### STAFF REPORT

March 21, 2002

## No. 01CA032 - Amendment to the Comprehensive Plan to change ITEM 51 the future land use designation on a 3.202 acre parcel from Office Commercial to General Commercial

GENERAL INFORMATION:	
PETITIONER	Wyss Associates for W.E.B. Partners
REQUEST	No. 01CA032 - Amendment to the Comprehensive Plan to change the future land use designation on a 3.202 acre parcel from Office Commercial to General Commercial
LEGAL DESCRIPTION	Beginning from a point 1795.13 feet at a bearing S89d39'0"E from the SW corner of the NW1/4 of the SE1/4 of Section 11, T1N, R7E, BHM, travel 431.35 feet at a bearing N22d2'42"W, Then travel 310.56 feet along a 230' LHF curve with a chord bearing N16d38'12" E, Then travel 161.93 feet at a bearing N55d19'17"E, Then travel 227.59 feet at a bearing S34d35'20"E,Then travel 300.07 feet at a bearing S45dW, Then travel 305.00 feet at a bearing S34d36'5"E,Then travel 165.44 feet at a bearing S45dW, Then travel 165.44 feet at a bearing S45dW, Then travel 26.8 feet at a bearing N89d39'0"W, to the point of start
PARCEL ACREAGE	Approximately 3.202 acres
LOCATION	West of the western terminus of Fairmont Boulevard and north of Tower Road
EXISTING ZONING	Office Commercial District (PCD)
SURROUNDING ZONING North: South: East: West:	Office Commercial District (PCD) General Agriculture District General Commercial District (PCD) Medium Density Residential District (PCD)
PUBLIC UTILITIES	To be extended
DATE OF APPLICATION	9/4/2001
REPORT BY	Blaise Emerson/Marcia Elkins

<u>RECOMMENDATION</u>: Staff recommends that the Amendment to the Comprehensive Plan to change the future land use designation on a 3.202 acre parcel from Office Commercial to General Commercial be approved in conjunction with the associated revocation of the applicable portions of the Initial Development Plan (Planned Development Application No.

### STAFF REPORT

March 21, 2002

# No. 01CA032 - Amendment to the Comprehensive Plan to change ITEM 51 the future land use designation on a 3.202 acre parcel from Office Commercial to General Commercial

01PD021) and approval of the related rezoning and Planned Development Designation.

<u>GENERAL COMMENTS</u>: (All changes shown are shown in bold.) This item was previously continued as R&R Realty, LLP had submitted a letter regarding their concerns with the project. R&R Realty had indicated that they would attend the meeting on March 7, 2002; however, no one appeared at the meeting on behalf of R&R Realty. As a result, the Planning Commission continued the request to allow them the opportunity to appear before the Planning Commission on March 21, 2002. Staff spoke with David A. Westergaard, Corporate Attorney representing R&R Realty. He indicated that R&R Realty stands behind the comments contained in the letter and they do not intend to appear at the Planning Commission meeting on March 21, 2002.

The applicant has submitted a related request to revoke the related Initial Development Plan that was approved in conjunction with the Office Commercial zoning designation. In addition, he has submitted a related rezoning request and a request for a Planned Development Designation. The applicant has indicated that they do not have specific plans in place at this point in time in order to submit a complete Initial Development Plan. Thus, they are requesting approval of a Planned Development Designation requiring submission of both an Initial and Final Development plan prior to the issuance of any building permits for construction on the property.

The applicant is proposing to reclassify the land use designation from Office Commercial to General Commercial. The applicant has submitted an associated request to rezone the 3.2 acre parcel from Office Commercial to General Commercial. The property is located west of the Ridco Development on Fairmont Boulevard. Earlier this year, the applicant rezoned the subject property to Office Commercial with the Planned Commercial Development. The Office Commercial Zoning was established to provide a transition between the General Commercial property to the east and the multi-family and single family residential property to the west. The original plan identified office buildings on the subject property. The applicant has not changed the proposed use from the professional office use originally proposed at this time; however, the applicant has indicated that changing the land use designation and zoning of the property to General Commercial will allow greater flexibility in allowed uses.

Staff has some concerns with the potential impacts of a General Commercial uses on the neighboring properties. The General Commercial District allows for more intense commercial uses than is allowed under the Office Commercial Zoning District requirements. Staff has noted a traffic study will need to be submitted as part of any Final Development Plan. The traffic study must evaluate the impact of the development on the intersection of Fairmont Boulevard and Mount Rushmore Road, as well as, the need for the extension of Fairmont Boulevard to Tower Road. Some of the allowed uses, such as restaurants, hotels/motels and retail establishments, could have a significant impact on the street improvements needed. Also, the subject property is approximately fifty feet higher in elevation than the existing development to the east. The elevation difference could impact the type of uses that would be appropriate on the property. Automotive sales and repair

### STAFF REPORT

March 21, 2002

## No. 01CA032 - Amendment to the Comprehensive Plan to change ITEM 51 the future land use designation on a 3.202 acre parcel from Office Commercial to General Commercial

establishments, building materials sale and lumber yard, wholesaling and distribution centers, and filling or convenience stations are some of the types of uses that would be allowed in the General Commercial that would not be appropriate for this site. Staff has concerns with noise and light pollution and the visual appearance of these type of uses sited on the Skyline Ridge hillside.

Due to these concerns, Staff is recommending that the applicant provided information on the type of uses proposed for the site so those specific uses can be evaluated as part of the Planned Commercial Development prior to approval of the rezoning. As noted, this information is not currently available. Thus the applicant has submitted a request for a Planned Development Designation to allow the rezoning to proceed, while providing adequate review prior to development of the property. The proposed amendment to the Future Land Use Plan is appropriate with the recommend protections in place to insure that any significant impacts are mitigated.