

From: Maren [mailto:mward@rap.midco.net]
Sent: Monday, February 15, 2010 9:38 PM
To: Planning Commission
Cc: Maren (home)
Subject: Proposed Wal-Mart

RE: Proposed Wal-Mart site

February 15, 2010

As a resident in the neighborhood we have many concerns and fears regarding the future use of section 24(?) as another Wal-Mart. This site is located on Stumer Road. This is NOT 5th and Catron as the RC Journal has lead the public to believe. This site will NOT have frontage on Fifth St. or Catron Blvd. Access will be from Stumer Rd.

These lots are zoned commercial and we do not deny the need for another Wal-Mart; just **not next to an established neighborhood**. Why not on Catron further east or west, away from family residences?

My argument lays in the affect a business the magnitude of Wal-Mart will have on our neighborhood. When looking to the future we had hoped for high- end retail stores, small business strip malls, medical facilities, offices, restaurants and possibly a senior care facility. A movie theater was even mentioned once. All of which would be required to meet structure standards comparable to those of the nearby residences as based on our property values and taxes. The single family homes in this neighborhood have appraised values of \$300,000 -\$500,000+ and townhouses in the \$250,000+ range. We have strict covenants monitoring what we are able to do with our property. It is my opinion that this proposed plan is an inappropriate site for a Wal-Mart and will **significantly reduce** our property value and resale appeal.

A **24 hour** "Big Box" type store such as Wal-Mart will entail extreme lighting, signage, and a **huge increase in traffic** (merchandise delivery trucks, trash collection and store customers), additional noise (think truck back up alarms), litter and probable vandalism. The crime rate in the neighborhood surrounding the existing Wal-Mart and the police log of calls directly to the Wal-Mart store address is not something we wish for in our neighborhood!

Consider the safety and security of our children and seniors in the neighborhood. The SE corner of Stumer and Enchantment Rd. is a school bus stop for our children. Many of the townhouses backed up to this site are occupied by retired persons. The single family homes are a lifetime investment we had hoped would appreciate, not depreciate in value.

It is very scary. I don't think there is a resident of Rapid City who wants Wal-Mart in their back yard. Would you?

Having visited with neighborhood residents, there are many disgruntled parties. We feel we were misinformed and lead to believe this would be a high end commercial area similar to that at the Catron Blvd. and Sheridan Lake Rd intersection. We were told the site of the Eagle Ridge Apartments would be similar to those at Stoney Creek. Eagle Ridge is a low income residence, which by the way has yet to comply with the erosion control or landscaping requirements as directed.

If you would please advise us as to possible steps to stop the proposed building of Wal-mart so very close to our homes it would be greatly appreciated. HELP! Put yourself in our shoes or should I say homes. :-)

Sincerely,

Maren & John Ward

306 Stumer Rd.

mward@rap.midco.net

From: charity@rap.midco.net [mailto:charity@rap.midco.net]
Sent: Thu 2/11/2010 1:17 PM
To: Martinson Patti; Weifenbach Ron
Subject: Resident of South Pointe in opposition to Wal-Mart proposal

Dear Aldermen Martinson and Weifenbach:

[Please verify receipt of this letter. Thank you.]

I am writing in opposition to the proposed Wal-Mart at the intersection of 5th Street and Stumer Rd. My family and I relocated here from Minnesota and I have seen, first hand, the disastrous effects of having a high commercial establishment such as Wal-Mart directly next to a residential area.

My background as a civil engineer allowed me the opportunity to do a traffic study on the effects of Wal-Mart butting up against a residential neighborhood in Rochester, Minnesota. The neighborhood was upper middle class at the time. What started as an infrastructure study quickly showed many other problem areas that I was not initially on the lookout for. It started out as Wal-Mart only. Then came the subsidized housing, easy to establish near Wal-Mart property because no one else wanted to live there. Then came the crime. Then came the drastic lowering of property values for existing homeowners; for a home-ownership base comprised of mostly doctors and engineers, it wasn't a pretty picture. To this day, what was once a beautiful upscale neighborhood has turned into a place where it is not safe to walk at night. This happened in around five years' time. The more original homeowners moved out of the area, the more renters moved in and further degradation of the neighborhood ensued. I could go on and on. I saw it happen in many cities around Minneapolis: Wal-Mart moves in and problems follow.

I am a native Rapid Citian, married to a Rapid City police officer, raising two school-aged children. I speak for both of us when I say that Rapid City does not need, nor can it afford to police, another "north side". And while it wouldn't happen overnight, I am confident that it would happen. As I am sure you are aware, many people are building brand new homes on the south side of Rapid City and no one did so under the knowledge that their property would diminish in value due to a high density commercial establishment encroaching upon our neighborhood. It is quiet down here and we would like it to remain so. We hope the City shows due consideration to the residents who live in this area of town. It would be an entirely different matter if Wal-Mart preexisted at this location and we moved in around it.

We purchased a new home in South Pointe two years ago. Already, with an overly-large church going up on the next block, multiple home owners are trying to sell. We all know that high turnover rates for home ownership typically degrade the quality of a neighborhood and we, for one, do not want to see the value of our home diminish more than it already has in this economy.

While we are not against development in general, it makes more sense to us, and is infinitely more considerate on the part of the City, to approve only low density commercial development (medical offices, etc.) that will not degrade the quality of the neighborhood and drive property values down.

The City speaks of increased tax revenue and yet we wonder if the City has considered the fact that for the most part, a new Wal-Mart will only serve to split business between the existing Wal-Mart on the north side and the new one. Also look to the recent past: Cabela's was touted as a sure-win plan to increase tax revenue and all it has done is predominately given locals a new place to shop, taking business away from retailers that existed prior to Cabela's. I would hope the City learned its lesson, current economy condition aside.

It makes more sense to build a new Wal-Mart in an area that is not predominately residential. South on HWY 16, or HWY 79 could be ideal locations. We could still build up and offer amenities on the south side, which would be nice, without compromising home owners' investments and overall neighborhood quality. Then, if builders want to move in around the new Wal-Mart with proposed housing developments, at least home buyers would know what they were buying. Everyone would win.

Thank you for your consideration of the points brought up in this letter. I can guarantee that either one of you supporting this would lose a reelection vote from us.

If you have questions or would like to discuss any of these issues further, please phone or email.

Charity Doyle
4744 Mandalay Lane
343.1843

From: SchmidtArbie@aol.com [mailto:SchmidtArbie@aol.com]

Sent: Tuesday, February 23, 2010 12:09 PM

To: Fisher Vicki

Subject: WAL MART CONCERN

DEAR MISS FISHER, MY NAME IS ARBIDELLA SCHMIDT AND I LIVE AT 255 ENCHANTMENT ROAD. I AM VERY UP SET WITH THE WAL MART PLANS BEING BUILDT SO CLOSE TO MY HOME. I WAS TOLD WHEN BOUGHT MY TOWN HOUSE THIS IS A RESIDENTIAL AREA ONLY. I PAID A LOT OF MONEY FOR MY HOME AND NOW WITH THE PROPOSED SITE PLAN IS AN INAPPROPRIATE SITE FOR A WAL MART AS IT WILL SIGNIFICANTLY REDUCE MY PROPRTY VALUE AND RESALE APPEAL. ALSO I THINK OF MY SAFETY AND THE CHILDREN IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD WITH THE INCREASE IN TRAFFIC ALSO ADDITIONAL NOISE AND PROBABLE VANDALISM. SO I HOPE MY CONCERNS WILL BE DEEP CONSIDERATION WITH THE PLANNING COMMISSION . SINCERELY, ARBIDELLA SCHMIDT.

From: ROBBBI@aol.com [mailto:ROBBBI@aol.com]

Sent: Wed 2/24/2010 3:49 PM

To: Martinson Patti; Weifenbach Ron; Kooiker Sam; Olson Karen Gundersen; LaCroix Lloyd; Chapman Malcom; Hadcock Deb; Waugh Bill; Kroeger Ron; Costello Aaron; Hanks Alan

Subject: (no subject)

Re: Wal-Mart II store

Mr. Mayor and Rapid City Council members,

I write to you today in favor of the second Wal-Mart store to be located in South Rapid.

I live in the area to be serviced by this entity and will consider it an asset to our community and my side of town. We, this community, need this economic development, in the form of layout, construction, and servicing of this venue and all of the offshoot venues to be associated with it. We, my (our) families need the employment opportunities offered by such development, now and in the future!

Enough already about the “ideal” location, it’s not there! But that is progress. I have lived here long enough to remember when I hunted in the proposed area of this entity, as there was NOTHING there. I’m sure the people who now live in this once pristine “pasture” wouldn’t be happy with me doing that today – as I quite frankly am not happy that they live “in my hunting grounds”, but that is progress. I know the neighboring rancher is not happy that I bought a once working ranch to build my home on, thereby taking it out of production, to all but the deer, elk and turkeys that continue to live there. I know this because he himself has told me personally several times, but that is progress.

I have lived in this town long enough to see the Safeway’s of the world force out the Hermanson’s and Rempher’s markets. The Gibson’s stores force out the small Coast to Coast’s, and Gambel’s and eventually the K-Mart’s force out the Gibson’s. I have seen big box stores all but close every mom & pop liquor store in this community. But that’s progress.

Ladies and gentleman Wal-Mart is today’s “progress” like it or not and the sooner we all – get over it – the better we will all be. I have seen these people (Wal-Mart developers) jump through several hoops, and yes this is what there accustomed to and supposed to do. But this, the south side, is where the growth of the town is going, we need the service in this side of town, and it is the most proper location so far. It is equal distance from service highways 79 and 16 and on a major tourist thoroughfare to all of our destinations whether they are Southern Hills, Badlands, Mt. Rushmore or the Northern Hills.

It’s always “not in my back yard” but guess what, it is always going to be “somebody’s back yard”. Only this time, for the most part the back yard will develop around the entity!

I thank you for your time today.

Regards,

Brett Sutton

14030 Birdie Lane

Rapid City, SD 57702

605-341-1277

bohcas@aol.com

Feb 23, 10

Zoning & Planning Commission
Rapid City, S.D.

Dear Commission Members,

My husband & I are retired & shop at the north Wal-Mart plus many other stores.

We are so pleased to hear that Wal-Mart has found another food site here on the south side. We understand that all of 54th from Catron to the hospital is zoned commercial & has been for many years so we don't understand how people can be upset when development begins.

In Lincoln, NE a 2nd Wal-Mart was built on the SE side, near some of the most expensive neighborhoods in Lincoln. The store has an 'upscale' look & has not brought crime, noise, & pollution to the area.

Please, please approve this development; shopping on the south side is sorely needed.

RECEIVED

FEB 25 2010

Rapid City Growth
Management Department

Thank You!
J & Dick Linke
1450 Edinborough Dr

Toni Martin

4141 Villa Ridge Ct. #122
Rapid City, SD 57701-2396
605-342-6497

February 21, 2010

R. C. Planning & Zoning Commission; R.C. oversight
Engineers
ATTN: Marcia Elkins

Re: THF Realty, Developer (WAL-MART, etc. Fifth St. & Catron Blvd.)

Disclaimer: These comments are thoughtfully set out by only me, a 65-yr.
R. C. resident, incited by NO commercial entity. I ask you respectfully
consider them.

Regarding the location on the NORTH side of Catron Blvd., surrounded on three
sides by in-place residential development, on what was 'advertised' as a
"truck route" -- this area in close proximity to Retirement HOME for some
600 residents (retired! some restricted, but not retarded), active and con-
tributing in our community, in an area where traffic already can be a challenge.

