STAFF REPORT

June 5, 2003

No. 03SR019 - An 11-6-19 SDCL Review of public improvements in ITEM 12 a public place

GENERAL INFORMATION:

PETITIONER City of Rapid City

REQUEST No. 03SR019 - An 11-6-19 SDCL Review of public

improvements in a public place

EXISTING

LEGAL DESCRIPTION Tracts 1 thru 3 and Lots A-B of Tract 3 (also in Section 8.

T1N, R7E), Rapid City Greenway Tract, Section 9, T1N,

R7E, Rapid City, Pennington County, South Dakota

PARCEL ACREAGE Approximately 72.475 acres

LOCATION 2902 Park Drive

EXISTING ZONING Park Forest District

SURROUNDING ZONING

North: General Commercial District/Medium Density Residential

District

South: Low Density Residential District

East: Park Forest District

West: General Commercial District w/Planned Commercial

Development

PUBLIC UTILITIES City sewer and water

DATE OF APPLICATION 01/30/2003

REPORT BY Jeff Marino

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends that the review of public improvements in a public place be continued to the **June 26, 2003 Planning Commission** meeting to allow the applicant to obtain a floodplain development permit.

GENERAL COMMENTS: (Updates to the staff report are shown in bold.) This request was continued from the May 22, 2003 Planning Commission meeting. This request is being continued to allow the applicant time to obtain a Special Exception to a Floodplain Development Permit. The applicant is proposing to repair the existing bike paths and existing parking lots in Canyon Lake Park. In addition, there will be some new bike paths constructed at the north west corner of the site. The submitted site plan also shows new street lights replacing the existing street lights on the site.

STAFF REPORT

June 5, 2003

No. 03SR019 - An 11-6-19 SDCL Review of public improvements in ITEM 12 a public place

There is an existing park on the site with bike paths, pavilions, open space, and other park amenities.

South Dakota Codified Law 11-6-19 states that "...whenever any such municipal council has adopted a comprehensive plan, then no street, park or other public way, ground, place, space, no public building or structure, no public utility, whether publicly or privately owned, if covered by the comprehensive plan or any adopted part thereof, shall be constructed or authorized in the municipality or within its subdivision jurisdiction until and unless the location and extent thereof shall have been submitted to and approved by the Planning Commission". The proposed site is publicly owned property. In addition, the property is located within the area covered by the Rapid City Comprehensive Plan requiring that the proposed expansion be reviewed and approved by the Rapid City Planning Commission as a part of an 11-6-19 SDCL Review.

<u>STAFF REVIEW</u>: Staff has reviewed the proposed 11-6-19 SDCL Review and has noted the following major issues:

Floodplain Development: The submitted site plan shows the location of five street lights within the floodway. Section 15.32.250 of the City of Rapid City Municipal Code states, "B. No structural alteration, addition, or repair to any nonconforming structure over the life of the structure shall exceed fifty percent of its value at the time of its becoming a nonconforming use, unless the structure is permanently changed to a conforming use." The applicant must demonstrate that the proposed street lights are less than 50 percent of the value at the time of the street lights becoming a nonconforming use or obtain an exception to the Floodplain Regulations. In addition, the applicant must obtain a floodplain permit prior to any construction at the site. In order to obtain a floodplain permit, the applicant must demonstrate to city staff that the proposed development is in compliance with all of the City of Rapid City Municipal Codes concerning floodplain development. If the applicant is unable to demonstrate compliance with these codes, then the applicant must submit for a special exception to develop within the floodway. The applicant cannot start any construction in the floodway prior to obtaining the approvals.

<u>Lighting</u>: The proposed lighting at the site needs to be constructed in such a way as to reduce any impacts on the surrounding land uses.

Staff is recommending that the proposed request be continued to the **June 26, 2003 Planning Commission meeting** to allow the applicant to obtain a floodplain development permit. Staff notes that 11-6-19 South Dakota Codified Law Reviews do not require direct notification of neighboring property owners. In addition, South Dakota Codified Law does not require that 11-6-19 South Dakota Codified Law Reviews be advertised in a local newspaper.