From: Nelson, Chris [mailto:ChrisB.Nelson@state.sd.us]

Sent: Thursday, January 10, 2013 8:39 AM

**To:** Palmer Katherine **Cc:** Porter, Paul **Subject:** 920 St James

## Hey Katherine,

Our final determination is that using replacement siding and replacement windows will be an adverse effect. We will not be requesting a case report, so you can take this determination to council.

Paul has outlined what meets the Standards to avoid the adverse effect and Mr. Albers has responded. If the council determines that meeting the Standards is not feasible and prudent, please send us the notice per state law.

Using replacement siding and windows will make the house non-contributing. Using replacement siding similar to the historic width and replacement windows that simulate the historic windows will help minimize the impact to the historic district overall.

When the project is completed, send me an email and I'll forward the change to non-contributing to the NPS.

Thanks for your help on this project,

## Chris

Chris Nelson Historic Preservation Specialist South Dakota State Historic Preservation Office South Dakota State Historical Society 605-773-3103 Fax 605-773-6041

From: Nelson, Chris [mailto:ChrisB.Nelson@state.sd.us]

**Sent:** Fri 1/11/2013 12:52 PM

**To:** Palmer Katherine **Cc:** Porter, Paul

Subject: RE: 920 St James

Yes, that's correct. The Standards are big on keeping material integrity – that's why they stress repair over replacement. You hit a point where material integrity fades and you end up getting an older-appearing, remodeled house – that's what the Standards want to avoid.

Thanks, Chris