 78 | 437 | 24 | 228 |
| 12 | 0.05 | 2.33 | 1.34 | 0 | 1 | 74 | 173 | 214 | 445 | 197 | 106 | 203 | 133 | 214 | 174 | 445 | 160 | 197 | 74 |
| 13 | 0.03 | 1.97 | 1.34 | 1 | 1 | 102 | 201 | 229 | 476 | 210 | 202 | 758 | 414 | 229 | 202 | 476 | 758 | 210 | 414 |
| 14 | 0.03 | 2.94 | 1.34 | 1 | 1 | 16 | 47 | 46 | 96 | 43 | 1,363 | 3,238 | 1,873 | 46 | 1,363 | 96 | 3,238 | 43 | 1,873 |
| 15 | 0.03 | 1.57 | 1.34 | 0 | 1 | 21 | 33 | 47 | 98 | 43 | 1,019 | 1,846 | 1,135 | 47 | 1,674 | 98 | 1,456 | 43 | 632 |
| 16 | 0.03 | 1.95 | 0.88 | 0 | 1 | 38 | 74 | 70 | 145 | 64 | 830 | 1,367 | 889 | 70 | 1,363 | 145 | 1,078 | 64 | 495 |
| 17 | 0.02 | 316.00 | 0.88 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 316 | 3 | 11 | 3 | 667 | 231 | 231 | 3 | 1,096 | 11 | 182 | 3 | 129 |
| 18 | 0.02 | 10.39 | 0.88 | 1 | 1 | 23 | 239 | 79 | 257 | 79 | 315 | 1,087 | 570 | 79 | 315 | 257 | 1,087 | 79 | 570 |
| 19 | 0.02 | 2.13 | 0.88 | 0 | 1 | 45 | 96 | 115 | 240 | 106 | 194 | 197 | 142 | 115 | 319 | 240 | 155 | 106 | 79 |
| 20 | 0.04 | 2.00 | 1.65 | 0 | 2 | 132 | 264 | 296 | 616 | 272 |  | 119 | 66 | 296 |  | 616 | 94 | 272 | 37 |
| 21 | 0.03 | 1.66 | 1.34 | 0 | 2 | 85 | 141 | 191 | 396 | 175 | 157 | 501 | 268 | 191 | 258 | 396 | 395 | 175 | 149 |
| 22 | 0.01 | 2.56 | 1.34 | 0 | 2 | 17 | 44 | 49 | 102 | 45 | 127 | 413 | 216 | 49 | 209 | 102 | 326 | 45 | 120 |
| 23 | 0.01 | 1.42 | 0.88 | 0 | 2 | 44 | 62 | 80 | 167 | 74 | 27 | 108 | 60 | 80 | 44 | 167 | 85 | 74 | 33 |
| 24 | 0.01 | 1.89 | 1.34 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 19 | 22 | 47 | 21 | 426 | 156 | 152 | 22 | 700 | 47 | 123 | 21 | 85 |
| 25 | 0.01 | 3.29 | 0.88 | 1 | 2 | 36 | 119 | 100 | 281 | 95 | 171 | 810 | 418 | 100 | 171 | 281 | 810 | 95 | 418 |
| 26 | 0.07 | 2.77 | 0.88 | 0 | 2 | 76 | 211 | 195 | 406 | 179 | 46 | 123 | 76 | 195 | 76 | 406 | 97 | 179 | 42 |
| 27 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 1.42 | 0 | 2 | - | - |  |  |  | 309 | 1,547 | 723 |  | 508 |  | 1,220 |  | 402 |
| 28 | 0.06 | 2.25 | 1.42 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 11 | 14 | 30 | 13 | 162 | 549 | 275 | 14 | 266 | 30 | 433 | 13 | 153 |
| 29 | 0.01 | 2.50 | 1.09 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 6 | 12 | 5 | 1,108 | 6,575 | 3,288 | 6 | 1,108 | 12 | 6,575 | 5 | 3,288 |
| 30 | 0.03 | 2.21 | 1.09 | 0 | 2 | 29 | 64 | 84 | 174 | 77 | 102 | 499 | 237 | 84 | 168 | 174 | 394 | 77 | 132 |
| 31 | 0.04 | 4.00 | 1.42 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 9 | 3 | 289 | 100 | 100 | 3 | 475 | 9 | 79 | 3 | 56 |
| 32 | 0.03 | 2.26 | 1.09 | 0 | 2 | 185 | 419 | 535 | 1,112 | 492 | 58 | 293 | 164 | 535 | 95 | 1,112 | 231 | 492 | 91 |
| 33 | 0.50 | 2.32 | 1.65 | 1 | 2 | 232 | 539 | 671 | 1,395 | 617 | 131 | 587 | 312 | 671 | 131 | 1,395 | 587 | 617 | 312 |
| 34 | 0.13 | 2.04 | 1.65 | 0 | 2 | 382 | 779 | 1,104 | 2,297 | 1,016 | 185 | 894 | 480 | 1,104 | 304 | 2,297 | 705 | 1,016 | 267 |
| 35 | 0.09 | 2.13 | 1.34 | 0 | 2 | 271 | 576 | 783 | 1,630 | 721 | 139 | 556 | 303 | 783 | 228 | 1,630 | 439 | 721 | 169 |
| 36 | 0.05 | 2.90 | 1.10 | 0 | 2 | 88 | 255 | 254 | 529 | 234 | 102 | 246 | 145 | 254 | 168 | 529 | 194 | 234 | 81 |
| 37 | 0.15 | 2.19 | 1.49 | 0 | 2 | 369 | 810 | 1,067 | 2,219 | 981 | - | 332 | 184 | 1,067 | - | 2,219 | 262 | 981 | 102 |
| 38 | 0.22 | 2.23 | 1.09 | 0 | 2 | 35 | 78 | 101 | 210 | 93 | 334 | 1,516 | 730 | 101 | 549 | 210 | 1,196 | 93 | 406 |
| 39 | 0.07 | 240.00 | 1.09 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 480 | 7 | 23 | 7 | 833 | 5,000 | 2,500 | 7 | 833 | 23 | 5,000 | 7 | 2,500 |
| 40 | 0.11 | 2.17 | 1.57 | 0 | 2 | 30 | 65 | 87 | 180 | 80 | 46 | 81 | 53 | 87 | 76 | 180 | 64 | 80 | 30 |
| 41 | 0.21 | 1.00 | 1.57 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1,028 | 2,473 | 1,276 | 1 | 1,689 | 3 | 1,951 | 1 | 710 |
| 42 | 0.08 | 2.59 | 1.65 | 0 | 2 | 123 | 318 | 356 | 740 | 327 | 171 | 475 | 254 | 356 | 281 | 740 | 375 | 327 | 141 |
| 43 | 0.08 | 2.14 | 1.68 | 0 | 2 | 224 | 480 | 648 | 1,347 | 596 | 84 | 544 | 277 | 648 | 138 | 1,347 | 429 | 596 | 154 |
| 44 | 0.07 | 2.33 | 1.90 | 0 | 2 | 198 | 462 | 572 | 1,191 | 527 | 17 | 257 | 137 | 572 | 28 | 1,191 | 203 | 527 | 76 |
| 45 | 0.06 | 2.16 | 1.61 | 0 | 2 | 174 | 377 | 503 | 1,046 | 463 | 12 | 229 | 120 | 503 | 20 | 1,046 | 181 | 463 | 67 |
| 46 | 0.11 | 1.49 | 1.86 | 0 | 2 | 110 | 163 | 247 | 513 | 227 | 282 | 1,011 | 507 | 247 | 463 | 513 | 798 | 227 | 282 |
| 47 | 0.14 | 2.10 | 1.57 | 0 | 2 | 143 | 300 | 413 | 860 | 380 | 286 | 1,188 | 585 | 413 | 470 | 860 | 937 | 380 | 326 |
| 48 | 0.06 | 2.11 | 1.73 | 0 | 2 | 75 | 158 | 217 | 451 | 199 | 52 | 311 | 153 | 217 | 85 | 451 | 245 | 199 | 85 |
| 49 | 0.06 | 2.02 | 1.68 | 0 | 2 | 68 | 137 | 197 | 409 | 181 | 522 | 1,649 | 855 | 197 | 857 | 409 | 1,301 | 181 | 476 |
| 50 | 0.07 | 2.32 | 1.90 | 0 | 2 | 201 | 466 | 581 | 1,209 | 535 | 12 | 253 | 133 | 581 | 20 | 1,209 | 200 | 535 | 74 |
| 51 | 0.08 | 2.16 | 1.61 | 0 | 2 | 219 | 474 | 633 | 1,317 | 582 | 20 | 300 | 161 | 633 | 33 | 1,317 | 237 | 582 | 90 |
| 52 | 0.05 | 2.46 | 1.86 | 0 | 2 | 145 | 357 | 419 | 872 | 386 | 64 | 446 | 221 | 419 | 105 | 872 | 352 | 386 | 123 |
| 53 | 0.08 | 2.67 | 1.86 | 0 | 2 | 59 | 158 | 171 | 355 | 157 | 380 | 1,366 | 682 | 171 | 624 | 355 | 1,078 | 157 | 380 |
| 54 | 0.08 | 2.63 | 1.71 | 0 | 2 | 119 | 313 | 344 | 716 | 317 | 312 | 1,678 | 796 | 344 | 512 | 716 | 1,324 | 317 | 443 |
| 55 | 0.10 | 2.49 | 1.99 | 0 | 2 | 182 | 452 | 526 | 1,094 | 484 | 134 | 267 | 165 | 526 | 220 | 1,094 | 211 | 484 | 92 |
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | PRODUCTIONS |  |  | ATTRACTIONS |  |  | BALANCED PRODUCTIONS \& ATTRACTIONS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TAZ | AREA | AVE HH SIZE | AVG AUTO OWN | SPGEN | ATYPE | 2025 HH | 2025 POP | HBW_P | HBO_P | NHB_P | HBW_A | HBO_A | NHB_A | HBW_P | HBW_A | HBO_P | HBO_A | NHB_P | NHB_A |
| 56 | 0.05 | 2.58 | 1.99 | 0 | 2 | 20 | 52 | 58 | 120 | 53 | 216 | 172 | 123 | 58 | 355 | 120 | 136 | 53 | 68 |
| 57 | 0.08 | 2.60 | 1.99 | 0 | 2 | 137 | 356 | 396 | 824 | 364 | 12 | 172 | 93 | 396 | 20 | 824 | 136 | 364 | 52 |
| 58 | 0.06 | 2.50 | 1.72 | 0 | 2 | 119 | 297 | 344 | 716 | 317 | 133 | 153 | 105 | 344 | 218 | 716 | 121 | 317 | 58 |
| 59 | 0.14 | 2.69 | 2.01 | 0 | 2 | 350 | 942 | 1,012 | 2,105 | 931 | - | 315 | 175 | 1,012 | - | 2,105 | 249 | 931 | 97 |
| 60 | 0.61 | 2.54 | 1.65 | 0 | 3 | 187 | 476 | 541 | 1,124 | 497 | 750 | 3,360 | 1,615 | 541 | 1,232 | 1,124 | 2,651 | 497 | 899 |