YOU, in place now, hold a multitude of heavy and grave opportunities and respon-
sibilities for decisions affecting our lives as well as those who follow us.
PLEASE don't be hasty and reckless so future residents curse your decisions
as you are in the grave. DO NOT exchange temporal, greedy consumerism for
responsible stewardship of this God-given land.

Please put in place laws and guidelines to avoid the many forms of pollution:

- 1) No, NONE truck and multiple-axle traffic on 5th Street--as well as no,
NONE builder, materials, contractor & subs equipment on 5th Street
during construction.
- 2) Light pollution: we love the spiritual solace (& teaching our G'children)
of our beautiful starry night skies with the Milky Way, Venus, Mars &
friends (yes, this DOES contribute to quality of life)---we do not need
an illuminated Southern Cross in our Northern Hemisphere in South R. C.!
Efficient lighting is aimed downward where it is needed.
- 3) Restricted roof profiles, with natural/earth-color/prairie-grass colors
for roofs and building exteriors.
- 4) Landscaping with 2 directives: 1) natural, native, low water plantings
to replicate what has been raped of the virgin soil--buffalo, gramma,
blue-stem, yucca & such which form a carpet against water & dust erosion
(the Contractor can get a Masters' Degree in grasses for our zone, weather
precipitation, etc. at the great Grasses, hedges, sedges, bird-and-animal
invitating wild shrubs at the great Grasses Museum in Wall.


THIS LAND IS MY LAND AS WELL AS YOURS. Please care for it responsibly. THINK!


Heartfully expressed on behalf of many,

RECEIVED

FEB 25 2010

Rapid City Growth
Management Department





" A Special Note... "
" To Whom it may concern "

We are opposed to a
Walmart in our area as
we feel it would cause
more traffic, noise and
other problems.

Why do they feel it
has to go in a housing
area when there are
more suitable areas for
their type of business.
Our vote is no.

RECEIVED

Sincerely,
George & Mary Owens
203 Enchantment Rd.

MAR - 1 2010

Rapid City Growth

From: GLENJA@aol.com [mailto:GLENJA@aol.com]

Sent: Tuesday, March 02, 2010 12:47 PM

To: Planning Commission

Subject: Wal-mart

Planning Commission Members,

We are very much opposed to the current plan for Wal-mart. It is not about Wal-mart but where it will be built! I think we need one on this side of town, but with all the vacant land, why here?

We lived in Motor homes for 8 years and finally decided to buy a town home in a quiet neighborhood. A good part of our savings was used as a down payment for our retirement home. Now we have a home that will lose value and a motor home we have been unable to sell because of the economy.

We were well aware that the area was zoned Commercial, but were informed that a nursing home or similar type of office facilities were in the future planning for the sites. In our wildest dreams (or nightmares) We could not have imagined a "big box" store being built directly adjacent to a residential neighborhood in a planned Community development area. A huge, 24 hour business establishment located in a "planned" neighborhood is an abomination and obscene.

Have we not learned anything from lack of proper planning and siting of businesses from other cities? With all the open land located on or near Catron, Hwys 16 and 79 and even along an extended 5th Street, it makes no sense to impact an already established residential area.

Please consider the impact this would have on your own home, its value, and your own neighborhood environment! Would you want this in your back yard?

Respectfully,

Glenn and Jane Pate
233 Enchantment Rd

Fred W. Weishaupl, Jr
2602 Springbrook Rd.
Rapid City, SD 57702-7067
(605) 342-1928
fredandkaryl@rap.midco.net

RECEIVED

February 28, 2010

MAR - 3 2010

City of Rapid City
Planning Commission &
City Council
300 Sixth Street
Rapid City, SD 57701

**Rapid City Growth
Management Department**

RE: WAL-MART ON FIFTH STREET.

To Whom it May Concern:

I spent 30 years in the land development industry and 6 years with 2 Cities in the Engineering department and was surprised to see that Wal-Mart acquiesced, so easily, to the City and located their 2nd store where the City wanted it all along. I thought Wal-Mart was more attuned to the market place & demands than the City was. However I am sure that Wal-Mart got an extra special deal from the property owner so that they can capitalize on the location of Wal-Mart.

There are a number of reasons that the site is not really suited to a big box user, the main one for the City is a constant headache with the traffic and traffic patterns. Everyone that lives north of St. Joseph St. will have to use 5th street for access to the new store; and there will need to be more traffic signals along 5th street and of course the speed limit will be a real problem. Also everyone in Robbinsdale area will have to go up Fairmont to 5th street and by doing that they will be increasing the traffic by the Hospital and the Catholic School, yes I know that the Catholic School will be moving within the next five years. The traffic will also be passing by a retirement community and their life style will be adversely affected. And then again the School District has a site near the intersection of 5th and Minnesota so the traffic will be a detriment and hazard to school children that will have to cross 5th street. I'm not sure if it will be an elementary or middle school, but whichever the traffic will have an adverse affect on the children and traffic generated by the school.

I'm sure that the city is anxious to get the sales taxes, but they will not receive any increase in property taxes because the area has a Tax Increment Financing district, so the City will only get the taxes on the property at Agriculture rates, and it will be 5 to 10 years before the City receives any property taxes. So once again the citizens are paying for businesses to locate within the city. The total TIF on the area was for \$9,000,000 which as always included the interest of about \$4,000,000 which comes out of our taxpayers pocket.

Fifth street was developing nicely with offices and medical facilities, now it will be a hodge-podge of various and sundry uses, which could include restaurants, bars and even Motels. Is this really what the City wants? I know that Wal-Mart will be required to prepare a traffic report, however, you must consider that 'figures don't lie, it's just that the liars do all of the figuring'. Traffic engineers are very adept at 'adjusting' the figures to get the answer that their client or the city wants. No traffic engineer can guess the traffic patterns that people will establish, and since there are a number of residential streets around the site, there will be many diverse routes that people will take to avoid a traffic signal and in the process go speeding through the residential areas. Enchantment Rd. is pretty much a straight shot off of Hwy 16 and does not or will not have any traffic signals to slow down the traffic so many short-cutters will be using this and causing headaches for the residences in Enchantment Hills. Whoever considered using Black Hills Blvd. as a second access must have done so in their sleep. The access road dead-ends at the west property line of the site which will frustrate some drivers and could cause many accidents, so a traffic signal will have to be installed. Another traffic signal will have to be installed at the main entrance to the site on Stumer Rd. This will also be a problem because that stacking lane from the site onto Stumer Rd. is not long enough, but then again Wal-Mart is not concerned about cars leaving the site. And Stumer Rd. is not wide enough to handle all of the traffic situations when the properties to the South are developed and there will be as many seven additional driveways to contend with.

The traffic pattern for the semi's is going to cause nothing but grief to the adjacent property owners when the noisy diesels come in at 5:00AM to unload their wares, to say nothing of the constant beeping that is required by OSHA when they are backing up. Also the glare from the headlights and building lights will make their neighborhood look like the bright lights of Broadway. The Wal-Mart building is taller than the residences to the northwest according to the sight line plans that were submitted by Wal-Mart. The big ugly blue box is 27 feet high, and it is 53 feet higher than 5th street again according to Wal-Mart plans. Why on earth is the building so high above the 5th street and the residential housing? The building is also 30 feet above the drainage that is on the west side of the building, it is reminiscent of the failed 2nd location that was on Hwy 16. To me the amount of grading needed tells me that this site was forced upon them. Wal-Mart said in the past that they wanted to get the traffic going out of town on Hwy 16, this site will not do that, so why did Wal-Mart acquiesce to the City? That my friends is the \$64,000 question.

There is a much better site on Hwy 16 that would allow them to get the traffic on Hwy 16 and off of Catron Blvd. That site is directly across from the medical bldg. south of Catron Blvd on Hwy 16.


3

The access is far enough south of Catron Blvd. for traffic signals. And with the right planning another access to the site can be established off of Catron at the existing service road entrance just east of Hwy 16. There is water available that was constructed to serve the Game & Fish bldg. and there is sanitary sewer available on Catron at Golden Eagle Dr. Ironically, in Saturday's (Feb. 27th) newspaper there is a big article about how the City is installing a new sewer line to serve the area around Hwy 16 & Catron, so now this proposed site would have two ways to serve it sewer.

The 5th street site will not handle the traffic that will be generated when all of the other properties are developed, and you are aware of the old cliché 'when you fail to plan you are planning to fail'. Fifth street will end up like Lacrosse and be nothing but a vehicle zoo.

So I would encourage you to act accordingly and deny this application until a better site is chosen.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Fred W. Weishaupl, Jr.", written in black ink.

Fred W. Weishaupl, Jr.
Cc: THF Stoneridge Development, LLC
2127 Innerbelt Business Center Dr.
Suite 200
St. Louis, MO 63114

From: ejwalla [mailto:ejkwalla@hotmail.com]

Sent: Wednesday, March 03, 2010 7:44 PM

To: Planning Commission

Subject: Wal-Mart development

I live on Savoy Circle in Rapid city, and would like to express my opinion on the proposed Wal-Mart. Since the Wal-Mart property will be 100 yards from our neighborhood, and open 24 hours, the traffic in the area, including large delivery trucks, will create constant noise in the subdivision. The lights from the parking lot and the loading docks (which will face our homes) will bring light pollution. There will be continual traffic on the side streets and in the residential area, which will cause safety concerns. The privacy, peace and security of our homes will be gone, not to mention the property values.

It seems to me that the Wal-Mart is not needed in this area, as it is only a 10+ minute drive to the existing location. Also, the Anamosa Landings development has room for the other proposed retail and restaurant establishments, which keep all of them in a concentrated area and away from residential areas.

If, however, this development is approved, fences, berms and many trees will be necessary to buffer our homes from the commercial properties.

Thank you for listening,

E.J. Walla

149 Savoy Circle, Rapid City, SD 57701

From: Dawn Hansen [mailto:dawnhansen@rap.midco.net]
Sent: Thu 3/4/2010 1:03 PM
To: Kooiker Sam
Subject: proposed Walmart

Dear Sam,

We live on Stumer just up from the proposed Walmart location. Our home is one of those valued at over half a million dollars and we pay taxes on that amount.. We bought here because we enjoy the view of the city and the lovely ravines behind our home and felt assured that the homes in adjoining Enchanted Pines were of the same value. When we built our home in Eastridge 2 years ago there were a list of covenants we had to adhere to according to the developer of Eastridge who was Pat Hall and they were designed so that the homes would be large homes on these large lots of over an acre with a certain percentage of stone and stucco as well as many other covenants so to have value of 3-5 hundred thousand dollars. Now the developers and Mr. Hall are wanting to put in a 24 hour big box store and low income housing in our back yards. Would we have bought here knowing that? Not on a bet. They are devaluing our property by putting in a Walmart and low income housing. I should think with all of the infrastructure they are putting in on Catron that it could be over there or somewhere else on 16. We are not against Walmart but are not for the current location plan. Traffic on Enchantment and Stumer will be a mess not to mention the crime that will likely increase. We already have had kids throw rocks at our truck going down Stumer. Folks who live directly behind the Eagle Ridge apartments have told us other stories too. There are lots of kids over there with unattended parents and nowhere to hang out and they will be hanging out at Walmart. It is not a pretty picture.

Thank you for listening to our concerns.

Dawn Hansen
406 Stumer Rd.
Rapid City, SD
Ward 1

From: Carla Barrett [mailto:carbarrett@hotmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, March 03, 2010 7:59 PM
To: Fisher Vicki
Subject: Proposed Wal-Mart Location Concerns

My husband and I were not contacted prior to any of the meetings and got your e-mail from a neighbor to voice our concerns.

