

Palmer Katherine

From: Nelson, Chris [ChrisB.Nelson@state.sd.us]
Sent: Wednesday, May 15, 2013 9:12 AM
To: Palmer Katherine; Porter, Paul
Subject: RE: Summary of May 3rd 11.1 Review

Hey Katherine,
I didn't print the pictures, but from memory I believe the house will remain C at this point.

Thanks,
Chris

From: Palmer Katherine [mailto:Katherine.Palmer@rcgov.org]
Sent: Tuesday, May 14, 2013 12:24 PM
To: Nelson, Chris (CHC); Porter, Paul
Subject: RE: Summary of May 3rd 11.1 Review

Hi Chris,
I have spoken with the contractor and the homeowner has decided to pursue her original project plan. For clarification, if she were to retrofit with vinyl, would the house lose its Contributing status?

Thanks,
Katherine

From: Nelson, Chris [mailto:ChrisB.Nelson@state.sd.us]
Sent: Monday, May 13, 2013 12:17 PM
To: Palmer Katherine; Porter, Paul
Subject: RE: Summary of May 3rd 11.1 Review

Abbreviated version – Here's what I'd suggest to consider to avoid the adverse effect:

1. Repair the historic windows/add storms
2. Replace inkind with wood windows

Those are the two options I would recommend get considered. If they end up going the vinyl route after at least considering alternatives, then that's the process.

Thanks,
Chris

From: Palmer Katherine [mailto:Katherine.Palmer@rcgov.org]
Sent: Monday, May 13, 2013 1:05 PM
To: Nelson, Chris (CHC); Porter, Paul
Subject: RE: Summary of May 3rd 11.1 Review

Since I gather the homeowner will be interested in appealing the HPC's decision, should a case report be done if the homeowner decides to go to City Council?

From: Nelson, Chris [mailto:ChrisB.Nelson@state.sd.us]
Sent: Monday, May 13, 2013 12:04 PM

To: Palmer Katherine; Porter, Paul
Subject: RE: Summary of May 3rd 11.1 Review

Yes – wood would not be adverse. Vinyl adverse.

But, if the homeowner wants to use the proposed vinyl, then keeping the original trim, window opening and configuration as proposed (which it looks like they are doing) will minimize that adverse impact of losing the historic wood windows and their historic appearance, which is the other component you look at under 11.1. So, if they're going with vinyl, they're doing what they can to minimize the loss of the historic wood windows.

Thanks,
Chris

From: Palmer Katherine [<mailto:Katherine.Palmer@rcgov.org>]
Sent: Monday, May 13, 2013 9:38 AM
To: Nelson, Chris (CHC); Porter, Paul
Subject: RE: Summary of May 3rd 11.1 Review

I appreciate that Chris and think it's a great idea!
Just to make sure I have this correct for the HPC group and homeowner... do you concur with the 923 adverse effect of retrofitting the windows and also concur with the recommendation of using a wood window?

Katherine

From: Nelson, Chris [<mailto:ChrisB.Nelson@state.sd.us>]
Sent: Monday, May 13, 2013 7:46 AM
To: Palmer Katherine; Porter, Paul
Subject: RE: Summary of May 3rd 11.1 Review

Hey Katherine,
We concur. For 923 Fulton, looks like they're keeping the original trim, window size and a configuration – so that's all things that minimize the impact.

Let's have a meeting in the near future. I'll come out there. Give me some time to visit with some of my folks here. Let's figure out a better way to approach these window/siding/other etc. 11.1 issues. I know it's taking up too much of your and my time. We've got to find a way to streamline this even more.

Thanks,
Chris

From: Palmer Katherine [<mailto:Katherine.Palmer@rcgov.org>]
Sent: Wednesday, May 08, 2013 4:10 PM
To: Palmer Katherine; Porter, Paul; Nelson, Chris (CHC)
Subject: RE: Summary of May 3rd 11.1 Review

Hi Paul and Chris,
I just realized that I hadn't heard anything on this and that I had sent this email to another Chris. Please let me know your thoughts on the following agenda items.
Katherine

From: Palmer Katherine
Sent: Friday, May 03, 2013 12:12 PM
To: 'Porter, Paul'; 'Chris'
Subject: Summary of May 3rd 11.1 Review

RAPID CITY HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION

Friday, May 3, 2013 7:30 A.M. – 9:00 A.M.

3rd Floor West Conference Room

City/School Administration Complex

300 Sixth Street

11.1 Review Recommendations to SHPO

11.1 Reviews

1. 727 South Street (13RS012)

Applicant: Fred Thurston

West Blvd Historic District – Contributing

Request: Remove and rebuild porch and steps. Phase 3 of McGillycuddy House Project.

Commission recommended a finding of no adverse effect to 727 South Street.

2. 923 Fulton Street (13RS013)

Applicant: Collins Siding

West Blvd Historic District – Contributing

Request: Retro-replacement of 6 double hung windows. Replace 3 storm glass with new insulated glass. Replace patio door with new patio door.

Commission recommended a finding of no adverse effect to 923 Fulton Street. Motion was denied, with six against and one for. Commission recommended a substitute motion of no adverse effect if the applicant would use wood for the retrofit, rather than vinyl. Motion was unanimously approved.

Katherine Palmer
Community Planning & Development Services
Planner I - Division of Long Range Planning
City of Rapid City
Phone: (605)394-4120
Fax: (605) 394-6636