

**INFORMATIONAL ITEM FOR 4.1.2014 PWC Meeting:**

**FROM: Lazy P6 Land Co. Inc.**

EMAIL TO CITY COUNCIL: CORRECTION to comments made by  
Councilwoman Bonnie Petersen; PWD T. Wolterstorff at 2.25.2014 PWC Meeting.

3/26/14

The attached chronicles events related to comments made by Councilwoman Bonnie Petersen and PWD T. Wolterstorff for Item 28 of the 2.25.2014 PW mtg.

Additional record documents in support of and for discussion will be presented for the April 1, 2014 PWC meeting.

Lazy P6 Land Co. Inc has concluded that neither staff nor elected officials have the interest in reading documents that correctly describe current circumstances. These will now be forwarded separately.

Attached by reference is the entire set of plans (10 sheets) approved by city staff for public infrastructure work currently underway within P6 property. Note the signature and seal of Lawrence M. Kostaneski PE and the signature of Nicole A. Lecy, PE (city staff refuses to attach their seal) and the date: 9.20.2013, which will become important in a subsequent discussion. Staff is asked to have their record set available.

At the 2.25.2014 PWC meeting video time stamp 00:47:15 PWD Wolterstorff states that Lazy P6 “submitted piecemeal plans...” All complete construction plans share a common foundation: the horizontal and vertical control for pavement edges, sewer, water, storm sewer, private utility trenches and related are set so that any conflicts are identified and reconciled.

The plans referenced above include those elements – or did, until staff started making significant changes to the pavement edges of 5<sup>th</sup> St south of Catron Blvd in Feb. 2014, despite having approved them in Feb. of 2013. That’s “piece meal”, and wreaks havoc with plans already approved by staff.

In addition, when asked whether P6 could include the 16” water main in their current phasing, CE Tech said yes, providing prices were acceptable. Prices were submitted, several days went by before I could reach him, at which point he said “the plans are not approved”. They already were approved, of course. All emails regarding this event will be produced as appropriate.

At video time stamp 00:58:20 Councilwoman Petersen states, “...allowing lesser standards for P6..”. Neither PWD Wolterstorff nor CE Tech bothered to offer the correct information. Mrs. Petersen is asked to inquire of staff directly which component of the approved plans does not meet the city’s current standards.

SEE Item 48 CC Mtg 3.17.2014. A narrow public ROW (ostensibly an “alley”) accessing carports off the alley edge was approved by Council. This is how the Chapel Lane Village area evolved. Perhaps this is what Mrs. Petersen is thinking of rather than the P6 roadway sections.

Orvil Davis, President  
Lazy P6 Land Co. Inc;  
Lawrence M. Kostaneski, PE - Centerline