My largest concern is the fact that there are 2 bus stop locations on Stumer Rd. One of which or son uses. There are at least 4 children with him that get picked up and dropped off on the corner of Stumer Rd and Enchantment Rd. The second stop is at Stumer Rd and Bald Eagle Lane which is even closer to the proposed Wal-Mart location. There are several children waiting at this stop due to the fact that it is right in front of the Eagle Ridge apartment complex. I worry that the in and out traffic for Wal-Mart could cause a safety concern for our neighborhood children.

Being a health care worker I feel the increased traffic could be an issue for ambulance access to and from Catron Boulevard, not to mention all of the other medical facilities located on 5th street. Do we really want to be drawing in summertime traffic from highway 16 onto our largest medical accessible street?

Since we are located on the back corner of Savoy circle the noise and lights will interfere with the quietness of our lifestyle, which is one of the reasons we chose to purchase here. The realtor selling the house we purchased did not mention that the area was commercially zoned, which we do not have a problem with. But, do they have to bring in such a large commercial structure in so close to the last residential area off of 5th street? Purchasing here we just expected the medical trend of 5th street to continue which brings in much nicer property designs.

We would definitely love to have a Wal-Mart closer to home, but not this close.

Thank you for taking our concerns into consideration.

Carla & Tyler Barrettt
145 Savoy Circle
RC, SD 57701

March 1, 2010

RE: Proposed Wal-Mart Site

As a resident in the neighborhood, I have a lot of concerns regarding the proposed future site of Wal-Mart. The first item that I want to make clear is that the proposed site is not on the corner of 5th and Catron but almost right in the middle of residential housing. My house at 304 Enchantment Rd is only one house removed from Stumer Rd and the proposed Wal-Mart site would be less than a half mile from my house. Currently, the softball complex on Parkview, which is over one mile from my house, can be heard and seen from my house during the summer. The softball complex is far from the size that the proposed Wal-Mart would bring and the new light and sounds that would be produced from Wal-Mart would dwarf the noise and light from the softball complex. Considering that Wal-Mart is a 24 hour operation, the warehouse activity would be most active at night and the constant noise from the huge semi-trailers coming in and out from the site would be horrible. The once peaceful neighborhood that drew me to this location will be lost forever. With this type of activity from the Wal-Mart store so close to our house, we would not be able to live in our house and would end up losing most, if not, all of our home equity that we worked so hard to build up.

The other main concern we have is the traffic that would end up going through the neighborhood. It would be hard to image the number of vehicles that would come from Catron down through Enchantment Rd over to Stumer to get to the new Wal-Mart site. With all the additional traffic on Enchantment Rd, the safety of ours and other children will be at risk.

The last concern we have is the increased crime and overall sanitation of our neighborhood. As has been documented by the Rapid City Police, the crime around Wal-Mart is a by-product of the number of people that will be shopping there. Just due to the sheer number of people, the increase in crime will be inevitable and the probability of it spilling into our neighborhoods is almost certain. Would we still be able to take a walk at night and still feel safe? Based on everything we know now, I don't believe this to be the case. The other by-product of Wal-Mart is the increase garbage and the smell of it. With the size of Wal-Mart, the amount of garbage will be staggering and the amount of garbage will have a smell of it's own that will, no doubt, travel to our neighborhood.

I want to make it clear that I believe there should be a second Wal-Mart site on the South side of Rapid City but I don't believe it should be in the residential neighborhood. It only makes sense that the location should be on the South side of Catron or on Highway 16 up by the other large businesses.

Thanks for your time,
Chris and Sue Kilpatrick
304 Enchantment Rd
721-4492

From: Lorraine Maxey [mailto:lorraine627@webtv.net]

Sent: Tuesday, March 02, 2010 7:03 PM

To: Fisher Vicki

Cc: Martinson Patti; ron.wiefenbach@rcgov.org

Subject: re:wal mart store location

Vicki,

Please convey to members of the Planning Commission my comments re: proposed site for Wal-Mart store.

My opposition to the chosen location is partially due to my fear of the anticipated and expected increase in crime. I am a widow, elderly, and live alone along 5th street. Another major concern is the additional traffic. To access downtown, medical facilities, including the hospital, we have to make a left turn onto 5th street. There is no traffic signal and it often takes 5-10 minutes waiting. This is extremely dangerous and would be near impossible with "big box" traffic.

There surely are several sites available east on the bypass which would certainly be much more suitable for the residents and Wal Mart.

Thank you for the consideration. Please apply your common sense!

Resident on Sonora Dr. Lorraine Maxey

10PD031-10RZ016-10RZ017-10RZ018-10RZ019-10CA005-10CA006-
10CA007-10CA008

Louise Kelley

1919 Highwood Road

Rapid City, South Dakota 57701

March 15, 2010

RECEIVED

MAR 18 2010

Rapid City South
Management Department

Marcia Elkins:
City Growth Manager, Council, etc.
City Hall
Rapid City, SD 57701

Re: Proposed site for Walmart Store

In order to bring reconciliation with property owners who are opposed to the current site being considered on east Fifth St., why not consider a completely new site at the SW corner of Catron Blvd. and Highway 16.

The land was formerly operated as a campground and has been for sale for a long time. That location isn't surrounded by any residential dwellings and won't be due to zoning and the fact that it isn't suitable for residential.

It would also serve a greater portion of shoppers from the west and southwest parts of the city.

In addition, it would be located in the path of the main tourist traffic which would have a significant impact on City Sales Tax revenue.

I urge you to give serious consideration to this proposal.

Louise Kelley

From: Maren [mailto:mward@rap.midco.net]

Sent: Mon 3/15/2010 8:30 PM

To: Martinson Patti; Weifenbach Ron; Hanks Alan

Cc: Brown Gary (Home); Olson Karen Gundersen (home); Elkins Marcia; Kooiker Sam

Subject: Monday, March 15, 2010

Mayor. Hanks,

I strongly encourage a prompt decision on a site meeting date for the proposed Wal Mart. Again, the public has been misinformed during the Council meeting this evening. The proposed site is NOT 5th and Catron. Please, check the proposed plan as the site is Black Hills Blvd and Stumer Rd.

I believe the numerous publications of this incorrect information has greatly influenced many. As a resident of this neighborhood I feel strongly that the public realizes Stumer is a residential street.

Maren Ward

Resident for Well-Managed Growth

From: R.L. WOLD <rlwold2@hotmail.com>
To: Planning Commission
Sent: Tue Mar 16 22:02:39 2010
Subject: WAL-MART CLARIFICATION

WAL-MART CLARIFICATION

Many think the proposed Wal-Mart site is located at the corner of 5th and Catron. Not true. Wal-mart would actually be situated along Stumer Road, snuggled up against twin-homes on the west and single-family homes on the north. Parking lot access to Wal-Mart would be from Stumer only, and even if this narrow street were widened, traffic would still be congested at the two access points to the parking lot.

In addition, in order to handle increased traffic, this site would require traffic lights at 5th and Stumer; these new lights would be very close to the existing lights at 5th and Catron. In fact, a variance changing the distance between traffic lights from 1200 feet to 600 feet would be needed. This reduction in distance would likely cause choke points at the intersections of Stumer and 5th, Catron and 5th, and Parkview and 5th. The ensuing congestion would not only frustrate the general public but would also impede emergency traffic, especially ambulances using 5th as a main route to the hospital.

Traffic problems like these should be reason enough to stop plans for placement of any mega-retailer like Wal-Mart in this South Robbinsdale neighborhood.

Kathleen R. Wold
320 Stumer Rd.
Rapid City, SD 57701
716-7682

10PD031-10RZ016-10RZ017-10RZ018-10RZ019-10CA005-10CA006-10CA007-10CA008

DEAR VICKI,

I UNDERSTAND THAT BOB AKERS IS WILLING TO SELL TO WAL MART WITH 20 ACRES OF LEVELED LAND AND READY FOR BUILDING. SO WHY IS WAL MART NOT DOING THAT IN STEAD OF TRYING TO BUILD IN A RESIDENTIAL AREA. I LIVE AT 255 ENCHANTMENT ROAD THE CORNER OF STUMER. THAT MEANS THAT WAL MART WOULD BE BUILT ABOUT 850 FT FROM MY HOME. I PAID BIG BUCKS FOR MY HOME AND I CAN NOT AFORD TO HAVE THE VALUE OF MY PROPERTY GO DOWN. I AM RETIRED AND LIVE ON A SMALL INCOME. I DONT NEED THE NOISE POLLUTION, LIGHT POLLUTION TRAFFIC. ALSO IT WOULD NOT BE GOOD FOR THE HOSPITAL OR MEDICAL BUILDS TO HAVE THAT TRAFFIC ON 5TH ST. THE HOSPITAL JUST PUT A CROSS WALK ACROSS 5TH STREET. SO PEOPLE COULD GET TO HOSPITAL IN SAFTY. ALSO NOT EXCLUDING VANDALISM. SO I HOPE MY CONCERNS WILL A BIG CONSIDERATION WITH THE PLANNING COMMISSION.

SINCERELY,

ARBIDELLA SCHMIDT

From: Maren <mward@rap.midco.net>

To: Planning Commission

Sent: Sun Mar 21 22:25:46 2010

Subject: Enchantment Rd. traffic

Sunday, March 21, 2010

Dear Planning Commission members,

As another beautiful day in Rapid City draws to a close and we ready for our work week I'd like to bring to your attention an incident I witnessed today...

Around 5:30 PM, as I sat on my porch I watched three very young children, approximately 4 or 5 years old, playing in the lot behind our home. There is a small population of prairie dogs there that often draws the attention of passersby and these youngsters were "investigating". I don't know who was having more fun...them playing, the dogs teasing them or me watching the whole adventure unwind!

Suddenly, the little ones lost interest and darted across Enchantment Road! My heart stopped as a SUV came to a screeching stop just short of these children. The vehicle, well within the speed limit, was fortunately paying attention and going slow.

As my husband and I discussed the incident, we wondered would these children be so lucky if there was a WalMart just around the corner? I think not.

I drive past our LaCrosse WalMart every day, twice a day on my way to work at Knollwood Elementary. The thought of that much traffic so very, very close to our single family homes is a really scary thought.

I beg you to consider other locations than our neighborhood when deliberating the proposed WalMart. There seems to be so many other available locations with no residences around them.

Our children are too young to die in WalMart traffic.

Respectfully yours,

Maren Ward

Residents for Well-Managed Growth

10RZ019

MARCIA ELKINS -Growth Management Dep.

Planning Commission

LOCATION, LOCATION, LOCATION!!!

Isn't this what realtors tout when they are counseling commercial or residential investors? This advice seems to have been ignored (if it was ever offered) in the mad rush to place another Walmart Super-Center.

The proposed super-center, with it's admittedly inadequate parking, is not located at Fifth and Catron Boulevard. It is west of Fifth Street on STUMER ROAD, a narrow lane accessed from Fifth St. at an intersection 600 feet north of Catron Boulevard.

Look at the traffic on LaCrosse - north of East North Street. Fifth Street will be much worse from downtown to Catron Boulevard. Every intersection on this route will need a traffic signal, or at the very least a turning lane or Robbinsdale resident will have long waits to enter Fifth Street. Emergency traffic to the hospital can expect delays. Catron Boulevard will need to be rebuilt to four or six lanes from Hiway 79 to Hiway 16, with turn lanes at every intersection.