| 61 | 0.03 | 1.20 | 1.71 | 0 | 2 | 96 | 115 | 215 | 448 | 198 | 159 | 845 | 415 | 215 | 261 | 448 | 667 | 198 | 231 |
| 62 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 2.01 | 0 | 4 | - | - |  |  |  | 102 | 243 | 133 |  | 168 |  | 192 |  | 74 |
| 63 | 0.04 | 2.10 | 2.17 | 0 | 2 | 43 | 90 | 124 | 259 | 114 | 320 | 149 | 132 | 124 | 526 | 259 | 118 | 114 | 73 |
| 64 | 0.11 | 2.19 | 2.01 | 0 | 3 | 100 | 219 | 289 | 601 | 266 | 1,853 | 2,263 | 1,584 | 289 | 3,044 | 601 | 1,785 | 266 | 882 |
| 65 | 0.12 | 2.58 | 2.17 | 0 | 2 | 207 | 535 | 598 | 1,245 | 551 | 68 | 529 | 264 | 598 | 112 | 1,245 | 417 | 551 | 147 |
| 66 | 0.14 | 2.28 | 1.79 | 0 | 2 | 392 | 892 | 1,133 | 2,357 | 1,043 | 52 | 604 | 317 | 1,133 | 85 | 2,357 | 476 | 1,043 | 176 |
| 67 | 0.45 | 2.78 | 2.01 | 0 | 2 | 450 | 1,252 | 1,301 | 2,706 | 1,197 | 713 | 3,776 | 1,824 | 1,301 | 1,171 | 2,706 | 2,979 | 1,197 | 1,015 |
| 68 | 1.29 | 2.57 | 1.50 | 0 | 2 | 281 | 722 | 812 | 1,690 | 747 | 418 | 702 | 418 | 812 | 687 | 1,690 | 554 | 747 | 233 |
| 69 | 0.29 | 2.36 | 1.50 | 0 | 2 | 718 | 1,695 | 2,076 | 4,318 | 1,910 | 691 | 4,128 | 1,981 | 2,076 | 1,135 | 4,318 | 3,257 | 1,910 | 1,103 |
| 70 | 0.27 | 2.88 | 1.46 | 0 | 2 | 339 | 975 | 980 | 2,039 | 902 | 871 | 2,965 | 1,489 | 980 | 1,431 | 2,039 | 2,339 | 902 | 829 |
| 71 | 0.25 | 2.58 | 1.59 | 0 | 2 | 442 | 1,142 | 1,278 | 2,658 | 1,176 | 620 | 2,158 | 1,098 | 1,278 | 1,018 | 2,658 | 1,702 | 1,176 | 611 |
| 72 | 0.52 | 2.15 | 1.59 | 0 | 2 | 616 | 1,325 | 1,781 | 3,704 | 1,638 | 2,904 | 15,773 | 7,654 | 1,781 | 4,770 | 3,704 | 12,443 | 1,638 | 4,260 |
| 73 | 0.24 | 2.41 | 1.72 | 0 | 2 | 593 | 1,427 | 1,714 | 3,566 | 1,577 | 327 | 2,183 | 1,072 | 1,714 | 537 | 3,566 | 1,722 | 1,577 | 597 |
| 74 | 0.28 | 2.58 | 1.27 | 0 | 2 | 663 | 1,712 | 1,917 | 3,987 | 1,763 | 173 | 733 | 436 | 1,917 | 284 | 3,987 | 578 | 1,763 | 243 |
| 75 | 0.19 | 2.57 | 1.61 | 0 | 2 | 500 | 1,287 | 1,446 | 3,007 | 1,330 | 94 | 699 | 383 | 1,446 | 154 | 3,007 | 551 | 1,330 | 213 |
| 76 | 0.07 | 2.21 | 1.49 | 0 | 2 | 159 | 352 | 460 | 956 | 423 | - | 143 | 80 | 460 | - | 956 | 113 | 423 | 45 |
| 77 | 0.30 | 2.19 | 1.49 | 0 | 2 | 293 | 642 | 847 | 1,762 | 779 | 1,037 | 4,906 | 2,331 | 847 | 1,703 | 1,762 | 3,870 | 779 | 1,297 |
| 78 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 1.09 | 0 | 2 | - | - |  |  |  | 880 | 304 | 304 |  | 1,445 |  | 240 |  | 169 |
| 79 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 1.09 | 1 | 2 | - | - |  |  |  | 932 | 5,664 | 2,733 |  | 932 |  | 5,664 |  | 2,733 |
| 80 | 0.12 | 1.35 | 1.09 | 1 | 2 | 246 | 333 | 552 | 1,147 | 507 | 332 | 1,395 | 749 | 552 | 332 | 1,147 | 1,395 | 507 | 749 |
| 81 | 0.04 | 2.42 | 1.40 | 0 | 2 | 103 | 250 | 298 | 619 | 274 | 25 | 245 | 121 | 298 | 41 | 619 | 193 | 274 | 67 |
| 82 | 0.03 | 3.72 | 1.61 | 0 | 2 | 41 | 152 | 129 | 363 | 123 | 220 | 386 | 233 | 129 | 361 | 363 | 305 | 123 | 130 |
| 83 | 0.05 | 2.21 | 1.40 | 0 | 2 | 150 | 332 | 434 | 902 | 399 | 167 | 1,034 | 489 | 434 | 274 | 902 | 816 | 399 | 272 |
| 84 | 0.09 | 2.47 | 1.49 | 0 | 2 | 116 | 287 | 335 | 698 | 309 | 475 | 1,847 | 916 | 335 | 780 | 698 | 1,457 | 309 | 510 |
| 85 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 1.09 | 0 | 2 | - | - |  |  |  | - | - | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 86 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 1.09 | 0 | 2 | - | - |  |  |  | - | - | - |  | - |  | - |  | - |
| 87 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 1.09 | 0 | 2 | - | - |  |  |  | 102 | 35 | 35 |  | 168 |  | 28 |  | 19 |
| 88 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 1.09 | 0 | 2 | - | - |  |  |  | 394 | 1,667 | 805 |  | 647 |  | 1,315 |  | 448 |
| 89 | 0.26 | 2.33 | 1.42 | 0 | 2 | 495 | 1,155 | 1,431 | 2,977 | 1,317 | 1,866 | 4,728 | 2,453 | 1,431 | 3,065 | 2,977 | 3,730 | 1,317 | 1,365 |
| 90 | 0.06 | 2.37 | 1.49 | 0 | 2 | 26 | 62 | 75 | 156 | 69 | 758 | 3,834 | 1,784 | 75 | 1,245 | 156 | 3,025 | 69 | 993 |
| 91 | 0.15 | 2.23 | 1.57 | 0 | 2 | 168 | 375 | 486 | 1,010 | 447 | 745 | 3,238 | 1,544 | 486 | 1,224 | 1,010 | 2,554 | 447 | 859 |
| 92 | 1.32 | 2.09 | 2.25 | 0 | 3 | 290 | 606 | 838 | 1,744 | 771 | 716 | 1,265 | 721 | 838 | 1,176 | 1,744 | 998 | 771 | 401 |
| 93 | 0.16 | 2.36 | 2.25 | 0 | 3 | 444 | 1,046 | 1,284 | 2,670 | 1,181 | - | 399 | 222 | 1,284 | - | 2,670 | 315 | 1,181 | 124 |
| 94 | 0.22 | 2.13 | 2.25 | 0 | 3 | 261 | 555 | 755 | 1,569 | 694 | 385 | 2,143 | 1,046 | 755 | 632 | 1,569 | 1,691 | 694 | 582 |
| 95 | 0.34 | 2.73 | 2.23 | 0 | 3 | 97 | 265 | 280 | 583 | 258 | - | 87 | 48 | 280 | - | 583 | 69 | 258 | 27 |
| 96 | 0.39 | 2.83 | 2.23 | 0 | 3 | 604 | 1,712 | 1,746 | 3,632 | 1,606 | 186 | 707 | 417 | 1,746 | 306 | 3,632 | 558 | 1,606 | 232 |
| 97 | 0.21 | 2.16 | 1.67 | 0 | 3 | 409 | 882 | 1,182 | 2,459 | 1,088 | 268 | 1,367 | 687 | 1,182 | 440 | 2,459 | 1,078 | 1,088 | 382 |
| 98 | 0.21 | 2.06 | 1.64 | 0 | 2 | 250 | 514 | 723 | 1,503 | 665 | 1,163 | 4,032 | 2,059 | 723 | 1,910 | 1,503 | 3,181 | 665 | 1,146 |
| 99 | 0.25 | 2.54 | 2.05 | 0 | 4 | 444 | 1,126 | 1,284 | 2,670 | 1,181 | 110 | 770 | 409 | 1,284 | 181 | 2,670 | 607 | 1,181 | 228 |
| 100 | 0.16 | 4.00 | 1.64 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 6 | 18 | 6 | 79 | 143 | 83 | 6 | 130 | 18 | 113 | 6 | 46 |
| 101 | 0.09 | 1.83 | 1.64 | 0 | 2 | 218 | 399 | 489 | 1,017 | 450 | 251 | 972 | 508 | 489 | 412 | 1,017 | 767 | 450 | 283 |
| 102 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 2.24 | 0 | 2 | - | - |  |  |  | 743 | 4,260 | 2,009 |  | 1,220 |  | 3,361 |  | 1,118 |
| 103 | 0.07 | 95.00 | 2.24 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 95 | 3 | 11 | 3 | 408 | 2,368 | 1,093 | 3 | 670 | 11 | 1,868 | 3 | 608 |
| 104 | 0.13 | 2.56 | 2.05 | 0 | 4 | 20 | 51 | 58 | 120 | 53 | 102 | 53 | 45 | 58 | 168 | 120 | 42 | 53 | 25 |
| 105 | 0.28 | 2.14 | 1.93 | 0 | 2 | 173 | 371 | 500 | 1,040 | 460 | 966 | 1,026 | 683 | 500 | 1,587 | 1,040 | 809 | 460 | 380 |
| 106 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 1.64 | 0 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 26 | 9 | 9 |  | 43 |  | 7 |  | 5 |
| 107 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 1.64 | 1 | 2 | - |  |  |  |  | 104 | 494 | 251 |  | 104 |  | 494 |  | 251 |
| 108 | 0.20 | 2.29 | 1.93 | 0 | 3 | 287 | 658 | 830 | 1,726 | 763 | 816 | 710 | 498 | 830 | 1,340 | 1,726 | 560 | 763 | 277 |
| 109 | 0.12 | 2.00 | 1.76 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 67 | 24 | 24 | 2 | 110 | 5 | 19 | 2 | 13 |
