

**From:** Buck, Gregory A. [mailto:Gregory.Buck@sdsmt.edu]  
**Sent:** Monday, October 12, 2009 11:03 AM  
**To:** Bulman Karen  
**Subject:** Fence Height Exception - File Number 09FV012

Dear Ms. Bulman:

Thank you for the opportunity to voice my opinion regarding the fence height exception requested in file number 09FV012 by Mr. Bret Swanson for his property located at 1116 11<sup>th</sup> Street, which adjoins my property at 1130 11<sup>th</sup> Street. As I will be unable to attend the informal discussion on October 13, or the subsequent formal hearing, I would like to offer these written comments for consideration in the discussion/decision.

Because of the safety issues involved in backing vehicles out of the driveway, I would like to request that the requirement for a sight triangle be preserved for the front part of this fence. Behind this sight triangle, however, I would have no objection to granting of the height exception, and would welcome an even higher fence as it would support the need for privacy (ostensibly Mr. Swanson's reason for constructing the fence), which for numerous reasons that need not be detailed here, is a sentiment that I share and have long and vigorously sought.

I would also like to add that I have always maintained a laissez-faire attitude with regard to my neighbors and the record will reflect that I have never filed a complaint with the City, despite a similar lack of consideration extended to me. In all instances of these grievances, disputable and otherwise, I have acted in good faith, in the interest of neighborly accord and acquiesced to the concerns of my neighbors. I sincerely hope that my support for granting the fence height exception behind the sight triangle, will finally put an end to any future need for disingenuous objection and tenuous allegation from my neighbors, so that a harmonious, complaint-free coexistence can prevail.

Sincerely,  
Greg Buck