Come look at this proposed site - Fifth and Stumer Road. There is a Walmart on the North-east side of Rapid City - How about one on the West Side??

A concerned resident of Robbinsdale.

Ella Fisher
204 Enchantment Rd.,
Rapid City, S.D. 57701
Phone: 342-5876 no e-mail

P.S. Thank you for scheduling your visit to the site and the next commission meeting at times when working people will be more available.

Hope to see you at one of the two meetings!

From: Maren [mailto:mward@rap.midco.net]
Sent: Saturday, March 27, 2010 1:01 PM
To: Fisher Vicki; Heller Monica
Subject: BHC Traffic study

Good Morning,

Please read the attached article and ask yourself if the BHC Impact Study is using incomplete statistics and methodology to report on the exceptional traffic that a 24 hour super store, such as WalMart will generate. I believe that the statistics used to compile this study are inadequate as WM is not your typical commercial big box store. It is more an exception to other big box stores. This is proven in their success over other big box stores.

The intersection of Stumer Rd. and Enchantment Rd. should be a priority in this study. It is not specifically addressed as are other intersections. (see pages 10 & 11 of BHC study) This intersection is closer to the proposed WM site than is the intersection at Stumer Rd. and 5th St.

Enchantment Rd. is already a feeder street to Eagle Ridge Phase I residents wishing to avoid the additional distance to the Stumer Rd. access from 5th St. With the addition of Eagle Ridge Phase II **and** BHC. I heartfully ask that this plan receive additional consideration before the proposed development proceeds. The safety of Eagle Ridge residents, including children, adults, pets, and our entertaining prairie dogs is seriously at risk should the infrastructure plan pass as proposed.

Vicki, please forward this to Planning Commission and City Council members. Thank you!

Respectfully,
Maren Ward
Resident for Well-Managed Growth
Planning for the future

From: R.L. WOLD [mailto:rlwold2@hotmail.com]
Sent: Sunday, March 28, 2010 10:10 PM
To: Planning Commission
Subject: Rapid City Growth Management Plan and Wal-Mart

Please give the following ideas your serious consideration. The quality of life in South Robbinsdale is at stake.

Rapid City Growth Management Plan and Wal-Mart

In decision-making regarding development of commerce in South Robbinsdale, city officials, including the mayor, should adhere to the Objective/Policy statements in Rapid City's Growth Management Plan. First, they should "encourage retail establishments and businesses that are convenient to, and serve neighborhood residents, yet are compatible with but not intrusive upon residential neighborhoods." Further, officials should "protect stable neighborhoods to prevent encroachment by incompatible commercial and industrial uses."

Measuring by these standards, officials could not in good conscience approve the proposed Wal-Mart on Stumer Road. There, developers plan a 154,700 square foot structure, along with related hardscape. Such a large facility, no matter how modern its façade, would still be incompatible with existing residences. Similarly, the round-the-clock lighting, noise, and traffic associated with a Supercenter would intrude on the established neighborhood. In addition, the size and invasiveness of Wal-Mart would also be incongruent with the medical-office-religious-educational corridor all along 5th Street.

If Rapid City officials base their judgments on the standards set by the Growth Management Plan, they simply must determine that the current Wal-Mart proposal is not in the best interest of development in South Robbinsdale. Even common sense would dictate the same conclusion.

Thank you for your attention in this matter.

Kathleen Wold
320 Stumer Rd.
Rapid City, SD 57701

716-7682

From: Jesso [mailto:rjesso@rap.midco.net]
Sent: Sunday, March 28, 2010 5:04 PM
To: Fisher Vicki
Subject: New Walmart site - Comment

Ms Fisher,

I wish to comment on the proposed access into the likely South-side Walmart site.

While growth and expansion is a fact of life, I encourage your department to limit access from Catron Blvd. to future commercial development. Having recently relocated to Rapid City from the Kansas City, MO metro area, I am aware that allowing commercial properties to develop to the curb is an ugly long-term growth policy. KCMO is paying the price for this planning error. Traffic flow will never be as it should be. Lack of adequate long-range planning and developer influence produces sub-par results.

I think limiting access along Catron and limiting additional control (stop) lights on Catron is critical to maintaining the integrity of smooth traffic flow on a designated by-pass/truck route.

Regardless of future Rapid City development, at any location, please require future large developers to construct frontage roads adjacent to their properties. This will help traffic flow by limiting access and eliminating the expansion of unnecessary traffic lights on main traffic routes.

Thank for taking the time to consider these opinions.

Respectfully,

Bob

Robert J. Jesso
5549 Doubletree Road
Rapid City, SD 57702-8997
Ph: 605-791-2931
Cell: 605-877-1007
rjesso@rap.midco.net

Fred W. Weishaupl, Jr.
2602 Springbrook Rd.
Rapid City, SD 57702-7067
fredandkaryl@rap.midco.net

March 30, 2009

RECEIVED

City of Rapid City
Planning Commission &
City Council
300 Sixth Street
Rapid City, SD 57701

MAR 31 2010

Rapid City Growth
Management Department

RE: WAL-MART ON FIFTH STREET.

To Whom it May Concern:

The location of a second Wal-Mart in the south end of Rapid City does not and will not have an affect on me personally or where I live. However, I do believe that the proposed site will have an adverse affect on the property owners in the Enchanted Hills Subdivision and all of the residences along Fifth Street. Fifth Street/Haines Ave. will become the major arterial for the citizens of Rapid City. Highway 16/Eighth Street will be used mainly by the tourist and the City and State has recently approved a plan to spend \$16,000,000 to improve and clean up the hodge-podge of signs and accesses, which is probably a good thing. However, during that reconstruction, the residents of Rapid City will see that they can make better time going North to South and South to North by using Fifth Street which will then become a traffic nightmare.

I have read the traffic report and have some general comments as follows:

- **The traffic study ignored the traffic on Enchantment Rd. from Highway 16. As I pointed out in my previous comments Enchantment Rd, is a relatively straight shot to Stumer Rd. with no traffic impediments.**
- **South bound Fifth Street will be able to take Enchanted Pines to Enchantment Rd. and then either continue on Enchantment Rd. or turn on to Dan Christy Dr. thereby by-passing the log jam of traffic into Wal-Mart off of Fifth Street. And by doing so the traffic would be endangering the residential properties. Also some drivers will use that same route to Stumer Rd. and come into the very west access to Wal-Mart which incidentally is the access directly in front of Wal-Mart. Other drivers that use Stumer Rd. off of Fifth Street will also by-pass the "main" easterly access to the parking lot in favor of the westerly access to get to the 'front door' and cause traffic problems that now exist at the Wal-Mart on Lacrosse.**

(2)

- **This report does not address any increased traffic by the Hospital and future School site, and it ignores the situation by West Hills Village where a traffic signal at Texas & Fifth Street is needed now. However, as Cities usually do they will wait until someone gets killed before installing a traffic signal.**
- **Fifth Street will end up just like Lacrosse around the existing Wal-Mart with a hodge-podge of miscellaneous uses that will have various businesses coming and going. As I said in my previous letter Fifth Street was developing with an office park atmosphere of medical and dental offices. Now “Katie bar the door” anything and everything will be permitted and the City will have another Lacrosse Street nightmare. According to my previous letter and the traffic report there will be Hotels, Motels and gas stations and whatever else is allowed in the Commercial Zone. However, the City Council will be happy because they will have more of our tax dollars to waste, such as trips for lame duck council members.**
- **You can use all of the formulas and figures that you want to but no one will ever be able to guess the traffic patterns that people will choose. The residential area around the hodge-podge of uses will have constant problems and possibility deaths from cut-through traffic. One possible solution would be to dead-end Stumer Rd. into a cul-de-sac at the driveway in the Apartments.**
- **The designed “main access” into the parking lot will become the secondary access because people will soon find out that the westerly access driveway goes directly in front of the store and then this will become the main access point.**
- **The proposed sharing of accesses for the seven lots cannot be guaranteed so it possible and/or probable to have seven individual access points onto Stumer Rd.**
- **The City should plan for and build a traffic signal at Black Hills & Stumer Rd., and it will be needed. The possibility of a traffic circle is merely a way for the developer to get out of paying \$100,000± for a traffic signal. The traffic circles are archaic and those that existed in the 1950’s & 1960’s were found to be non-workable when the traffic volume increased. And with a large Senior population in Rapid City there will be many fender benders and possible fatalities because Senior’s tend to be overly cautious when dealing with an anomaly such as a traffic circle.**


(3)

- **The posted speed was noted as 60mph on Catron, this will have to be reduced in order for people to negotiate the turns and traffic congestion.**
- **Stumer Rd. intersection with Fifth Street is too close to Catron. The recommendation of a 290 foot storage lane is ludicrous because that allows for only 14 cars. It will be almost mandatory to have a double left turn from Northbound Fifth Street to Stumer Rd.**
- **One of the adverse affects on all of the residents along Fifth Street is that Fifth Street/Haines Ave. will become the major arterial for the North-South traffic for the residents of Rapid City. And Fifth Street is not designed for that kind of traffic. Highway 16 will be mainly used by tourists, Is this really what the City wants?**
- **Another question is who builds and maintains the detention pond adjacent to Fifth Street? And will it be properly fenced to keep anyone especially small children from falling in? I noticed that the side slopes are to be 1:1 which is steep. Will there be adequate landscaping and I don't mean the spindly trees that the City usually lets developers get by with? You must remember that this will be the main North-South arterial through Rapid City.**

Due to prior commitments I will not be able to attend either the April 6th or April 8th Planning Commission meetings.

Just a side note there was almost a near fatality on Fifth Street at the existing School crossing a couple of years ago. Does the City Council really want to endanger the lives of our children by making Fifth Street the main arterial for the North-South traffic through Rapid City?

Sincerely,



Fred Weishaupl

April 2, 2010

**Chairperson
Rapid City Planning Commission
300 Sixth Street
Rapid City, SD 57701**

Dear Sir or Madam;

I am in receipt of a certified letter entitled NOTICE OF PLANNING COMMISSION INSPECTION OF SITE , although it is not dated, the postmark indicates it was mailed on March 28, 2010.

In part the letter states, "As an owner of property located within 250 feet, you are notified by this letter that the Rapid City Planning Commission will inspect the proposed development site for the Black Hills Center Development on April 6, 2010 at 5:00 p.m. at Stumer Road and Black Hills Boulevard"

My concern is this, I have heard many complaints from residents in this area that are opposed to a Walmart at this location. Voices of reason are not heard because the negative voices drown them out.

Let it be known that my wife Susan and I are firmly in favor of the new Walmart store at this location. In discussing this with my immediate neighbors, Scott and Abby Peterson to the North and Dan Olson to the South, I find that they too, are in favor of this project. These locations are 3 of the 4 closest lots to the proposed site, all within 250 feet.

My reasons for favoring this site for the new Walmart are these:

- 1. This location is zoned commercial, which to me means that something is going to be built there, if not now, certainly in the future. Since something is going to be built there, lets make it a Walmart, at least we know what to expect. I would hate to find that the Walmart project was rejected, and then wind up with an auto salvage yard, an auto dealership or perhaps a line of storage buildings. The possibilities for something less favorable than a Walmart are endless.**
- 2. Although I have no concrete evidence of this, I feel that once a Wal Mart is established, several other retail business will begin to build in this area. Perhaps a restaurant, a drug store, a gas station or a car wash. The positive possibilities are also endless.**
- 3. Currently, the retail outlets in this area are non-existent. If you draw a circle with a one-mile radius from this location you will find there are no retail outlets. Consequently it requires a four mile round trip to get groceries, the closest of which is Safeway on Mt. Rushmore Road. To get a bolt or a box of nails, the closest hardware store is a six mile round trip to Ace Hardware Eastside. This is fine and I am not complaining about that, because we chose to live at the location. However, now with the opportunity to have a Walmart built here, I hope the opportunity is not lost.**

I trust your decision will be one that reflects the positive views of the entire city rather than the negative views of a few.