| 110 | 0.11 | 2.00 | 2.12 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 9 | 4 | 301 | 654 | 383 | 4 | 301 | 9 | 654 | 4 | 383 |
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | PRODUCTIONS |  |  | ATTRACTIONS |  |  | BALANCED PRODUCTIONS \& ATTRACTIONS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TAZ | AREA | AVE HH SIZE | AVG AUTO OWN | SPGEN | ATYPE | 2025 HH | 2025 POP | HBW_P | HBO_P | NHB_P | HBW_A | HBO_A | NHB_A | HBW_P | HBW_A | HBO_P | HBO_A | NHB_P | NHB_A |
| 111 | 0.15 | 3.23 | 2.24 | 0 |  | 100 | 323 | 315 | 884 | 300 | 368 | 949 | 523 | 315 | 604 | 884 | 749 | 300 | 291 |
| 112 | 0.21 | 2.34 | 1.98 | 0 | 3 | 144 | 338 | 416 | 866 | 383 | 96 | 417 | 216 | 416 | 158 | 866 | 329 | 383 | 120 |
| 113 | 0.09 | 2.19 | 1.89 | 1 | 3 | 282 | 618 | 815 | 1,696 | 750 | 244 | 972 | 539 | 815 | 244 | 1,696 | 972 | 750 | 539 |
| 114 | 0.15 | 2.11 | 1.70 | 0 | 3 | 502 | 1,060 | 1,451 | 3,019 | 1,335 | 117 | 782 | 423 | 1,451 | 192 | 3,019 | 617 | 1,335 | 235 |
| 115 | 0.12 | 2.05 | 1.76 | 0 | 3 | 214 | 438 | 619 | 1,287 | 569 | 133 | 319 | 190 | 619 | 218 | 1,287 | 252 | 569 | 106 |
| 116 | 1.61 | 2.61 | 2.18 | 0 | 3 | 486 | 1,271 | 1,405 | 2,922 | 1,293 | 786 | 2,110 | 1,138 | 1,405 | 1,291 | 2,922 | 1,665 | 1,293 | 633 |
| 117 | 1.74 | 2.78 | 2.19 | 1 | 4 | 232 | 644 | 671 | 1,395 | 617 | 1,211 | 4,762 | 2,623 | 671 | 1,211 | 1,395 | 4,762 | 617 | 2,623 |
| 118 | 0.23 | 2.00 | 2.01 | 0 | 3 | 100 | 200 | 224 | 466 | 206 | 64 | 406 | 199 | 224 | 105 | 466 | 320 | 206 | 111 |
| 119 | 0.45 | 2.99 | 2.01 | 0 | 3 | 345 | 1,030 | 997 | 2,075 | 918 | 638 | 1,782 | 988 | 997 | 1,048 | 2,075 | 1,406 | 918 | 550 |
| 120 | 0.05 | 3.26 | 2.01 | 0 | 4 | 29 | 95 | 91 | 256 | 87 | 16 | 44 | 27 | 91 | 26 | 256 | 35 | 87 | 15 |
| 121 | 0.53 | 2.55 | 2.01 | 0 | 3 | 1,169 | 2,981 | 3,380 | 7,030 | 3,109 | 171 | 1,179 | 672 | 3,380 | 281 | 7,030 | 930 | 3,109 | 374 |
| 122 | 0.41 | 2.99 | 1.75 | 0 | 3 | 680 | 2,034 | 1,966 | 4,089 | 1,809 | 770 | 1,951 | 1,090 | 1,966 | 1,265 | 4,089 | 1,539 | 1,809 | 607 |
| 123 | 1.58 | 2.89 | 2.01 | 0 | 3 | 1,224 | 3,535 | 3,539 | 7,360 | 3,256 | 568 | 2,905 | 1,776 | 3,539 | 933 | 7,360 | 2,292 | 3,256 | 989 |
| 124 | 0.71 | 2.69 | 1.75 | 0 | 3 | 977 | 2,628 | 2,825 | 5,875 | 2,599 | 394 | 2,546 | 1,293 | 2,825 | 647 | 5,875 | 2,009 | 2,599 | 720 |
| 125 | 0.79 | 3.06 | 2.01 | 1 | 3 | 148 | 453 | 466 | 1,309 | 444 | 470 | 2,104 | 1,037 | 466 | 470 | 1,309 | 2,104 | 444 | 1,037 |
| 126 | 0.77 | 0.00 | 2.76 | 0 | 4 | - | - |  |  |  | 366 | 1,003 | 502 |  | 601 |  | 791 |  | 279 |
| 127 | 0.46 | 2.62 | 2.76 | 0 | 4 | 108 | 282 | 312 | 649 | 287 | 322 | 1,201 | 593 | 312 | 529 | 649 | 947 | 287 | 330 |
| 128 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 1.90 | 0 | 4 | - | - |  |  |  | 439 | 895 | 473 |  | 721 |  | 706 |  | 263 |
| 129 | 0.19 | 1.00 | 1.90 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 564 | 1,726 | 864 | 1 | 926 | 3 | 1,362 | 1 | 481 |
| 130 | 1.92 | 2.16 | 1.90 | 0 | 3 | 379 | 817 | 1,096 | 2,279 | 1,008 | 2,582 | 8,334 | 4,207 | 1,096 | 4,241 | 2,279 | 6,575 | 1,008 | 2,342 |
| 131 | 0.21 | 2.00 | 1.90 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 842 | 2,501 | 1,243 | 2 | 1,383 | 5 | 1,973 | 2 | 692 |
| 132 | 0.67 | 3.29 | 1.90 | 0 | 3 | 284 | 934 | 894 | 2,511 | 851 | 381 | 1,492 | 750 | 894 | 626 | 2,511 | 1,177 | 851 | 417 |
| 133 | 0.26 | 2.96 | 1.90 | 0 | 3 | 167 | 495 | 483 | 1,004 | 444 | 928 | 2,128 | 1,628 | 483 | 1,524 | 1,004 | 1,679 | 444 | 906 |
| 134 | 2.90 | 2.90 | 1.99 | 0 | 4 | 890 | 2,580 | 2,573 | 5,352 | 2,367 | 1,198 | 3,514 | 1,863 | 2,573 | 1,968 | 5,352 | 2,772 | 2,367 | 1,037 |
| 135 | 0.22 | 3.10 | 1.99 | 0 | 3 | 339 | 1,051 | 1,067 | 2,997 | 1,016 | - | 305 | 169 | 1,067 | - | 2,997 | 241 | 1,016 | 94 |
| 136 | 0.29 | 2.24 | 1.92 | 0 | 3 | 186 | 417 | 538 | 1,118 | 495 | 594 | 1,974 | 1,000 | 538 | 976 | 1,118 | 1,557 | 495 | 557 |
| 137 | 0.42 | 2.91 | 2.30 | 0 | 3 | 538 | 1,565 | 1,555 | 3,235 | 1,431 | - | 484 | 269 | 1,555 | - | 3,235 | 382 | 1,431 | 150 |
| 138 | 0.57 | 3.21 | 2.30 | 0 | 3 | 542 | 1,740 | 1,706 | 4,792 | 1,624 | 361 | 1,369 | 737 | 1,706 | 593 | 4,792 | 1,080 | 1,624 | 410 |
| 139 | 2.11 | 2.50 | 1.96 | 0 | 4 | 231 | 577 | 668 | 1,389 | 614 | 226 | 580 | 320 | 668 | 371 | 1,389 | 458 | 614 | 178 |
| 140 | 2.53 | 3.42 | 1.16 | 1 | 3 | 196 | 670 | 617 | 1,733 | 587 | 6,433 | 3,436 | 1,370 | 617 | 6,433 | 1,733 | 3,436 | 587 | 1,370 |
| 141 | 2.92 | 3.17 | 1.71 | 0 | 4 | 198 | 629 | 623 | 1,751 | 593 | 152 | 981 | 473 | 623 | 250 | 1,751 | 774 | 593 | 263 |
| 142 | 1.55 | 2.80 | 1.96 | 0 | 4 | 50 | 140 | 145 | 301 | 133 | 329 | 902 | 460 | 145 | 540 | 301 | 712 | 133 | 256 |
| 143 | 0.89 | 2.56 | 1.96 | 0 | 4 | 206 | 528 | 596 | 1,239 | 548 | 64 | 501 | 251 | 596 | 105 | 1,239 | 395 | 548 | 140 |
| 144 | 0.90 | 3.37 | 1.83 | 0 | 4 | 202 | 680 | 636 | 1,786 | 605 | 64 | 498 | 250 | 636 | 105 | 1,786 | 393 | 605 | 139 |
| 145 | 3.31 | 2.64 | 1.99 | 0 | 3 | 362 | 954 | 1,047 | 2,177 | 963 | 838 | 2,979 | 1,512 | 1,047 | 1,376 | 2,177 | 2,350 | 963 | 842 |
| 146 | 1.10 | 2.73 | 1.83 | 0 | 3 | 270 | 737 | 781 | 1,624 | 718 | 149 | 588 | 313 | 781 | 245 | 1,624 | 464 | 718 | 174 |
| 147 | 3.07 | 2.83 | 2.36 | 0 | 4 | 112 | 317 | 324 | 673 | 298 | - | 101 | 56 | 324 | - | 673 | 80 | 298 | 31 |
| 148 | 1.81 | 3.16 | 1.82 | 0 | 4 | 400 | 1,264 | 1,259 | 3,537 | 1,199 | 42 | 468 | 258 | 1,259 | 69 | 3,537 | 369 | 1,199 | 144 |
| 149 | 2.06 | 2.54 | 1.82 | 0 | 4 | 298 | 755 | 862 | 1,792 | 793 | 109 | 475 | 262 | 862 | 179 | 1,792 | 375 | 793 | 146 |
| 150 | 1.54 | 2.24 | 1.82 | 0 | 3 | 236 | 529 | 682 | 1,419 | 628 | 1,006 | 4,843 | 2,292 | 682 | 1,652 | 1,419 | 3,821 | 628 | 1,276 |
| 151 | 2.01 | 2.72 | 1.82 | 0 | 4 | 376 | 1,021 | 1,087 | 2,261 | 1,000 | 1,025 | 1,635 | 957 | 1,087 | 1,684 | 2,261 | 1,290 | 1,000 | 533 |
| 152 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 1.82 | 0 | 3 | - | - |  |  |  | 947 | 3,145 | 1,522 |  | 1,556 |  | 2,481 |  | 847 |
| 153 | 0.17 | 0.00 | 1.82 | 1 | 3 | - | - |  |  |  | 2,429 | 14,495 | 7,164 |  | 2,429 |  | 14,495 |  | 7,164 |
| 154 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 1.82 | 0 | 3 | - | - |  |  |  | 957 | 5,940 | 2,706 |  | 1,572 |  | 4,686 |  | 1,506 |
| 155 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 1.82 | - | 3 | - | - |  |  |  | 479 | 2,970 | 1,353 |  | 787 |  | 2,343 |  | 753 |
