#### STAFF REPORT

### October 10, 2002

# No. 02RZ055 - Rezoning from No Use District to Medium Density ITEM 27 Residential District

#### **GENERAL INFORMATION:**

PETITIONER City of Rapid City

REQUEST No. 02RZ055 - Rezoning from No Use District to

**Medium Density Residential District** 

**EXISTING** 

LEGAL DESCRIPTION The west 372 feet of SE1/4 NW1/4 and the S1/2 NE1/4

NW1/4, less Lot 1, Block 13, Big Sky Subdivision and less the western 38 feet of DeGeest Street right-of-way adjacent to Lot 1, Block 13, Big Sky Subdivision, all located in Section 3, T1N, R8E, BHM, Rapid City,

Pennington County, South Dakota

PARCEL ACREAGE Approximately 31.27 acres

LOCATION East of Elk Vale Road and north of Degeest Street

EXISTING ZONING No Use District

SURROUNDING ZONING

North: Limited Agriculture District (County)
South: Suburban Residential District (County)

East: No Use District West: No Use District

PUBLIC UTILITIES To be extended

DATE OF APPLICATION 09/12/2002

REPORT BY Karen Bulman

<u>RECOMMENDATION</u>: Staff recommends that the rezoning from No Use Zoning District to Medium Density Residential Zoning District be approved in conjunction with a Planned Development Designation.

GENERAL COMMENTS: This property is located east of Elk Vale Road and north of Degeest Street. The property was annexed into the City of Rapid City effective May 15, 2002, and is currently in a No Use Zoning District. The No Use Zoning District is a temporary zoning designation utilized when property is annexed into the City of Rapid City, pursuant to the provisions of Section 17.26.020 of the Rapid City Municipal Code. The purpose of this application is to complete that process.

#### STAFF REPORT

### October 10, 2002

## No. 02RZ055 - Rezoning from No Use District to Medium Density ITEM 27 Residential District

<u>STAFF REVIEW</u>: Staff has reviewed this proposed rezoning for conformance with the four criteria for review of zoning map amendments established in Section 17.54.040(D)(1). A summary of staff findings are outlined below:

1. The proposed amendments shall be necessary because of substantially changed or changing conditions of the area and districts affected or in the City in general.

The subject property was annexed into the City on May 15, 2002. All annexed lands are temporarily placed in the No Use Zoning District. The recent annexation of the property constitutes the changing condition requiring rezoning of the property.

2. The proposed zoning is consistent with the intent and purposes of this ordinance.

The property located to the north of the subject property is zoned Limited Agriculture District by Pennington County. The property to the west and east of the subject property is zoned No Use District. The property to the south of the subject property is zoned Suburban Residential by Pennington County. The Elk Vale Neighborhood Area Future Land Use Plan identifies the subject property as appropriate for Medium Density Residential land uses with a Planned Development Designation. Designating the property as Medium Density Residential Zoning District with a Planned Development Designation appears to be consistent with the Elk Vale Neighborhood Area Land Use Plan and the appropriate zoning district for the property.

3. The proposed amendment will not adversely affect any other part of the City, nor shall any direct or indirect adverse effects result from such an amendment.

The amendment would not appear to have a significant affect on any of the surrounding land uses or on public infrastructure.

4. The proposed amendments shall be consistent with and not conflict with the Development Plan of Rapid City including any of its elements, Major Street plan, Land Use Plan and Community Facilities Plan.

The Elk Vale Neighborhood Area Future Land Use Plan for this area identifies the subject property as appropriate for Medium Density Residential land use(s) with a Planned Development Designation.

Staff has mailed certified letters to notify surrounding property owners of the proposed rezoning and has posted the required sign on this property. As of this date, staff has received no comments or objections regarding this request.