Sincerely,

Duke Doering

From: Sean Fahey [mailto:sfahey@w3pipe.com]
Sent: Monday, March 29, 2010 11:10 AM
To: Planning Commission; Elkins Marcia
Subject: 5th Street/Catron, Walmart expansion, and bicycles

Please do not forget about bicycle access while considering the new Walmart development on 5th Street and Catron. Getting around on a bike in Rapid City is already a dangerous proposition. Safe access to and from the city limits seems to be shrinking as growth continues.

Expansion at 5th Street and Catron should include bicycle friendly provisions, especially since they are popular routes for cyclists heading into and out of the city for a ride in the Hills or commuting to and from work. Neglecting to plan for cyclists will simply add to the traffic congestion as people are forced to drive to and from the outskirts of the city simply to ride.

Thank you for working to keep Rapid City a wonderful place to work and play. We count on you now and in the future.

Respectfully,

Sean Fahey
2803 Hoefer Ave.
Rapid City, SD 57701

From: Paula Welsch [mailto:remaxine@rushmore.com]

Sent: Tue 4/6/2010 9:24 AM

To: Martinson Patti

Cc: Kooiker Sam; Weifenbach Ron; Olson Karen Gundersen; Waugh Bill; lloyd.lacaroix@rcgov.org; ron.kroger@rcgov.org; Chapman Malcom; Costello Aaron

Subject: Walmart

Good morning,

I would like to "cast my vote" FOR the new Walmart in the location currently being considered. I am tired of a small group of "neighbors" dictating what we the people voted for years ago. I do live in the neighborhood and I do want the Walmart in this location. It is perfect and already zoned for just this sort of retail project. Let's not forget that said property was zoned commercial prior to most resident's deciding to purchase in this location.

Please, let's move forward and the sooner the better.

Sincerely,

**Paula Welsch
113 Texas St
Rapid City, SD 57701**

Eagle Ridge Apartments Letter of Support for the new Walmart:

April 5, 2010

We currently live in an apartment at Eagle Ridge.

We support the effort to build a new Walmart in our neighborhood with the new jobs and services it will bring.

1. Patrick K. M. U.
2. Shirley Kinloch
3. The Ingram
4. Tony + Angie Paratz
5. Sarah Anderson
6. Erin Neal
7. Joan Danielson
8. Anne Torgerson
9. Renee Yellowhair
10. Sarge Maestas
11. Greater Horned Antelope sp.
12. Jessica Skasple
13. Kristi H.
14. Robert Mungas
15. Deanna Valt
16. Jim Yellowhair
17. Michelle Oesenberry
18. Tyrus Carr
19. Wanda S.
20. Jennifer Jones
21. Kaum Angonustales
22. Julia Haber
23. Jennifer Park
24. Diana Mearns
25. Eugene S. Perez
26. Gene Bayton

29. ~~Tommy P~~
30. Jissi Martian
31. ~~Tommy Coyle~~
32. Nicolea Coyle
33. ~~Tommy Coyle~~
34. ~~Tommy Coyle~~
35. ~~Tommy Coyle~~
36. ~~Tommy Coyle~~
37. ~~Tommy Coyle~~
38. Cecilio Miller
39. Patricia Black
40. Allen Neal
41. Brenda Neal
42. Heidi Roberts
43. ~~Heidi Roberts~~
44. Kue Kelo Plenty
45. Jacqui McClure
46. Colman Barringer
47. Jennifer Barringer
- 48.
- 49.
- 50.
- 51.
- 52.
- 53.
- 54.
- 55.
- 56.
- 57.
- 58.
- 59.
- 60.
- 61.
- 62.
- 63.
- 64.
- 65.

Dear Commissioners:

There is a myriad of reasons people may be for or against the Wal-Mart Supercenter proposed on Stumer Road and it is likely that you will hear these reasons as this project progresses. The intent of this letter, however, is to bring to your attention potential policy conflicts between this project and the City's own Growth Management plan as well as the resulting questions that arise. And while a reply to these numerous issues is not expected, this letter is sent in the hopes that you consider each and every item as you formulate your decision on this project.

The last Future Land Use plan specific to South Robbinsdale was completed in 1999. As of that same year, the City deemed it a priority to maintain safety standards by issuing the following:

Pedestrian, bicyclist, and children's safety are a key concern of the Future Land Use Study Committee as evidenced by the proposed bike path routes and the desire to locate high traffic generating businesses out of the residential areas and along collector streets and arterial streets. Keeping truck traffic off local roads also addresses many neighborhood safety concerns.

The fact that there are (2) school bus stops on Stumer Road alone poses a conflict with this key concern. The area of South Robbinsdale that would be adversely impacted by a retailer of this magnitude is still a growing community; many more school bus stops are anticipated. There are currently 111 riders; what will Phase II of Eagle Ridge Apartments add to that?

The 2008 Future Land Use Plan states that the City's role in development is to "...preserve its quality of life, yet continue to attract new businesses and investment to the community..." Can the City acknowledge that a 24-hour Wal-Mart the size of three football fields (sans parking) will affect the quality of life that South Robbinsdale homeowners currently enjoy?

The 2008 plan states:

- "...it is dynamic and will be revisited to make changes as circumstances warrant."
- The City will "maintain a commitment to neighborhoods by implementing appropriate policies that preserve and improve the quality of the community's established neighborhoods".
- The City will "protect stable neighborhoods to prevent encroachment by incompatible commercial and industrial uses..."
- The City will "encourage retail establishments and businesses that are convenient to, and serve neighborhood residents, yet are compatible with but not intrusive upon residential neighborhoods."

With these points made, we are asking you, our City planners, to consider a unique and separate zoning designation for BIG BOX RETAIL and 24-HOUR establishments and deem it

inappropriate to build businesses of this size, scale, and operation adjacent to residential areas. Nowhere in Rapid City is a 24-hour business located so near single family homes.

It has been said by THF Realty that the current Rapid City Wal-Mart is one of the busiest in the entire nation which makes opposition to this project in this location completely warranted and justifiable. We believe that the pull of a new Wal-Mart has been severely underestimated and fear that parking overflow will end up on adjacent residential streets. Another point of concern is snow removal and delivery trucks. Equipment back-up alarms and tractor auxiliary power units during late night and early morning hours have no place near sleeping residents and raises concerns of both noise and air pollution.

Here are some additional points that the City may want to consider:

1. A destination retail establishment in this location will DIVERT traffic away from established commerce routes (HWY 16, Mt. Rushmore Road) thereby negatively impacting existing local businesses. Is this fair? What about the beautification and work our local business owners along Mt. Rushmore Road have been funding? Obviously it will not serve them if traffic is diverted along the 5th Street thoroughfare.
2. Why would the City allow the benefits of TIF financing to be had by a corporation such as Wal-Mart that can afford to install infrastructure in a more suitable site? Why not leverage the TIF district for local business owners to grow and expand?
3. There is evidence nationwide of subsequent Wal-Marts becoming so popular that existing facilities in the same town close within a year or two leaving "black boxes" in the City. Has the City considered requiring a lifetime abandonment bond to protect against this?
4. Check statistics on crime, etc. around Wal-Marts that are built near subsidized housing. Stumer Road is the home to one such development, with more apartment units scheduled to be built. Statistically, this is a mix that will undoubtedly affect adjacent property owners and it is the City's job to protect citizens against such things. Already, homeowners on Stumer Road have reported vehicle and garage break-ins. While it is difficult to prove that this is related to Eagle Ridge, statistically, this type of crime will likely increase with additional units.
5. Poll residents from all areas of town. It is estimated that a minimum of 25% of Wal-Mart #1 business will be diverted to Wal-Mart #2. Talking with folks all over town, consensus seems to be that "new is better". This burdens South Robbinsdale with shoppers from the west, east, and south sides. Can SR accommodate 50% of current Wal-Mart shoppers in a smaller facility wedged into an area with no room for expansion? If not, would we be looking at Wal-Mart #3?
6. Make certain the project will not cause unjustifiable hardship on residents. It would behoove the City to spearhead valuation studies on the impact Wal-Mart will have on home values and quality of life and to initiate actions to maintain the viability of these markets.
7. Assess whether the proposed project is congruent with the City's Growth Management Plan (points referenced above). If a Wal-Mart Supercenter (three pro-football fields non-

inclusive of parking), lit and open 24 hours is “non-intrusive”, what WOULD be considered intrusive?

8. Would the City face future lawsuits surrounding the conflict of high-traffic generating retail and school bus stops?
9. Look at benefits to the City. Wal-Mart will not generate new retail revenue but rather redirect existing retail dollars. Would it be smarter to build Wal-Mart in an area easily accessible by tourists in an effort to increase revenue?
10. How much will the City lose in property taxes as a result of this project? It has been found that commercial encroachment into residential neighborhoods has a negative impact upon residential property values. What percentage of the municipal tax base for Rapid City does residential property represent and what would the overall impact of devaluation of assessed values be? A tax assessor should be an integral part of the process when considering a project of this magnitude next to a residential area.
11. Look at whether Rapid City can afford another Lacrosse Street. How much will this new Wal-Mart drain local resources? Would we need to add law enforcement? If so, who would pay for it: taxpayers or Wal-Mart?
12. Consider future benefits of designing SR rather than developing more of the same. There is an opportunity to develop a SR culture that would appeal to area shoppers. By bringing in new businesses, shoppers may spend retail dollars locally as opposed to online giants like Amazon.com. WholeFoods, Barnes & Noble, Creative KidStuff, The Good Earth, Teavana—numerous options that are not open 24-hours.

Rapid City has only one chance to develop South Robbinsdale intelligently while ensuring economic stability and prosperity for area residents. Will civic leaders think long term and safeguard against degradation of the neighborhood, etc.? The answer will affect not only South Robbinsdale, but the entire city for years to come.

With Kindest Regards for the Job Ahead of You,

Charity Doyle
Resident for Well-Managed Growth

From: Carol Knowles [mailto:bassofundo@rushmore.com]

Sent: Mon 4/12/2010 11:16 AM

To: Martinson Patti

Subject: new draft

Dear Ms. Martinson,

My husband and I have owned homes in the Robbinsdale/Grandview area since 1992. We love the area. We realized living just a few blocks off of 5th street in both homes, that the city would ultimately develop the rest of 5th street. We were and are accepting of this fate. Seeing the area developed into medical and professional enterprises has kept the development from turning into another Lacrosse, Mount Rushmore Road, Haines or East North Street disaster. I truly believe a Wal-Mart in this location is bad for business. The city will lose the medical and professional businesses. We will see 5th street developed into a forest of bill boards, casinos, convenience stores, cheap motels, fast food restaurants, and ugly strip malls that sit empty for years. They will be open 24/7 which is way past the time the other professional buildings operate on 5th street. The city will see the business professionals of this community selling off their current property and will also no longer see development in this area toward the medical and professional offices. We will have another really ugly street in Rapid City for our tourists to view. Is that what the city really wants? I have no doubt all the businesses on those other streets afore mentioned have been good for city's budget but please, we don't need another street filled with ugly signs and lots of concrete parking lots. And what is the city spending money on now? Trying to "beautify" Mount Rushmore Road. I can see money going down the drain in future years to "beautify" 5th street which if the city council is careful, they won't ever have to spend. Put Wal-Mart down by highway 79 where there is already a great connector to Campbel Street, Catron Blvd., Elk Vale Road and E. St. Patrick. Those roads can handle the new traffic and there is already enough other 24/7 businesses in this area to make Wal-Mart feel at home.

