

STAFF REPORT

April 24, 2003

No. 03SR028 - 11-6-19 SDCL Review of a public use in a public place **ITEM 16**

GENERAL INFORMATION:

PETITIONER	City of Rapid City
REQUEST	No. 03SR028 - 11-6-19 SDCL Review of a public use in a public place
EXISTING LEGAL DESCRIPTION	Tract 28, Rapid City Greenway Tract, Section 6, T1N, R8E, BHM, Rapid City, Pennington County, South Dakota
PARCEL ACREAGE	Approximately 6.9 Acres
LOCATION	South of Omaha Street between Brennan Avenue and Elm Avenue
EXISTING ZONING	Flood Hazard District
SURROUNDING ZONING	
North:	Flood Hazard District
South:	Flood Hazard District/Light Industrial District
East:	Flood Hazard District
West:	Light Industrial District
PUBLIC UTILITIES	City sewer and water
DATE OF APPLICATION	03/28/2003
REPORT BY	Jeff Marino

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends that the 11-6-19 SDCL Review of a public use in a public place be continued to the May 8, 2003 Planning Commission meeting to allow the applicant time to submit additional information.

GENERAL COMMENTS: This is a request by the City of Rapid City for authorization to use a public place for a farmer's market. The submitted site plan shows 50 booth areas to be used for local residents to sell their goods. The submitted site plan also shows re-striping of an existing parking lot to allow 161 parking spaces. There are also three porta-potties shown on the site.

The farmer's market site is located at the southeast corner of East Omaha Street and Elm Street on public park land. The applicant has identified the use of an existing off-street parking area located on an adjacent parcel owned by the State of South Dakota and

STAFF REPORT

April 24, 2003

No. 03SR028 - 11-6-19 SDCL Review of a public use in a public place ITEM 16

currently leased to Bill Giekling. (Mr. Giekling is the owner of an adjacent property. This property was the former Harley Davidson.)

South Dakota Codified Law 11-6-19 states that "...whenever any such municipal council has adopted a comprehensive plan, then no street, park or other public way, ground, place, space, no public building or structure, no public utility, whether publicly or privately owned, if covered by the comprehensive plan or any adopted part thereof, shall be constructed or authorized in the municipality or within its subdivision jurisdiction until and unless the location and extent thereof shall have been submitted to and approved by the Planning Commission". The proposed site is publicly owned property. In addition, the property is located within the area covered by the Rapid City Comprehensive Plan requiring that the proposed expansion be reviewed and approved by the Rapid City Planning Commission as a part of an 11-6-19 SDCL Review.

STAFF REVIEW: Staff has reviewed the proposed 11-6-19 SDCL Review and has noted the following major issues:

Parking: A portion of the site containing the parking is not owned by the applicant. Prior to approval by the Planning Commission, a signed agreement authorizing the use of the parking for the Farmer's Market and ensuring that the parking will be available whenever the Farmer's Market is in operation.

Porta-Potties: A revised site plan stating that the port-potties will be locked on a nightly basis will need to be submitted prior to Planning Commission in order to prevent vandalism at the site.

Landscaping Islands: The submitted site plan does not appear to show any landscaping islands in compliance with Section 17.50.300 of the City of Rapid City Municipal Code. This portion of the code requires one landscaping island for every 50 parking spaces in the parking lot. Each landscaping island must be 100 square feet with one tree, two shrubs, and mulch and/or ground cover.

Staff is recommending that the 11-6-19 SDCL Review request be continued to the May 8, 2003 Planning Commission meeting to allow the applicant to submit additional information concerning parking, landscaping, and the porta-potties. Staff notes that 11-6-19 South Dakota Codified Law Reviews do not require direct notification of neighboring property owners. In addition, South Dakota Codified Law does not require that 11-6-19 South Dakota Codified Law Reviews be advertised in a local newspaper.