| 156 | 1.01 | 3.00 | 1.82 | , | 3 | 4 | 12 | 12 | 24 | 11 | 1,088 | 4,611 | 2,174 | 12 | 1,787 | 24 | 3,638 | 11 | 1,210 |
| 157 | 0.78 | 3.50 | 1.82 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 7 | 6 | 18 | 6 | 1,061 | 3,716 | 1,812 | 6 | 1,061 | 18 | 3,716 | 6 | 1,812 |
| 158 | 2.58 | 2.28 | 1.82 | 0 | 4 | 282 | 643 | 815 | 1,696 | 750 | 1,054 | 2,275 | 1,248 | 815 | 1,731 | 1,696 | 1,795 | 750 | 695 |
| 159 | 4.87 | 2.59 | 1.82 | 0 | , | 200 | 518 | 578 | 1,203 | 532 | 854 | 2,711 | 1,362 | 578 | 1,403 | 1,203 | 2,139 | 532 | 758 |
| 160 | 0.44 | 2.93 | 1.82 | 0 | 3 | 345 | 1,010 | 997 | 2,075 | 918 | 196 | 1,445 | 694 | 997 | 322 | 2,075 | 1,140 | 918 | 386 |
| 161 | 1.33 | 0.00 | 1.82 | , | 3 | - | - |  |  |  | 294 | 820 | 408 |  | 483 |  | 647 |  | 227 |
| 162 | 0.61 | 0.00 | 1.82 | 0 | 3 | - | - |  |  |  | 1,573 | 2,906 | 1,584 |  | 2,584 |  | 2,293 |  | 882 |
| 163 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 1.82 | 0 | 3 | - | - |  |  |  | 2,336 | 7,182 | 3,629 |  | 3,837 |  | 5,666 |  | 2,020 |
| 164 | 0.77 | 2.89 | 1.82 | 0 | 3 | 290 | 839 | 838 | 1,744 | 771 | 1,453 | 3,469 | 1,878 | 838 | 2,387 | 1,744 | 2,737 | 771 | 1,045 |
| 165 | 0.37 | 3.39 | 1.82 | 0 | 3 | 293 | 995 | 922 | 2,591 | 878 | 811 | 4,827 | 2,253 | 922 | 1,332 | 2,591 | 3,808 | 878 | 1,254 |
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | PRODUCTIONS |  |  | ATTRACTIONS |  |  | BALANCED PRODUCTIONS \& ATTRACTIONS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TAZ | AREA | AVE HH SIZE | AVG AUTO OWN | SPGEN | ATYPE | 2025 HH | 2025 POP | HBW_P | HBO_P | NHB_P | HBW_A | HBO_A | NHB_A | HBW_P | HBW_A | HBO_P | HBO_A | NHB_P | NHB_A |
| 166 | 4.06 | 3.13 | 2.25 | 0 | 4 | 148 | 463 | 466 | 1,309 | 444 | 64 | 449 | 222 | 466 | 105 | 1,309 | 354 | 444 | 124 |
| 167 | 6.34 | 2.53 | 2.25 | 0 | 4 | 174 | 441 | 503 | 1,046 | 463 | 41 | 329 | 170 | 503 | 67 | 1,046 | 260 | 463 | 95 |
| 168 | 2.65 | 2.61 | 2.23 | 0 | 4 | 96 | 251 | 278 | 577 | 255 | - | 87 | 48 | 278 |  | 577 | 69 | 255 | 27 |
| 169 | 2.98 | 2.38 | 1.98 | 0 | 4 | 95 | 226 | 275 | 571 | 253 | 36 | 310 | 150 | 275 | 59 | 571 | 245 | 253 | 83 |
| 170 | 0.38 | 0.00 | 1.98 | 0 | 4 | - | - |  |  |  | - | - | - |  |  |  | - |  |  |
| 171 | 1.00 | 1.83 | 1.98 | 0 | 4 | 38 | 70 | 85 | 177 | 78 | - | 34 | 19 | 85 | - | 177 | 27 | 78 | 11 |
| 172 | 10.40 | 2.88 | 2.19 | 0 | 4 | 975 | 2,806 | 2,819 | 5,863 | 2,593 | 270 | 2,398 | 1,189 | 2,819 | 443 | 5,863 | 1,892 | 2,593 | 662 |
| 173 | 6.39 | 3.09 | 2.34 | 0 | 4 | 788 | 2,433 | 2,480 | 6,967 | 2,362 | 210 | 1,861 | 928 | 2,480 | 345 | 6,967 | 1,468 | 2,362 | 517 |
| 174 | 0.68 | 3.00 | 2.19 | 0 | 3 | 217 | 651 | 627 | 1,305 | 577 |  | 195 | 108 | 627 |  | 1,305 | 154 | 577 | 60 |
| 175 | 0.43 | 2.50 | 2.19 | 0 | 3 | 464 | 1,161 | 1,341 | 2,790 | 1,234 | 104 | 967 | 599 | 1,341 | 171 | 2,790 | 763 | 1,234 | 333 |
| 176 | 0.41 | 2.55 | 2.30 | 0 | 3 | 172 | 438 | 497 | 1,034 | 457 | - | 155 | 86 | 497 |  | 1,034 | 122 | 457 | 48 |
| 177 | 0.55 | 2.77 | 1.95 | 0 | 3 | 334 | 924 | 966 | 2,008 | 888 | 254 | 546 | 323 | 966 | 417 | 2,008 | 431 | 888 | 180 |
| 178 | 0.19 | 2.70 | 2.12 | 0 | 3 | 386 | 1,042 | 1,116 | 2,321 | 1,027 | 50 | 530 | 289 | 1,116 | 82 | 2,321 | 418 | 1,027 | 161 |
| 179 | 0.26 | 2.62 | 1.83 | 0 | 3 | 307 | 804 | 888 | 1,846 | 817 | 49 | 622 | 318 | 888 | 80 | 1,846 | 491 | 817 | 177 |
| 180 | 0.38 | 1.69 | 1.83 | 0 | 2 | 244 | 412 | 547 | 1,138 | 503 | 733 | 2,285 | 1,205 | 547 | 1,204 | 1,138 | 1,803 | 503 | 671 |
| 181 | 0.37 | 2.57 | 2.19 | 0 | 3 | 135 | 347 | 390 | 812 | 359 | - | 121 | 67 | 390 |  | 812 | 95 | 359 | 37 |
| 182 | 0.48 | 2.70 | 2.30 | , | 3 | 204 | 551 | 590 | 1,227 | 543 | 347 | 303 | 222 | 590 | 570 | 1,227 | 239 | 543 | 124 |
| 183 | 0.73 | 2.69 | 2.30 | 0 | 3 | 365 | 981 | 1,055 | 2,195 | 971 | 144 | 1,220 | 589 | 1,055 | 237 | 2,195 | 962 | 971 | 328 |
| 184 | 0.11 | 3.13 | 1.95 | 0 | 3 | 207 | 648 | 651 | 1,830 | 620 | - | 186 | 103 | 651 | - | 1,830 | 147 | 620 | 57 |
| 185 | 0.99 | 2.08 | 2.14 | 0 | 3 | 598 | 1,246 | 1,729 | 3,596 | 1,591 | 48 | 670 | 369 | 1,729 | 79 | 3,596 | 529 | 1,591 | 205 |
| 186 | 4.20 | 2.86 | 1.62 | 0 | 4 | 178 | 508 | 515 | 1,070 | 473 | 133 | 912 | 1,469 | 515 | 218 | 1,070 | 719 | 473 | 818 |
| 187 | 7.69 | 2.35 | 1.62 | 0 | 4 | 126 | 296 | 364 | 758 | 335 | 12 | 185 | 96 | 364 | 20 | 758 | 146 | 335 | 53 |
| 188 | 13.15 | 2.44 | 2.76 | 0 | 4 | 176 | 429 | 509 | 1,058 | 468 | 123 | 507 | 480 | 509 | 202 | 1,058 | 400 | 468 | 267 |
| 189 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 1.57 | 0 | 2 | - | - |  |  |  | 652 | 2,640 | 1,264 |  | 1,071 |  | 2,083 |  | 704 |
| 190 | 0.08 | 1.50 | 1.90 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 9 | 4 | 747 | 2,030 | 1,053 | 4 | 747 | 9 | 2,030 | 4 | 1,053 |
| 191 | 0.13 | 1.00 | 1.57 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 11 | 6 | 445 | 2,317 | 1,078 | 5 | 731 | 11 | 1,828 | 6 | 600 |
| 192 | 0.23 | 2.33 | 1.90 | 0 | 3 | 24 | 56 | 69 | 144 | 64 | 548 | 737 | 440 | 69 | 900 | 144 | 581 | 64 | 245 |
| 193 | 0.12 | 2.00 | 1.90 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 9 | 4 | 670 | 1,908 | 999 | 4 | 1,101 | 9 | 1,505 | 4 | 556 |
| 194 | 0.17 | 1.80 | 1.92 | 0 | 2 | 212 | 382 | 475 | 989 | 437 | 1,180 | 1,431 | 887 | 475 | 1,938 | 989 | 1,129 | 437 | 494 |
| 195 | 2.18 | 3.10 | 1.92 | 0 | 3 | 87 | 270 | 274 | 769 | 261 | 2,446 | 3,440 | 2,001 | 274 | 4,018 | 769 | 2,714 | 261 | 1,114 |
| 196 | 0.29 | 2.73 | 1.92 | 0 | 3 | 48 | 131 | 139 | 289 | 128 | 48 | 340 | 159 | 139 | 79 | 289 | 268 | 128 | 89 |
| 197 | 0.17 | 2.65 | 1.92 | 0 | 3 | 28 | 74 | 81 | 168 | 74 | - | 25 | 14 | 81 | - | 168 | 20 | 74 | 8 |
| 198 | 0.52 | 2.60 | 1.92 | 0 | 3 | 283 | 736 | 818 | 1,702 | 753 | 41 | 426 | 224 | 818 | 67 | 1,702 | 336 | 753 | 125 |
| 199 | 2.29 | 2.15 | 1.92 | 1 | 4 | 237 | 510 | 685 | 1,425 | 630 | 268 | 1,761 | 877 | 685 | 268 | 1,425 | 1,761 | 630 | 877 |
| 200 | 5.07 | 3.00 | 1.92 | 0 | 4 | 17 | 51 | 49 | 102 | 45 | 434 | 999 | 532 | 49 | 713 | 102 | 788 | 45 | 296 |
| 201 | 1.86 | 2.55 | 2.41 | 0 | 4 | 216 | 552 | 624 | 1,299 | 575 | - | 194 | 108 | 624 |  | 1,299 | 153 | 575 | 60 |
| 202 | 10.82 | 2.62 | 2.36 | 0 | 4 | 72 | 188 | 208 | 433 | 192 | - | 65 | 36 | 208 | - | 433 | 51 | 192 | 20 |
| 203 | 20.25 | 2.59 | 2.36 | 0 | 4 | 94 | 244 | 272 | 565 | 250 | 57 | 104 | 66 | 272 | 94 | 565 | 82 | 250 | 37 |
| 204 | 6.96 | 2.57 | 2.36 | 0 | 4 | 50 | 128 | 145 | 301 | 133 | 12 | 117 | 58 | 145 | 20 | 301 | 92 | 133 | 32 |