Bruce and

Carol Knowles

From: Pat [mailto:patdocmike@rap.midco.net]

Sent: Fri 4/9/2010 11:31 AM

To: DOUGLAS KINNIBAUGH (ALTERNATE); Zephier Diane M; Gregg Julie; Scull Andy; Wyss Pat; Rolinger Steve; Brewer John; Marchand Linda; Braun Erik; Collins Barb; Landguth Dennis; Brown Gary; Martinson Patti; Weifenbach Ron; Kooiker Sam; Hadcock Deb; Olson Karen Gundersen; Waugh Bill; LaCroix Lloyd; Kroeger Ron; MALCOM CHAPMAN; Costello Aaron

Cc: MAYOR ALLAN HANKS

Subject: New Wal-Mart

Although I was unable to attend your meeting last evening, I would like to add my voice to those in favor of the new store. I opposed the previous location due to the size of the lot, the required tree removal and dirt work required, and its proximity to three churches and the church schools. Although the proposed store will be in direct line of sight from my home, I feel this is an **appropriate location**. The land is fairly flat and it is close to Highways 16 & 79 and Catron Blvd. Although I understand the "NOT IN MY BACKYARD" mentality, I feel those who are vocally opposing this location, should have understood the adage, "location, location, location," when purchasing a home. You can't buy next to commercial property and then expect you can dictate which commercial business is allowed. I feel the city staff will be able to require compatibility features which help protect the residences from sight and sound, help dictate the architecture which should be pleasing, and find a solution to keep traffic from utilizing residential streets.

I would like to point out arguments which I feel have no place in this discussion:

- Taking business away from downtown: Is there a grocery store, plumbing supplies, tire shop, children's store, toy store, photo shop, fabric shop, bakery, pharmacy, or pet store located in the downtown area? What business would shift from downtown to Wal-Mart? Wedding Boutiques, Indian artifacts, antiques, piano's, clocks, health food, exclusive dress shops, gun shop, exclusive jewelry stores, wine shop, restaurants, financial service operations, or specialty shops etc.?
- The assumption that Wal-Mart will bring crime, noise, and pollution is not giving much credit to Rapid City. We have a police department which I am sure tell you crime does not recognize location. It is happening all over the city and the county. I think it unfair to blame it one particular store.

In case no one has brought this to your attention. The new store location will remove pollution as all the residents in the south of the city will no longer have to drive through the city to reach Wal-Mart. It will save me at least twelve miles of travel per trip. The gas I will save (at almost \$3.00 a gallon) will help my budget. There are hundreds of residents living in the southern part of the city, and south of Rapid City who will benefit from this store. It would also bring new businesses and new restaurants to

10PD031-10RZ016-10RZ017-10RZ018-10RZ019-10CA005-10CA006-10CA007-10CA008

the southern part of the city which are much needed. I would hope the Planning Commission and City Council will give these residents much thought and consideration in making their decision as they do the twenty homeowners who attended the meeting. It has always been my understanding that those opposed to an idea will bring more pressure and more presence than those who do not oppose it.

PATRICIA K. HAHN
1105 REGENCY COURT

April 20, 2010

Traffic

Enchantment Road and Stumer Road intersection

There are already severe traffic problems on Enchantment Road. Not only is there heavy traffic but people drive way too fast as shown by the number of speeding tickets issued recently.

Those people questioning the effect of traffic in the winter are spot-on in their thinking. There were at least a dozen vehicle incidents in the immediate area every winter due to the combination of weather, congestion and speed.

A stoplight or stop signs will make it worse as getting started on an icy road is difficult; with stopping nearly impossible. This will only add to the danger of this intersection.

With the proposed building in Black Hills Center and the additional 60 families coming to Eagle Ridge Apartments we must do something permanent to stop this influx of existing and additional traffic onto Enchantment Road.

It is my belief that the construction of a landscaped cul-de-sac or dead end at Stumer Rd. and Enchantment Rd. with respect to the existing residences would be the most favorable solution. It could even be developed into a park / recreation area for neighboring residents.

Respectfully,
Maren Ward
306 Stumer Rd.

From: Lronhorse22@aol.com [mailto:Lronhorse22@aol.com]

Sent: Sunday, April 25, 2010 2:35 PM

To: Brewer John; Brown Gary; steve.rollinger@rcgov.org; Collins Barb; Marchand Linda; Scull Andy; Zephier Diane M; Landguth Dennis; Braun Erik; Wyss Pat; Gregg Julie; doug.kinibaugh@rcgov.org; Elkins Marcia; Dominicak Bob; Hanks Alan; Martinson Patti; Weifenbach Ron; Kooiker Sam; Hadcock Deb; Waugh Bill; Olson Karen Gundersen; LaCroix Lloyd; Kroeger Ron; Chapman Malcom; Costello Aaron

Cc: tom.lessin@firstnationalbanks.com

Subject: Proposed WalMart on Stumer Road

Dear Mayor, Planning Commission Members, and Alderman -

My wife Tracy and I attended the meeting on April 8, 2010 at the City Council Meeting Room regarding the proposed WalMart site just down the street from where we live. While neither one of us spoke at the meeting we would like to make our opinions known. Whether or not people including ourselves knew about the proposed commercial development just a few blocks away is really immaterial. What is important is making proper decisions about maintaining property values the best that we can while still providing for growth and properly managed development.

I worked with John Brewer for many years at Rushmore State Bank/Rushmore Bank & Trust and we at one point traveled to Sioux Falls with others from Rapid City to observe development going on in the NW section of Sioux Falls where people were challenging low to moderate housing growth coupled with some small commercial growth. The model that they used to disburse some lower income housing amongst other properties and isolating the commercial development was pretty well thought out. Yet people were still crying out NIMBY (not in my back yard). I am not going to sit here like others and cry NIMBY! What I am going to ask is that all of you take the time to seriously consider whether or not this is the proper place for the future WalMart site and IF SO what are you going to do to make sure that this has the least impact on the local neighborhood and its property values. The local housing market has fared better than the national housing market to some extent but we are not totally insulated from the declines in values especially in certain housing demographics. If you had a \$350,000 to \$450,000 house would you want to have it located next to or near a WalMart Store and if you did not object what steps would you want to see taken to preserve your property values? I am not speaking without knowledge of the local housing market as I am the Real Estate Mortgage Manager at First National Bank and I deal with housing development/financing every day and know what the market values are and what they are doing and also what impacts them.

I don't know that WalMart can be stopped again from developing at the proposed site on Stumer although I would prefer to see it somewhere else and I think some of the previously proposed sites were better suited. My biggest concerns are probably two fold - traffic and after construction completion/development to blend in with the neighborhood. I will address the traffic issue first. IF WalMart goes in on Stumer I believe the **only traffic option that makes any sense** is to close off Stumer Road in the area just west of the Eagle Ridge apartments just prior to entering the housing neighborhood. I say this for two reasons actually. First it makes more sense to go down Fifth street to Stumer and then come over to WalMart thus reducing neighborhood traffic levels. Secondly the traffic to the apartments by the vast majority of the current apartment residents already travel through the housing area to get to the apartments and this is really unnecessary. I think that directing the apartment residents down Fifth Street to the apartments makes the most sense to reduce traffic in the neighborhood. I do not believe that blocking off Stumer in this location poses any kind of a safety hazard as there is currently plenty of access to the housing development via the existing roads off Catron and Fifth street via Enchanted Pines Drive. Plus then I won't have to go down to the end of the street and pick up dirty diapers dropped in the middle of the road in our neighborhood by the tenants of Eagle Ridge. (We have all heard about the crime and such associated with the apartment complex and if Bob Drew and Rich Evans think that having the apartments next to our well kept housing development is not intrusive then I highly encourage them to move right in next to the apartments). As for after construction completion I mention this because there are evidently different standards for completion of 1-4 family residences versus commercial property. I say this because there seems to be all sorts of procedures to make sure that housing development is

completed/finalized according to plans and city/county standards yet high dollar commercial projects seem to be able to change their plans submitted and or not complete them at all. Again and yes I am pointing to the development of Eagle Ridge. From everything I hear from the neighbors that abut right up to the apartments the original plans called for landscaping buffers, trees etc. between the housing and the apartments and from what I can tell by driving by nothing has ever been done other than putting in one retaining wall and unsightly black plastic construction barriers. Where are the trees? Where is there any buffering? Is this the same way that the City will follow through with the buffering between WalMart and the adjacent housing? If you're going to put commercial development next to housing at least make it appealing to the housing it adjoins.

While I know that my wife and I are just two voices opposing this we ask that you truly consider what is right for the local housing neighborhood/market and if it proceeds as planned will you stand up for what is right and what should be done to make this blend in with the neighborhood with as little intrusion as possible. I know many of you on a business level and have visited with you personally at different times about items affecting development in the Rapid City area and would be more than happy to visit with you about this very important decision. What would you do if this was next to your home/homes?

Thank you in advance for your consideration of this very important issue.

Sincerely,

Tom and Tracy Lessin

RECEIVED

APR 30 2010

Rapid City Growth
Management Department

April 28, 2010

The Honorable Alan Hanks
Mayor of Rapid City
Rapid City, SD 57701

Dear Mayor Hanks:

We are writing this letter to show our support for the proposed Walmart. The south side of Rapid City really needs shopping and we hope the proposed Walmart will become a reality in the near future.

We are residents of Ward 3-2.

Respectfully,

John E. McDonald
Shirley McDonald

John McDonald
Shirley McDonald
6714 Cog Hill Lane
Rapid City, SD 57702

~~Cc: Growth Management Department~~
City of Rapid City

From: Estes Ron
Sent: Fri 4/30/2010 3:44 PM
To: 'dwwray@rap.midco.net'; 'mward@rap.midco.net'
Cc: Allender Steve; Hofkamp Ed; Thrash Doug; Cady Deb
Subject: Seven Major Crimes near Stumer Rd / Enchantment Rd

Dan and Maren,

Attached is a map of the seven major crimes (i.e. Murder, Rape, Robbery, Aggravated Assault, Burglary, Theft / Larceny, and Motor Vehicle Theft) for 2009 for the area depicted in yellow centered on the intersection of Stumer Rd and Enchantment Rd.

For the year 2009, there were a total of 11 crimes reported (note: this doesn't include all the other kinds of crime that may or may not have occurred in the area such as vandalism, arson, kidnapping, trespassing, alcohol-related crimes, runaways, etc.):


Vehicle Burglaries=5
Aggravated Assault=1
Attempted Murder=1
1st Deg Burglary=1
Possession Drugs=1
Grand Theft=1
Rape=1

If you have any questions regarding this information, please contact me.

Ron Estes
Crime Analyst
Rapid City Police Department
300 Kansas City Street
Rapid City, SD 57701
Phone: (605) 355-3440
Fax: (605) 355-3069
Email: ron.estes@rcgov.org

"I asked my instructor how I could cut 10 strokes off my score, he told me to quit on hole 17" -
Arlene Powers

7 Major Crimes within 2/3 mile radius of Stumer Rd & Enchantment Rd



Crimes in Area for 2009 = 11

| | | |
|--------------------|---|---|
| Vehicle Burglary | 5 | █ |
| Aggravated Assault | 1 | |
| Attempted Murder | 1 | |
| 1st Deg Burglary | 1 | |
| Possession Drugs | 1 | |
| Grand Theft | 1 | |
| Rape | 1 | |

0 360 720 1,440 2,160 2,880 Feet

Prepared by Crime Analysis
on April 28, 2010

From: Lorraine Maxey [mailto:lorraine627@webtv.net]

Sent: Tuesday, May 04, 2010 11:26 PM

To: Fisher Vicki

Cc: patti.martinson@rcgov.org ron.weifenbach@rcgov.org karen.olson@rcgov.org

Subject: Wal-Mart

Vicki Fisher,

Please send this to whomever it concerns:

I find it difficult to comprehend why , as a decision making group, you would even consider the Stumer St site for a Wal-Mart.

The city has established an easily accessible route for ambulances to the hospital; it isn't easy, but residents in the Terracita side of 5th street, can access the medical facilities and hospital. Can you imagine what it will be like with the addition of shopper traffic? Why ruin one of the good things about our city? PLEASE consider the citizens who use 5th street daily for urgent purposes. Thank you.

Lorraine Maxey, resident on Sonora Dr.

To: gary.brown@rcgov.org; barb.collins@rcgov.org; linda.marchand@rcgov.org;
steve.rollinger@rcgov.org; andy.scull@rcgov.org; diane.zephier@rcgov.org;
dennis.landguth@rcgov.org; erik.braun@rcgov.org; john.brewer@rcgov.org;
pat.wyss@rcgov.org; julie.gregg@rcgov.org; doug.kinnibaugh@rcgov.org
Subject: Fwd: Walmart
Date: Thu, 13 May 2010 21:28:17 -0400
From: msdsnowbird@aol.com

Dear Rapid City Planning Commission,

I am a concerned resident of Rapid City and am very concerned about the proposition of putting in the new Walmart on the end of 5th street. I was an EMT for years and now live south of the hospital. I see all the traffic on 5th street already and with the medical facilities on 5th street, it will be impossible to use this street to get to the hospital if this does go thru. Just today Phillip went by with lights and siren. That means that it was a priority one and needed to get there ASAP.

Turning left off of Nebr, Texas or the street you come out of behind the hospital where the employees park is just about impossible now so what would it be if you added this to the congestion. You can and may have to put up more lights but that will just add to the dangers of bringing someone to the hospital with lights and sirens. It may not pertain to you at the present but someday it may be you or your loved one that would be taken to the hospital and every minute counts.

Also as I understand, there have been many variances made to make this fit the land. You can make anything work on paper but be realistic, this is not the area for a Walmart. There is sooooo much open space other than this that would be ideal for another Walmart, why does it have to be in a housing area?? In Cooledge Az, the Walmart is built out of town and hasn't hurt it at all. Wherever you build a Walmart, people will come.

Sure this is zoned commercial but nothing would be wrong with a bank or drugstore or other low keyed businesses.

Thank you for your time and do hope you will not let this Walmart be put at the end of 5th street.

Sincerely,
Marie Tucker
135 Nebr St
Rapid City, SD

From: Fred Weishaupl [mailto:fredandkaryl@rap.midco.net]

Sent: Wednesday, June 23, 2010 8:38 PM

To: Elkins Marcia; Hanks Alan; Chapman Malcom; LaCroix Lloyd; Waugh Bill; Olson Karen Gundersen; Weifenbach Ron; Martinson Patti; Costello Aaron; Kroeger Ron; Hadcock Deb; Kooiker Sam

Cc: rcy news; Emilie Rusch; KAT Country; KNBN - Kara Strickland; news@blackhillsfox.com; kotaradio@rushmore.com; kotanews@rushmore.com

Subject: Wal-Mart hearing before City Council

To Whom it May Concern:

Well it looks like all of the residents that attended the June 14, 2010 Planning Commission hearing were lied to. The last question that I asked the Planning Commission was "When would this item be heard before City Council?" Marcia Elkins replied that the earliest it could be would be at the July 6, 2010 City Council. Then it comes out in the newspaper that the Mayor has set the hearing for June 28, 2010. **Why the Change?** Well let me try to answer that. By moving the meeting into June it will allow for four LAME DUCK COUNCIL MEMBERS to be there and vote on the issue. It seems that this lame duck has reared its ugly head again. What about the citizens that left the June 14th hearing and made plans to attend the July 6th meeting and are out of town and is not aware of the change? Tough luck in right here in River City.

Why can't the City play by the rules that everyone else has to? It kind of like a Police State, its okay if you are one of the Police (hint: City Council is the Police).

In the mean time, I have some questions for City Council:

- **At the first Wal-Mart hearing @ Sammi's Trail and Highway 16, Wal-Mart was asked why they did not build at Fifth Street & Catron. Wal-Mart replied that they wanted to get 'all of the traffic coming out of Rapid City'. It was also rumored that 40 acres at Firth & Catron was offered to Wal-Mart at no cost. So what changed Wal-Mart's mind? Did the City offer more incentives to coerce Wal-Mart to locate @ Fifth & Catron, like say rebating some of the sales tax monies to them for a period of years? (Yes that is usually one of Wal-Mart's demands to build in a City)**
- **I personally concerned about all of the traffic that will be using Parkview Dr. for a short cut from Fairmont. It is a straight shot from Fairmont to Fifth with only 2 stop signs, one at Centennial and one at Minnesota. What will probably happen is that all of the shoppers north and east of Minnesota will in fact use Parkview Dr. to get to Fifth. And the is a playground and swimming pool located on Parkview Dr. just south of Minnesota, which will be a hazard to children on foot and on bicycles.**
- **The landscaping is totally inadequate for a 40' high building sitting out in the prairie, and the really odd thing is that Wal-Mart plans to add 7 - 10' of fill to the site where there big box is located - WHY?**
- **Pay close attention to the detention pond, it can and will be a temptation to children, the area needs to be adequately fenced along the Fifth Street side (not a split rail) to keep people from accidentally or purposely falling into the pond. And the landscaping has to at least double what the City would normally require.**

- **Traffic will be a constant problem around the Wal-Mart because their access at Stumer Rd. & Fifth Street is too close to Catron, at best a double left turn should be built for traffic northbound on Fifth to turn onto Stumer Rd. Traffic will also increase substantially along Fifth Street and there will need to be traffic signals placed along Fifth; but since it will be after Wal-Mart is built the citizens of Rapid City will have to foot the bill for any and all traffic signals. You may recall that a couple of years ago a young boy was hit by a car near Flormann on Fifth Street. Must we wait until someone gets killed or badly maimed before traffic signals are installed, that is the norm for most Cities & Counties. Lets plan for traffic signals where they are needed now and get Wal-Mart to participate in the cost since their building will be main cause of the increased traffic. I would suggest that a traffic signal be placed at Firth & Texas, it is a school crossing and the main entrance to West Hills Village. The northbound traffic on Fifth is coming up a hill and around a curve and usually going faster than the posted speed limit.**
- **I attended one of the meetings with their traffic engineer and their main emphasis was on one route being 1 - 1 1/2 minutes shorter. I contended that the length of time is not important (especially 1 1/2 minutes) but the ease of driving.**
- **Also with regard to traffic, the traffic circle at Stumer & Black Hills Dr. is so archaic it's actually comical. Traffic circles do not work well with older drivers, they tend to get frustrated and end up slowing down or even stopping to see where there are headed, and causing a fender bender. I would suggest that you spare the City the cost of eventually ripping it out and install a traffic signal from the git-go and require Wal-Mart to foot the bill.**
- **The Wal-Mart plans show that their main entrance is on the east end of the property, but in fact it will end up being the driveway on the west end of the property because the west driveway goes right in front of the building and thats where the cars will go. All patrons of Wal-Mart will eventually figure this out and use the west driveway exclusively.**

I may have repeated myself with items from my previous letters, **but I feel that this is not the right location for a really big box user**, it needs to be on Hwy 16 preferably south of Catron Blvd. However, having had conflicting views with this tax and spend council in the past I am sure that good old American greed will take over and the council will see nothing but dollar signs and will approve the project, probably unanimously, with the four lame ducks available to vote on the issue. **I WOULD ASK THE CITY COUNCIL TO DENY THE PROJECT AND DIRECT WAL-MART TO LOOK FOR A MORE SUITABLE LOCATION ALONG HWY 16 PREFERABLY SOUTH OF CATRON!!!!!!!!!!!!**

Now here is a piece of good news for the Mayor & City Council, I will not be at the meeting because the City will probably be limiting the public speakers to 3 minutes; the Council gives the developer any of amount of time they want and then expect a resident

10PD031-10RZ016-10RZ017-10RZ018-10RZ019-10CA005-10CA006-10CA007-10CA008

to have their say in 3 minutes. I know personally that a person cannot express one thought in 3 minutes so how in blazes does the City Council expect one to express multiple thoughts in 3 minutes.

Fred Weishaupl
2602 Springbrook Rd.
Rapid City, SD 57702
(605) 342-1928
fredandkaryl@rap.midco.net

From: R.L. WOLD [mailto:rlwold2@hotmail.com]

Sent: Sun 6/27/2010 7:41 PM

To: Martinson Patti; Weifenbach Ron; Kooiker Sam; Hadcock Deb; Olson Karen Gundersen; Waugh Bill; LaCroix Lloyd; Kroeger Ron; Chapman Malcom; Costello Aaron; Hanks Alan

Subject: CITY COUNCIL MEETING: 6/28/10

The Future Land Use Plan For Rapid City

Rapid City's Growth Management Plan (2008) states in part the following:

Objective/Policy: Maintain a commitment to neighborhoods by implementing appropriate policies that preserve and improve the quality and character of the community's established neighborhoods.

Objective/Policy: Protect stable neighborhoods to prevent encroachment by incompatible commercial and industrial uses and excessively high-density residential development.

Objective/Policy: Maintain suitable areas and buffers between low-density residential areas and more intensive nonresidential uses.

Objective/Policy: Encourage retail establishments and businesses that are convenient to, and serve neighborhood residents, yet are compatible with but not intrusive upon residential neighborhoods.

A Walmart Supercenter is scheduled to be constructed next to an existing neighborhood in South Robbinsdale. Looking at the information provided by the developers and the Planning Commission, how can anyone believe that a 24-hour mega retailer of this size will not violate all four of the above listed **Objectives/Policies?**

The evidence of this over-reaching proposed design is detailed in information provided by the developers and the Planning Commission. The Traffic Study predicts large volumes of vehicular traffic that require numerous infrastructure improvements to handle the projected loads. There are zoning variances granted for road widening, traffic lights, road access, etc. The sheer number and scope of these changes are an obvious indication that the proposed Walmart Supercenter is too large for the neighborhood.

Additionally, the proposed plan does not include other problem areas that will need help to deal with the increased traffic on 5th Street. Seniors from West Hills Village, veterans using the VA Clinic, and Regional Hospital emergency traffic, not to mention the employees and customers of the other businesses along 5th Street, will experience severe difficulty in safely accessing 5th Street, as will the neighborhood residents, who already experience delays in accessing 5th Street from the side streets.

The site acoustic study does not appear to address the projected noise properly. How can measuring noise levels at an empty site accurately project the noise levels that a Walmart Supercenter will generate? Why didn't someone measure the noise levels at the existing Walmart Supercenter on North LaCrosse Street? That would certainly have generated some real believable data on noise levels in the surrounding areas.

In view of these points, I am asking you, our City Council, to deem it inappropriate to build a business of this size and projected impact adjacent to residential areas. Nowhere in Rapid City is a 24-hour business located so near so many single-family homes.