| 205 | 4.13 | 3.15 | 1.99 | 0 | 4 | 277 | 871 | 872 | 2,449 | 830 | 25 | 402 | 208 | 872 | 41 | 2,449 | 317 | 830 | 116 |
| 206 | 14.78 | 2.82 | 2.36 | 0 | 4 | 100 | 282 | 289 | 601 | 266 | - | 90 | 50 | 289 | - | 601 | 71 | 266 | 28 |
| 207 | 0.73 | 2.62 | 1.99 | 0 | 3 | 291 | 763 | 841 | 1,750 | 774 | 45 | 278 | 161 | 841 | 74 | 1,750 | 219 | 774 | 90 |
| 208 | 1.97 | 2.10 | 2.41 | 0 | 4 | 116 | 244 | 335 | 698 | 309 | 41 | 118 | 72 | 335 | 67 | 698 | 93 | 309 | 40 |
| 209 | 2.89 | 4.60 | 2.36 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 23 | 17 | 56 | 17 | 932 | 5,036 | 2,535 | 17 | 932 | 56 | 5,036 | 17 | 2,535 |
| 210 | 15.55 | 2.68 | 2.36 | 0 | 4 | 94 | 252 | 272 | 565 | 250 | - | 84 | 47 | 272 | - | 565 | 66 | 250 | 26 |
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|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | PRODUCTIONS |  |  | ATTRACTIONS |  |  | BALANCED PRODUCTIONS \& ATTRACTIONS |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TAZ | AREA | AVE HH SIZE | AVG AUTO OWN | SPGEN | ATYPE | 2025 HH | 2025 POP | HBW_P | HBO_P | NHB_P | HBW_A | HBO_A | NHB_A | HBW_P | HBW_A | HBO_P | HBO_A | NHB_P | NHB_A |
| 211 | 0.10 |  |  | 1 | 5 |  |  | 16,544 | 12,831 | 7,050 | 4,136 | 8,554 | 7,050 | 16,544 | 4,136 | 12,831 | 8,554 | 7,050 | 7,050 |
| 212 | 0.15 |  |  | 1 | 5 |  |  | 1,764 | 1,564 | 859 | 757 | 1,044 | 860 | 1,764 | 757 | 1,564 | 1,044 | 859 | 860 |
| 213 | 0.15 |  |  | 1 | 5 |  |  | 739 | 655 | 360 | 317 | 437 | 360 | 739 | 317 | 655 | 437 | 360 | 360 |
| 214 | 0.16 |  |  | 1 | 5 |  |  | 385 | 342 | 187 | 165 | 228 | 188 | 385 | 165 | 342 | 228 | 187 | 188 |
| 215 | 0.17 |  |  | 1 | 5 |  |  | 616 | 546 | 300 | 264 | 364 | 300 | 616 | 264 | 546 | 364 | 300 | 300 |
| 216 | 0.16 |  |  | 1 | 5 |  |  | 477 | 424 | 233 | 205 | 282 | 233 | 477 | 205 | 424 | 282 | 233 | 233 |
| 217 | 0.14 |  |  | 1 | 5 |  |  | 123 | 109 | 60 | 53 | 73 | 60 | 123 | 53 | 109 | 73 | 60 | 60 |
| 218 | 0.29 |  |  | 1 | 5 |  |  | 6,080 | 5,389 | 2,962 | 2,606 | 3,593 | 2,960 | 6,080 | 2,606 | 5,389 | 3,593 | 2,962 | 2,960 |
| 219 | 0.49 |  |  | 1 | 5 |  |  | 2,858 | 2,534 | 1,392 | 1,225 | 1,689 | 1,392 | 2,858 | 1,225 | 2,534 | 1,689 | 1,392 | 1,392 |
| 220 | 0.24 |  |  | 1 | 5 |  |  | 1,817 | 1,611 | 886 | 779 | 1,074 | 884 | 1,817 | 779 | 1,611 | 1,074 | 886 | 884 |
| 221 | 0.26 |  |  | 1 | 5 |  |  | 370 | 328 | 180 | 158 | 218 | 180 | 370 | 158 | 328 | 218 | 180 | 180 |
| 222 | 0.21 |  |  | 1 | 5 |  |  | 4,734 | 4,196 | 2,305 | 2,028 | 2,798 | 2,306 | 4,734 | 2,028 | 4,196 | 2,798 | 2,305 | 2,306 |
| 223 | 0.30 |  |  | 1 | 5 |  |  | 524 | 464 | 256 | 224 | 309 | 254 | 524 | 224 | 464 | 309 | 256 | 254 |
| 224 | 0.29 |  |  | 1 | 5 |  |  | 1,478 | 1,310 | 720 | 634 | 874 | 720 | 1,478 | 634 | 1,310 | 874 | 720 | 720 |
| 225 | 0.28 |  |  | 1 | 5 |  |  | 1,932 | 1,712 | 941 | 827 | 1,141 | 941 | 1,932 | 827 | 1,712 | 1,141 | 941 | 941 |
| 226 | 0.03 |  |  | 1 | 5 |  |  | 4,386 | 3,402 | 1,870 | 1,097 | 2,267 | 1,868 | 4,386 | 1,097 | 3,402 | 2,267 | 1,870 | 1,868 |
| TOTALS: |  |  |  |  |  | 39,339 | 101,998 | 158,401 | 283,719 | 125,502 | 110,547 | 335,286 | 182,425 | 158,401 | 158,401 | 283,719 | 283,719 | 125,502 | 125,502 |

## APPENDIX D

## Screen Line Adjustment Procedure Example Spreadsheet \& <br> Year 2025 Traffic Projections

## Example Screen Line Adjustment Spreadsheet (NCHRP \#255 Procedure)

## *--User Specified Input

Ab--Base Year Assignment
Af--Future Year Assignment
RAf--Adjusted Future Year Assignment
Cb--Base Year Capacity (at LOS D)
FAf--Final Refined Future Year Traffic Forecas
TFAf/TCf--Ratio of Total Screenline Refined Future Year Traffic to Total Future Year Screenline Capacity
Forecast to Total Future Year Screenline Capacity

SCREENLINE \#2
Project: Rapid City Travel Demand Model Update
Screenline Description: N-S screeniine west of Mountain View Blve

|  | Analyst: CBS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ADJ | STMENTS... |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count ID \# | LINK DESCRIPTION | COUNT* | \%TOT COUNT | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Ab}^{(2000} \\ (\text { (2aili. } 31) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Af*} \\ (2000) \\ (\text { Year 2000 }) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \%DEV | $\begin{gathered} \text { RATIO } \\ \text { ADJ } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DIFF } \\ & \text { ADJ } \end{aligned}$ | RAf | $\mathrm{Cb}^{*}$ | Cf* | \% TCf | Raf/cf | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CAP } \\ & \text { ADJ } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BASE } \\ & \text { COUNT } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FAf } \\ & \text { NEW } \end{aligned}$ | FAf | FAf/Cf | COUNT/Cb |
| 83 | N ELK Vale rd | 750 | 60\% | 732 | 1,551 | -2\% | 1,589 | 1,569 | 1,579 | 22,000 | 22,000 | 44\% | 0.07 | 430 | 2,308 | 0 | 2,700 | 0.12 | 0.03 |
| 500 | W NIKE RD | 177 | 14\% | 177 | 1,251 | 0\% | 1,254 | 1,251 | 1,253 | 11,000 | 11,000 | 22\% | 0.11 | 215 | 545 | 0 | ${ }^{2} 800$ | 0.07 | 0.02 |
| 501 | DYESS AVE | 329 | 26\% | 329 | 2,000 | 0\% | 2,001 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 16,500 | 16,500 | 33\% | 0.12 | 322 | 1,013 | 0 | 1,300 | 0.08 | 0.02 |
|  |  |  |  | - | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | -- | -- | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- | -- |
|  | 0 | - |  | - | 0 |  |  |  |  | - | 0 | -- | -- | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- | -- |
|  | 0 | - |  | - | 0 |  |  |  |  | - | 0 | -- | -- | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- | -- |
|  | 0 | - |  | - | 0 |  |  |  |  | - | 0 | -- | -- | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- | -- |
|  |  | - |  | - | 0 |  |  |  |  | - | 0 | -- | -- | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- | -- |
|  | 0 | $:$ |  | $:$ | 0 |  |  |  |  | $:$ | 0 | -- | -- | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -- | -- |
|  | ${ }_{0}$ |  |  | - | ${ }_{0}$ |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ |  | -- | ${ }_{0}$ | 0 | ${ }_{0}^{0}$ | 0 | -- | -- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {FCAP* }}$ | 0.20 |  |  |  |  |
|  | totals: | 1,256 |  | 1,238 | 4,802 | -1\% |  |  | 4,832 | 49,500 | 49,500 |  | 0.10 | FCOUNT* | 0.80 | 0 | 4,800 | 0.10 | 0.03 |
|  |  | TCOUNT |  | TAb | TAf | Tot. Assign. Error vs. Ct. |  |  | TRAf | TCb | TCf |  | TRAITCf |  |  | TFAf NEW | TFAf | TFAf/TCf |  |

# YEAR 2025 TRAFFIC PROJECTIONS - SCREEN LINE PROCEDURE RESULTS, Page 1 of 3 

| Count_ID Screenline | Street Name | Link Type Facility Type | Projected AADT | 00 AADT | Growth (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 SCREENLINE \#31 | 5 ST | 2085 -lane Urban Principal Arterial, 2-way | 23,500 | 18333 | 28\% |
| 3 SCREENLINE \#46 | 5 ST | 2085 -lane Urban Principal Arterial, 2-way | 18,100 | 16666 | 9\% |
| 8 SCREENLINE \#4 | N 5 ST | 2085 -lane Urban Principal Arterial, 2 -way | 25,600 | 20833 | 23\% |
| 12 SCREENLINE \#32 | 5 ST | 2205 -lane CBD Principal Arterial, 2-way | 24,400 | 25000 | -2\% |
| 13 SCREENLINE \#45 | 5 ST | 2085 -lane Urban Principal Arterial, 2-way | 22,600 | 20000 | 13\% |
| 14 SCREENLINE \#36 | 5 ST | 213 5-lane Suburban Principal Arterial, 2-wa | 6,600 | 4083 | 62\% |
| 15 SCREENLINE \#4 | N 8 ST | 404 4-lane Urban Collector, 2-way | 12,300 | 7500 | 64\% |
| 21 SCREENLINE \#29 | ANAMOSA ST | 300 2-lane Urban Minor Arterial, 2-way | 8,900 | 4166 | 114\% |
| 22 SCREENLINE \#33 | ANAMOSA ST | 302 4-lane Urban Minor Arterial, 2-way | 13,900 | 9166 | 52\% |
| 22 SCREENLINE \#41 | ANAMOSA ST | 302 4-lane Urban Minor Arterial, 2-way | 18,500 | 9166 | 102\% |
| 24 SCREENLINE \#24 | CAMBELL St | 2085 -lane Urban Principal Arterial, 2-way | 24,000 | 25833 | -7\% |
| 26 SCREENLINE \#25 | S HIGHWAY 79 | 213 5-lane Suburban Principal Arterial, 2-wa | 17,900 | 25000 | -28\% |
| 26 SCREENLINE \#36 | S HIGHWAY 79 | 213 5-lane Suburban Principal Arterial, 2-wa | 24,500 | 25000 | -2\% |
| 27 SCREENLINE \#46 | CAMBELL St | 209 6-lane Urban Principal Arterial, 2-way | 23,700 | 20833 | 14\% |
| 28 SCREENLINE \#22 | E ST JOSEPH ST | 2085 -lane Urban Principal Arterial, 2-way | 17,100 | 15000 | 14\% |
| 28 SCREENLINE \#24 | E ST JOSEPH ST | 2085 -lane Urban Principal Arterial, 2-way | 16,300 | 15000 | 9\% |
| 28 SCREENLINE \#40 | E ST JOSEPH ST | 208 5-lane Urban Principal Arterial, 2-way | 22,200 | 15000 | 48\% |
| 29 SCREENLINE \#20 | S HIGHWAY 79 | 215 2-lane Rural Principal Arterial, 1-way | 8,100 | 9250 | -12\% |
| 30 SCREENLINE \#20 | S HIGHWAY 79 | 211 3-lane Suburban Principal Arterial, 1-wa | 10,600 | 11250 | -6\% |
| 32 SCREENLINE \#39 | CANYON LAKE DR | 305 4-lane Suburban Minor Arterial, 2-way | 7,400 | 6166 | 20\% |
| 34 SCREENLINE \#28 | CANYON LAKE DR | 300 2-Iane Urban Minor Arterial, 2-way | 10,000 | 9166 | 9\% |
| 36 SCREENLINE \#16 | CANYON LAKE DR | 300 2-Iane Urban Minor Arterial, 2-way | 10,500 | 10833 | -3\% |
| 38 SCREENLINE \#7 | CATHEDRAL DR | 304 3-lane Suburban Minor Arterial, 2-way | 9,700 | 11666 | -17\% |
| 47 SCREENLINE \#46 | CREEK DR | 303 2-lane Suburban Minor Arterial, 2-way | 4,400 | 3333 | 32\% |
| 48 SCREENLINE \#40 | CREEK DR | 303 2-lane Suburban Minor Arterial, 2-way | 4,500 | 1250 | 260\% |
| 55 SCREENLINE \#35 | DEADWOOD AVE | 213 5-lane Suburban Principal Arterial, 2-wa | 34,200 | 12500 | 174\% |
| 56 SCREENLINE \#41 | DISK DR | 408 4-lane Suburban Collector, 2-way | 14,000 | 8333 | 68\% |
| 58 SCREENLINE \#22 | E ANAMOSA ST | 302 4-lane Urban Minor Arterial, 2-way | 10,500 | 7000 | 50\% |
| 59 SCREENLINE \#42 | E DISK DR | 408 4-lane Suburban Collector, 2-way | 9,400 | 7500 | 25\% |
| 60 SCREENLINE \#19 | E HIGHWAY 44 | 229 4-lane Rural Principal Arterial, 2-way | 24,700 | 15000 | 65\% |
| 60 SCREENLINE \#20 | E HIGHWAY 44 | 229 4-lane Rural Principal Arterial, 2-way | 14,300 | 15000 | -5\% |
| 61 SCREENLINE \#11 | E HIGHWAY 44 | 229 4-lane Rural Principal Arterial, 2-way | 14,900 | 5000 | 198\% |
| 62 SCREENLINE \#25 | E HIGHWAY 44 | 213 5-lane Suburban Principal Arterial, 2-wa | 13,900 | 18333 | -24\% |
| 62 SCREENLINE \#40 | E HIGHWAY 44 | 213 5-lane Suburban Principal Arterial, 2-wa | 27,600 | 18333 | 51\% |
| 63 SCREENLINE \#24 | E HIGHWAY 44 | 213 5-lane Suburban Principal Arterial, 2-wa | 22,600 | 21666 | 4\% |
| 64 SCREENLINE \#5 | E MAIN ST | 206 3-lane Urban Principal Arterial, 1-way | 9,400 | 6250 | 50\% |
| 66 SCREENLINE \#22 | E NORTH ST | 2085 -lane Urban Principal Arterial, 2-way | 24,600 | 25000 | -2\% |
| 70 SCREENLINE \#26 | E ST JOSEPH ST | 206 3-lane Urban Principal Arterial, 1-way | 10,100 | 9166 | 10\% |
| 71 SCREENLINE \#5 | E ST JOSEPH ST | 206 3-lane Urban Principal Arterial, 1-way | 10,600 | 7500 | 41\% |
| 74 SCREENLINE \#9 | E ST PATRICK ST | 2085 -lane Urban Principal Arterial, 2-way | 20,100 | 10833 | 86\% |
| 77 SCREENLINE \#25 | E ST PATRICK ST | 213 5-lane Suburban Principal Arterial, 2-wa | 13,400 | 17500 | -23\% |
| 83 SCREENLINE \#2 | N ELK Vale rd | 227 2-lane Rural Principal Arterial, 2-way | 2,700 | 750 | 260\% |
| 84 SCREENLINE \#18 | N ELK VALE RD | 225 3-lane Suburban Principal Arterial, 2-wa | 24,200 | 9166 | 164\% |
| 87 SCREENLINE \#21 | ELM AVE | 303 2-lane Suburban Minor Arterial, 2-way | 3,500 | 2750 | 27\% |
| 87 SCREENLINE \#36 | ELM AVE | 303 2-lane Suburban Minor Arterial, 2-way | 3,300 | 2750 | 20\% |
| 90 SCREENLINE \#45 | ELM AVE | 300 2-lane Urban Minor Arterial, 2-way | 6,800 | 5416 | 26\% |
| 91 SCREENLINE \#9 | E FAIRMONT BLVD | 301 3-lane Urban Minor Arterial, 2-way | 14,500 | 9166 | 58\% |
| 93 SCREENLINE \#38 | FAIRMONT BLVD | 300 2-lane Urban Minor Arterial, 2-way | 7,200 | 8333 | -14\% |
| 94 SCREENLINE \#37 | FAIRMONT BLVD | 301 3-lane Urban Minor Arterial, 2-way | 7,200 | 8333 | -14\% |
| 95 SCREENLINE \#7 | FLORMANN ST | 402 2-lane Urban Collector, 2-way | 2,700 | 1833 | 47\% |
| 99 SCREENLINE \#17 | HAINES AVE | 2085 -lane Urban Principal Arterial, 2-way | 24,300 | 20833 | 17\% |
| 100 SCREENLINE \#43 | HAINES AVE | 226 4-lane Suburban Principal Arterial, 2-wa | 29,200 | 20000 | 46\% |
| 106 SCREENLINE \#17 | -190 | 101 2-lane Urban Interstate | 11,900 | 8500 | 40\% |
| 107 SCREENLINE \#17 | I-190 | 101 2-lane Urban Interstate | 10,500 | 7083 | 48\% |
| 108 SCREENLINE \#4 | I-190 | 101 2-lane Urban Interstate | 13,400 | 8583 | 56\% |
| 109 SCREENLINE \#4 | I-190 | 101 2-lane Urban Interstate | 12,100 | 7250 | 67\% |
| 110 SCREENLINE \#1 | 1-90 | 104 2-lane Rural Interstate | 30,600 | 11666 | 162\% |
| 111 SCREENLINE \#1 | 1-90 | 104 2-lane Rural Interstate | 29,600 | 10833 | 173\% |
| 112 SCREENLINE \#11 | 1-90 | 103 2-lane Suburban Interstate | 20,600 | 11166 | 84\% |
| 113 SCREENLINE \#11 | 1-90 | 103 2-lane Suburban Interstate | 22,400 | 12416 | 80\% |