It is entirely appropriate for City Council to limit the size of any commercial operation to the scale of the existing neighborhood. This includes limiting facility size and hours of operation, as well as lighting, noise, and signage. I encourage you to take the necessary steps to do so.

Thank you for you time and attention.

Richard Wold
320 Stumer Rd
Rapid City, SD 57701

From: RLWOLD [mailto:rwold@rushmore.com]

Sent: Sun 6/27/2010 7:24 PM

To: Hanks Alan; Martinson Patti; Weifenbach Ron; Kooiker Sam; Hadcock Deb; Olson Karen Gundersen; Waugh Bill

Cc: LaCroix Lloyd; Kroeger Ron; malcolm.chapman@rcgov.org; Costello Aaron

Subject: CITY COUNCIL MEETING 6/28/10

I will be presenting the following information at the special City Council meeting at 7:00 P.M. on Monday, June 28, 2010.

I am having a difficult time understanding Rapid City's approach to managing growth. At times it seems illogical to me.

In a front page June 25th *Journal* article, Mayor Hanks encouraged veterans to support the building of a VA nursing home on Highway 16. When I called Growth Management to find the exact location, I was told they hadn't heard of the project. Is this good planning when Growth Management isn't even aware that city land is being offered for development?

I am even more perplexed that Rapid City would consider placing a nursing home on Highway 16 with its roar of traffic and jingle of tourist dollars and at the same time consider placing a Walmart Supercenter with its crush of traffic and intrusive 24-hour lighting and noise into the quiet of a residential neighborhood, far from tourist routes. Wouldn't a nursing home in a residential setting and a Walmart Supercenter on a major commercial thoroughfare make much more sense? Wouldn't each building be much more compatible with such surroundings? I certainly think so.

While doing research, I found what could be a compromise position on the current Walmart proposal—a Walmart Neighborhood (note the name) Market open from 8:00 A.M. to 10:00 P.M. Walmartstores.com provides the following snapshot:

Neighborhood Markets offer a quick and convenient shopping experience for customers who need groceries, pharmaceuticals, and general merchandise . . .

First opened in 1998, there are now 181 Neighborhood Markets, each employing about 95 associates. A typical store is about 42,000 square feet.

Neighborhood Markets feature a wide variety of products, including

- Fresh produce
- Stationery and paper goods
- Condiments, spices
- Meat and dairy products
- Drive-through pharmacy
- Pet supplies
- Frozen foods
- Deli foods
- Household supplies
- Dry goods and staples
- Bakery items
- One-hour photo center
- Health and beauty aids
- Canned and packaged goods

At 42,000 square feet, the Neighborhood Market would be a much better fit logistically at the Stumer Road site and would not so devastatingly impair livability for so many hard-working, tax-paying Rapid City citizens. Reducing the size of the proposed Walmart would also allow room for a park with playground and bike path, something much needed in this neighborhood.

I hope the City Council will take a reasonable and measured approach to growth management, one that gives consideration to the existing neighborhood and its residents. Therefore, I am asking you either to move the Walmart Supercenter to a more appropriate location on a major commercial route or to reduce the Supercenter to a Neighborhood Market that would fit much more harmoniously at Stumer Road.

No matter how the Walmart issue is resolved, I ask the City Council to stipulate reduced hours, businesses open no earlier than 7:00 A. M. and closing no later than 11:00 P.M., for commercial

10PD031-10RZ016-10RZ017-10RZ018-10RZ019-10CA005-10CA006-10CA007-10CA008

development at the Stumer Road site. To do otherwise would place an incredible burden in terms of traffic, noise, and lighting on residents of this neighborhood.

Thank you giving consideration to my concerns.

Kathleen R. Wold
320 Stumer Rd.
Rapid City, SD 57701

Monday, June 28, 2010

Based on the information Mr. Green distributed on June 14, I ask that you reconsider the request for necessary rezoning and the site location for a second Wal-Mart.

I am in agreement, that Rapid City would benefit from a Wal-Mart on the south side, however **without adequate evidence** that a store of this magnitude can be successfully integrated into a neighborhood the caliber of Enchanted Hills I do NOT see it coordinating with Rapid City's long range development plan for the south side.

Upon request of examples and evidence to prove successful integration into our neighborhood, THF provided summaries of three different developments and their surrounding areas.

Based on the THF information provided these store examples are not viable comparisons. Also, sound levels should be obtained from the existing LaCrosse St. WalMart site in order to secure an accurate measurement with consideration added for the yet planned businesses in Black Hills Center.

I plan to speak to the Council and public this evening on **specific** issues from the THF site plan book. It is with these discrepancies in mind that I respectfully request a continuance giving time to educate **all** on the information Mr. Green provided. Until **we can be sure exactly** how a big box retail will affect our future growth plan and impact established areas, I think it would be wise to consider other available properties and possible coordination with the Rushmore Corridor.

Thank you for your time, Maren HansenWard

Maren Hansen Ward, 306 Stumer Rd.

After reviewing the information Mr. Green of THF Realty distributed on June 14, I ask that you, the City Council who represents Rapid City, to **reconsider the rezoning request and the site location for a second Walmart.**

I am in agreement, that Rapid City would benefit from a Walmart on the south side, however **without adequate evidence** that a store of this magnitude can be successfully integrated into a neighborhood the caliber of Enchanted Hills and Eastridge Estates I do NOT see it coordinating with Rapid City's long range development plan for South Robinsdale.

We requested evidence showing examples of successful integration into neighborhoods such as ours to which THF provided summaries of three different developments and their surrounding areas.

Upon reviewing these **examples** and based on the following **facts**, these **stores have proven not to be viable comparisons.** Also, because of their age, many of the reports hold no relevance to requested examples.

Chesterfield Commons located at 100 THF Blvd. Walmart opened 1999

>This Walmart is **Not open 24 hour** which brings to mind the question, **Can we limit the hours of a 2nd Walmart store in Rapid City?**

>The homes shown on the map are located across the highway and beyond what appears to be established, lush vegetation **NOT** within 1000 feet of established private residences as proposed here.

>Chesterfield Commons has **no direct access** to this residential neighborhood **nor is it visible** from these homes.

>The demographic report submitted is 10 years old and should not be

considered an accurate summary of the area.

>Average price and value of a home in Chesterfield is irrelevant. We are not addressing the **entire** city.

The Shops at Laura Hill located on Highway K in O'Fallon Missouri

>Listed example properties are **surrounding Target** not Walmart.

Remember Target is NOT open 24 hours daily.

> Although not shown on the maps provided by THF, I did look online and found that the **actual Walmart** store, to which 81,000 sq. feet was added in 2009, **is located a substantial distance further north** on Hwy. K and **does not have private residences near it.**

>These example properties have a market value of \$183,200 - \$232,250.

>Also, there is not direct access from The Shops at Laura Hill into the surrounding neighborhoods.

The Shops at Laura Hill are hardly comparable to the proposed development of Black Hills Center with Walmart as their "anchor store".

This brings me to our last comparison site located in Myrtle Beach SC, 1,500 miles away, on the Atlantic Ocean...

The Plaza at Gator Hole where Wal-Mart opened 2004 is another example store that is **not a 24 hour store.**

>This "High Visibility-Easy Access" development is surrounded on three sides by commercial properties.

> Although I fail to see the relevance of a 10 year old, 11 page demographic report or the significance of the real estate report for the **entire** city of Myrtle Beach I **did find Gator Hole to be the most "interesting" of the three development examples.**

In the THF publication they print "The user (of brochure) is advised to confirm all relevant information." So I took it upon myself to contact property owner Lucille (aka Minnie) Smith of 914 8th Ave. N

On Friday, June 25, 2010 I phoned her and explained the proposal made by Stroneridge LLC., Dream Designs, and THF Realty to locate a 24 hr. Walmart superstore in our neighborhood.

With her permission, I have quoted her and respectfully ask that you, Rapid City Council members **take this information under serious consideration** when deciding on the future of Rapid City **and before** locating Walmart in Black Hills Center rather than on **another site**.

>My first question to Ms. Smith was,

"Do you think the closeness of Walmart adds to or depreciates the value of your property?"

She replied "We have the beach that keeps our property value up, not Walmart. They could put in garbage dump and our home would keep its value."

>I then stated,"The developers have agreed to many accommodations to make Walmart a good fit."

To which she said, "They promise you everything, but don't follow through. They have been going to close the walkway by our house for years and it is still open"

>Ms. Smith was adamant...I quote "The traffic is the worst! Sometimes it becomes so congested, no one can get through." She brought up the traffic issue on three separate occasions!


> I asked her, "Did Wal-Mart provide adequate noise buffers?"

To which she loudly replied "NOISE! They collect the garbage at 4 in the morning. You hear that old dumpster CRASH every night."

As we concluded this enlightening conversation Minnie left me with this...

“You tell your council not to let them build it there. If I had a vote, I would vote against it across the street. Good Luck!”

With this quote and another plea to consider a different location for our second Walmart I end my presentation and thank you for your time.



Lillian Boes
13908 Thistle Ridge Rd.
Hermosa, SD 57744
Residence 605.255.5602
Cell 605.484.5687
Ltboes@aol.com

April 14, 2010

Dear Council Member Kooiker:

Please don't let the opportunity to get the second Wal-Mart in Rapid City slip by. I like shopping at Wal-Mart and I feel it would be a great neighbor, not only to the area it's proposing to be built on, but to the city of Rapid City.

With the growth of Rapid City, especially in the southern side of town, the need for comprehensive shopping is lacking considerably. Shopping is concentrated north of town. My husband and I own a business in southeast Rapid City and, invariably when I need something for the office, I usually end up driving to the north side of town (where I usually find what I need). And that side of town is where traffic congestion is common—most likely because others living in the south side of town are doing the same as me.

I still shop the downtown shops on a regular basis—because I like them too. They're specialty shops that are unique and shouldn't be compared with box stores.

I couldn't make it to the City Council meeting on Thursday, April 8, 2010, but if I was there I would have made the following points:

- Rapid City is proud to market itself as a great place for businesses; Wal-Mart is a business.
- High unemployment and creating more revenue are Rapid City concerns; why not allow Wal-Mart be a part of the answer?
- The property is zoned for commercial and signs have been on that property since it was zoned for that use.
- I don't know much about roads, but the Catron Blvd. and 5th St. area looks like it is one of the few large areas that is spacious and has a well-developed road area. Whenever I drive through the area I can tell it was developed to accommodate large amounts of traffic.
- The proposed building design and the plan to build buffers between the store and the homes are appealing and shows Wal-Mart's willingness to accommodate concerns expressed by the area and the City Council.
- Rapid City is growing in population. There's a large concentration of population in the southeast and south sides of town, yet major shopping isn't available in this part of town.
- I recall a city vote was taken a few years back and there was a majority that voted in favor of having a second Wal-Mart.
- The adjacent land is the landfill and that didn't affected Wal-Mart's decision to choose this location.

Wal-Mart is offering to do what they can to be a good neighbor, please approve their request to build on this site. Please, don't turn away Wal-Mart; this is a super opportunity for our area. Rapid City markets itself to be a great place for new businesses—Wal-Mart is a business that has exerted great efforts to comply with the wishes of Rapid City to establish a second Wal-Mart here. Wal-Mart will also stimulate our economy with more jobs and tax revenue for Rapid City. Please consider that there are many more individuals who really want to have a second Wal-Mart than not. *Please, don't let this opportunity slip away for our great city.*

Kindly consider all my comments as you make your decision. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Lillian Boes (and LuVerne Boes)