| 114 SCREENLINE \#29 | 1-90 | 103 2-lane Suburban Interstate | 26,500 | 15333 | 73\% |
| 115 SCREENLINE \#29 | 1-90 | 103 2-lane Suburban Interstate | 28,700 | 17333 | 66\% |
| 116 SCREENLINE \#35 | 1-90 | 103 2-lane Suburban Interstate | 17,700 | 14499 | 22\% |
| 117 SCREENLINE \#35 | 1-90 | 103 2-lane Suburban Interstate | 15,300 | 12583 | 22\% |
| 118 SCREENLINE \#41 | 1-90 | 101 2-lane Urban Interstate | 22,500 | 13333 | 69\% |
| 119 SCREENLINE \#41 | 1-90 | 101 2-lane Urban Interstate | 24,500 | 14999 | 63\% |
| 120 SCREENLINE \#10 | 1-90 | 103 2-lane Suburban Interstate | 17,400 | 11583 | 50\% |
| 121 SCREENLINE \#10 | 1-90 | 103 2-lane Suburban Interstate | 21,300 | 15916 | 34\% |
| 122 SCREENLINE \#23 | 1-90 | 103 2-lane Suburban Interstate | 18,300 | 10916 | 68\% |
| 123 SCREENLINE \#23 | 1-90 | 103 2-lane Suburban Interstate | 19,900 | 12750 | 56\% |
| 124 SCREENLINE \#39 | JACKSON BLVD | 226 4-lane Suburban Principal Arterial, 2-wa | 17,500 | 15833 | 11\% |
| 127 SCREENLINE \#28 | JACKSON BLVD | 209 6-lane Urban Principal Arterial, 2-way | 33,500 | 27500 | 22\% |
| 130 SCREENLINE \#16 | JACKSON BLVD | 2085 -lane Urban Principal Arterial, 2-way | 20,800 | 20000 | 4\% |
| 131 SCREENLINE \#3 | JACKSON BLVD | 209 6-lane Urban Principal Arterial, 2-way | 28,000 | 20000 | 40\% |
| 134 SCREENLINE \#44 | N LACROSSE ST | 208 5-lane Urban Principal Arterial, 2-way | 26,900 | 25833 | 4\% |

# YEAR 2025 TRAFFIC PROJECTIONS - SCREEN LINE PROCEDURE RESULTS, Page 2 of 3 

| Count_ID Screenline | Street Name | Link Type Facility Type | Projected AADT | 00 AADT | Growth (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 137 SCREENLINE \#17 | N LACROSSE ST | 209 6-lane Urban Principal Arterial, 2-way | 24,300 | 18333 | 33\% |
| 138 SCREENLINE \#43 | N LACROSSE ST | 214 6-lane Suburban Principal Arterial, 2-wa | 26,300 | 15000 | 75\% |
| 141 SCREENLINE \#8 | MAIN ST | 201 3-lane CBD Principal Arterial, 1-way | 13,900 | 11666 | 19\% |
| 142 SCREENLINE \#6 | MAIN ST | 201 3-lane CBD Principal Arterial, 1-way | 15,000 | 14166 | 6\% |
| 143 SCREENLINE \#33 | MAIN ST | 201 3-lane CBD Principal Arterial, 1-way | 13,200 | 10833 | 22\% |
| 144 SCREENLINE \#27 | MAIN ST | 201 3-lane CBD Principal Arterial, 1-way | 20,300 | 18333 | 11\% |
| 148 SCREENLINE \#42 | E MALL DR | 224 2-lane Suburban Principal Arterial, 2-wa | 3,200 | 1833 | 75\% |
| 149 SCREENLINE \#41 | MALL DR | 224 2-lane Suburban Principal Arterial, 2-wa | 8,100 | 2250 | 260\% |
| 151 SCREENLINE \#44 | N MAPLE AVE | 402 2-lane Urban Collector, 2-way | 5,800 | 4000 | 45\% |
| 155 SCREENLINE \#10 | E ST PATRICK ST | 213 5-lane Suburban Principal Arterial, 2-wa | 28,800 | 20000 | 44\% |
| 161 SCREENLINE \#21 | S HIGHWAY 16 | 210 2-lane Suburban Principal Arterial, 1-wa | 9,000 | 7833 | 15\% |
| 162 SCREENLINE \#21 | S HIGHWAY 16 | 210 2-lane Suburban Principal Arterial, 1-wa | 9,400 | 8250 | 14\% |
| 163 SCREENLINE \#31 | MT RUSHMORE RD | 2085 -lane Urban Principal Arterial, 2-way | 32,600 | 31666 | 3\% |
| 164 SCREENLINE \#46 | MT RUSHMORE RD | 213 5-lane Suburban Principal Arterial, 2-wa | 24,800 | 23333 | 6\% |
| 167 SCREENLINE \#15 | S HIGHWAY 16 | 210 2-lane Suburban Principal Arterial, 1-wa | 10,100 | 7916 | 28\% |
| 167 SCREENLINE \#20 | S HIGHWAY 16 | 210 2-lane Suburban Principal Arterial, 1-wa | 7,400 | 7916 | -7\% |
| 167 SCREENLINE \#36 | S HIGHWAY 16 | 210 2-lane Suburban Principal Arterial, 1-wa | 8,300 | 7916 | 5\% |
| 168 SCREENLINE \#15 | S HIGHWAY 16 | 210 2-lane Suburban Principal Arterial, 1-wa | 9,600 | 7416 | 29\% |
| 168 SCREENLINE \#20 | S HIGHWAY 16 | 210 2-lane Suburban Principal Arterial, 1-wa | 7,000 | 7416 | -6\% |
| 168 SCREENLINE \#36 | S HIGHWAY 16 | 210 2-lane Suburban Principal Arterial, 1-wa | 7,900 | 7416 | 7\% |
| 169 SCREENLINE \#40 | MT RUSHMORE RD | 2085 -lane Urban Principal Arterial, 2-way | 33,000 | 27500 | 20\% |
| 170 SCREENLINE \#32 | MT RUSHMORE RD | 204 6-lane CBD Principal Arterial, 2-way | 28,900 | 25000 | 16\% |
| 171 SCREENLINE \#45 | MT RUSHMORE RD | 2085 -lane Urban Principal Arterial, 2-way | 25,400 | 23333 | 9\% |
| 172 SCREENLINE \#14 | S HIGHWAY 16 | 215 2-lane Rural Principal Arterial, 1-way | 12,900 | 8500 | 52\% |
| 172 SCREENLINE \#19 | S HIGHWAY 16 | 215 2-lane Rural Principal Arterial, 1-way | 12,500 | 8500 | 47\% |
| 173 SCREENLINE \#14 | S HIGHWAY 16 | 215 2-lane Rural Principal Arterial, 1-way | 12,900 | 8500 | 52\% |
| 173 SCREENLINE \#19 | S HIGHWAY 16 | 215 2-lane Rural Principal Arterial, 1-way | 12,500 | 8500 | 47\% |
| 174 SCREENLINE \#17 | N MAPLE AVE | 403 3-lane Urban Collector, 2-way | 8,300 | 6916 | 20\% |
| 176 SCREENLINE \#1 | SOUTH CANYON RD | 227 2-lane Rural Principal Arterial, 2-way | 14,400 | 3583 | 302\% |
| 178 SCREENLINE \#29 | NORTH ST | 404 4-lane Urban Collector, 2-way | 11,900 | 2916 | 308\% |
| 179 SCREENLINE \#30 | NORTH ST | 402 2-lane Urban Collector, 2-way | 3,300 | 2583 | 28\% |
| 179 SCREENLINE \#33 | NORTH ST | 402 2-lane Urban Collector, 2-way | 4,700 | 2583 | 82\% |
| 180 SCREENLINE \#8 | OMAHA ST | 209 6-lane Urban Principal Arterial, 2-way | 32,700 | 25833 | 27\% |
| 181 SCREENLINE \#6 | OMAHA ST | 209 6-lane Urban Principal Arterial, 2-way | 34,800 | 30833 | 13\% |
| 182 SCREENLINE \#33 | OMAHA ST | 209 6-lane Urban Principal Arterial, 2-way | 33,700 | 27500 | 23\% |
| 183 SCREENLINE \#5 | E NORTH ST | 208 5-lane Urban Principal Arterial, 2-way | 27,400 | 23333 | 17\% |
| 184 SCREENLINE \#27 | OMAHA ST | 209 6-lane Urban Principal Arterial, 2-way | 40,000 | 28333 | 41\% |
| 195 SCREENLINE \#23 | E NORTH ST | 210 2-lane Suburban Principal Arterial, 1-wa | 25,000 | 20833 | 20\% |
| 195.1 SCREENLINE \#23 | E NORTH ST | 210 2-lane Suburban Principal Arterial, 1-wa | 17,100 | 11749 | 46\% |
| 196 SCREENLINE \#5 | E OMAHA ST | 208 5-lane Urban Principal Arterial, 2-way | 13,800 | 9083 | 52\% |
| 198 SCREENLINE \#18 | E NORTH ST | 210 2-lane Suburban Principal Arterial, 1-wa | 22,500 | 7999 | 181\% |
| 198.1 SCREENLINE \#18 | E NORTH ST | 210 2-lane Suburban Principal Arterial, 1-wa | 17,400 | 4499 | 287\% |
| 199 SCREENLINE \#26 | E OMAHA ST | 2085 -lane Urban Principal Arterial, 2-way | 21,200 | 21666 | -2\% |
| 200 SCREENLINE \#22 | E OMAHA ST | 2085 -lane Urban Principal Arterial, 2-way | 24,000 | 24166 | -1\% |
| 201 SCREENLINE \#14 | S HIGHWAY 79 | 215 2-lane Rural Principal Arterial, 1-way | 7,300 | 3583 | 104\% |
| 201 SCREENLINE \#19 | S HIGHWAY 79 | 215 2-lane Rural Principal Arterial, 1-way | 6,900 | 3583 | 93\% |
| 202 SCREENLINE \#14 | S HIGHWAY 79 | 215 2-lane Rural Principal Arterial, 1-way | 8,000 | 4166 | 92\% |
| 202 SCREENLINE \#19 | S HIGHWAY 79 | 215 2-Iane Rural Principal Arterial, 1-way | 7,500 | 4166 | 80\% |
| 204 SCREENLINE \#23 | SEGER DR | 307 2-lane Rural Minor Arterial, 2-way | 6,800 | 3250 | 109\% |
| 205 SCREENLINE \#42 | SEGER DR | 303 2-lane Suburban Minor Arterial, 2-way | 1,300 | 499 | 161\% |
| 208 SCREENLINE \#15 | SHERIDAN LAKE RD | 225 3-lane Suburban Principal Arterial, 2-wa | 9,900 | 7666 | 29\% |
| 210 SCREENLINE \#39 | SHERIDAN LAKE RD | 226 4-lane Suburban Principal Arterial, 2-wa | 11,300 | 9166 | 23\% |
| 211 SCREENLINE \#16 | SHERIDAN LAKE RD | 226 4-lane Suburban Principal Arterial, 2-wa | 19,400 | 16666 | 16\% |
| 222 SCREENLINE \#8 | ST JOSEPH ST | 201 3-lane CBD Principal Arterial, 1-way | 15,900 | 14166 | 12\% |
| 223 SCREENLINE \#6 | ST JOSEPH ST | 201 3-lane CBD Principal Arterial, 1-way | 16,600 | 16666 | 0\% |
| 224 SCREENLINE \#33 | ST JOSEPH ST | 201 3-lane CBD Principal Arterial, 1-way | 14,600 | 12500 | 17\% |
| 225 SCREENLINE \#27 | ST JOSEPH ST | 201 3-lane CBD Principal Arterial, 1-way | 21,400 | 20000 | 7\% |
| 228 SCREENLINE \#7 | ST PATRICK ST | 222 3-lane Urban Principal Arterial, 2-way | 6,300 | 6500 | -3\% |
| 229 SCREENLINE \#38 | E ST PATRICK ST | 223 4-lane Urban Principal Arterial, 2-way | 10,000 | 10000 | 0\% |
| 231 SCREENLINE \#37 | ST PATRICK ST | 223 4-lane Urban Principal Arterial, 2-way | 9,800 | 10000 | -2\% |
| 232 SCREENLINE \#1 | STURGIS RD | 225 3-lane Suburban Principal Arterial, 2-wa | 25,900 | 10833 | 139\% |
| 232 SCREENLINE \#35 | STURGIS RD | 225 3-lane Suburban Principal Arterial, 2-wa | 13,200 | 10833 | 22\% |
| 238 SCREENLINE \#9 | E CATRON BLVD | 226 4-lane Suburban Principal Arterial, 2-wa | 14,900 | 5916 | 152\% |
| 244 SCREENLINE \#26 | E MAIN ST | 206 3-lane Urban Principal Arterial, 1-way | 9,100 | 7916 | 15\% |
| 245 SCREENLINE \#46 | S VALLEY DR | 412 2-Iane Suburban Collector, 2-way | 3,400 | 2833 | 20\% |
| 251 SCREENLINE \#16 | W Chicago st | 210 2-lane Suburban Principal Arterial, 1-wa | 6,500 | 4416 | 47\% |
| 252 SCREENLINE \#16 | W Chicago st | 210 2-lane Suburban Principal Arterial, 1-wa | 7,900 | 6166 | 28\% |
| 253 SCREENLINE \#39 | W CHICAGO ST | 210 2-lane Suburban Principal Arterial, 1-wa | 8,000 | 7083 | 13\% |
| 254 SCREENLINE \#39 | W CHICAGO ST | 210 2-lane Suburban Principal Arterial, 1-wa | 7,700 | 6750 | 14\% |
| 257 SCREENLINE \#3 | W MAIN ST | 2085 -lane Urban Principal Arterial, 2-way | 27,700 | 25000 | 11\% |
| 258 SCREENLINE \#28 | W MAIN ST | 2085 -lane Urban Principal Arterial, 2-way | 29,900 | 29166 | 3\% |
| 259 SCREENLINE \#16 | W MAIN ST | 2085 -lane Urban Principal Arterial, 2-way | 20,800 | 20000 | 4\% |
| 262 SCREENLINE \#34 | W MAIN ST | 204 6-lane CBD Principal Arterial, 2-way | 43,100 | 44166 | -2\% |
| 263 SCREENLINE \#3 | W OMAHA ST | 204 6-lane CBD Principal Arterial, 2-way | 30,300 | 26666 | 14\% |
| 264 SCREENLINE \#34 | W OMAHA ST | 204 6-lane CBD Principal Arterial, 2-way | 38,300 | 34166 | 12\% |
| 265 SCREENLINE \#28 | W OMAHA ST | 226 4-lane Suburban Principal Arterial, 2-wa | 30,200 | 25833 | 17\% |

YEAR 2025 TRAFFIC PROJECTIONS - SCREEN LINE PROCEDURE RESULTS, Page 3 of 3

| Count_ID Screenline | Street Name | Link Type Facility Type | Projected AADT | 00 AADT | Growth (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 268 SCREENLINE \#31 | WEST BLVD | 401 1-lane Urban Collector, 1-way | 4,100 | 3000 | 37\% |
| 269 SCREENLINE \#31 | WEST BLVD | 401 1-lane Urban Collector, 1-way | 4,000 | 2833 | 41\% |
| 270 SCREENLINE \#40 | WEST BLVD | 401 1-lane Urban Collector, 1-way | 4,200 | 2916 | 44\% |
| 271 SCREENLINE \#40 | WEST BLVD | 401 1-lane Urban Collector, 1-way | 4,200 | 2916 | 44\% |
| 272 SCREENLINE \#32 | WEST BLVD | 400 2-Iane CBD Collector, 1-way | 7,600 | 7583 | 0\% |
| 273 SCREENLINE \#32 | WEST BLVD | 400 2-Iane CBD Collector, 1-way | 6,300 | 5416 | 16\% |
| 274 SCREENLINE \#45 | WEST BLVD | 401 1-lane Urban Collector, 1-way | 1,500 | 416 | 261\% |
| 275 SCREENLINE \#45 | WEST BLVD | 401 1-lane Urban Collector, 1-way | 1,700 | 666 | 155\% |
| 290 SCREENLINE \#33 | NEW YORK ST | 513 2-lane Urban Local Street, 2-way | 6,700 | 5833 | 15\% |
| 291 SCREENLINE \#45 | PRAIRIE AVE | 513 2-lane Urban Local Street, 2-way | 2,700 | 1416 | 91\% |
| 300 SCREENLINE \#44 | WEST BLVD $N$ | 402 2-lane Urban Collector, 2-way | 5,900 | 4166 | 42\% |
| 301 SCREENLINE \#9 | E minnesota st | 304 3-lane Suburban Minor Arterial, 2-way | 7,300 | 3916 | 86\% |
| 302 SCREENLINE \#21 | 5 ST | 226 4-lane Suburban Principal Arterial, 2-wa | 12,600 | 10000 | 26\% |
| 306 SCREENLINE \#46 | ELM AVE | 300 2-Iane Urban Minor Arterial, 2-way | 6,300 |  | \#DIV/0! |
| 313 SCREENLINE \#40 | 5 ST | 2085 -lane Urban Principal Arterial, 2-way | 27,900 | 21666 | 29\% |
| 314 SCREENLINE \#30 | andmosa st | 302 4-lane Urban Minor Arterial, 2-way | 10,900 | 9200 | 18\% |
| 500 SCREENLINE \#2 | W NIKE RD | 409 2-lane Rural Collector, 2-way | 800 | 177 | 352\% |
| 501 SCREENLINE \#2 | DYESS AVE | 307 2-Iane Rural Minor Arterial, 2-way | 1,300 | 329 | 295\% |
| 503 SCREENLINE \#12 | 1-90 | 104 2-lane Rural Interstate | 22,300 | 8783 | 154\% |
| 504 SCREENLINE \#12 | 1-90 | 104 2-Iane Rural Interstate | 22,300 | 8783 | 154\% |
| 505 SCREENLINE \#12 | E HIGHWAY 44 | 1 2-lane Rural Non-Standard, 2-way | 7,600 | 3233 | 135\% |
| 600 SCREENLINE \#20 | ELK VALE RD | 226 4-lane Suburban Principal Arterial, 2-wa | 14,700 | 5496 | 167\% |
| 601 SCREENLINE \#22 | EGLIN ST | 300 2-Iane Urban Minor Arterial, 2-way | 7,300 | 5496 | 33\% |
| 601 SCREENLINE \#23 | EGLIN ST | 300 2-lane Urban Minor Arterial, 2-way | 9,900 | 5496 | 80\% |
| 602 SCREENLINE \#23 | E MALL DR | 224 2-lane Suburban Principal Arterial, 2-wa | 16,900 | 5496 | 207\% |
| 604 SCREENLINE \#24 | E ANAMOSA ST | 301 3-lane Urban Minor Arterial, 2-way | 7,200 | 5496 | 31\% |
| 604 SCREENLINE \#25 | E ANAMOSA ST | 301 3-lane Urban Minor Arterial, 2-way | 4,400 | 5496 | -20\% |
| 605 SCREENLINE \#25 | CREEK DR | 303 2-lane Suburban Minor Arterial, 2-way | 4,400 | 5496 | -20\% |
| 605 SCREENLINE \#36 | CREEK DR | 303 2-lane Suburban Minor Arterial, 2-way | 4,500 | 5496 | -18\% |

Duplicated Link Output - Use caution when "joining" table to TransCAD layer.
Screenline assignment out of acceptable range ( $+/-20 \%$ ) - Use caution when using values for reporting projections.

