### CITY OF RAPID CITY CONSTRUCTION CHANGE ORDER #1 FINAL STREET LIGHT BREAKAWAY COUPLING REPLACEMENT PROJECT NO. SL08-1768 / CIP NO. 50747

### JANUARY 22, 2009

## ORIGINAL CONTRACT AMOUNT: \$30,163.30 CONTRACTOR: CONRAD'S BIG C ELECTRIC, INC.

PW021009-11

LINE		UNIT	CURRENT CONTRACT QUANTITY	UNIT PRICE	EXTENDED PRICE	ADJUSTED QUANTITY	ADJUSTED PRICE	NET CHANGE
1	MOBILIZATION	LS	1.00	\$1,278.00	\$1,278.00	1.00	\$1,278.00	\$0.00
2	TRAFFIC CONTROL	LS	1.00	\$885.00	\$885.00	1.00	\$885.00	\$0.00
3	REPLACE BREAKAWAY COUPLING	EACH	109.00	\$181.00	\$19,729.00	108.00	\$19,548.00	(\$181.00)
4	INSTALL BREAKAWAY FUSEHOLDER	EACH	79.00	\$95.70	\$7,560.30	79.00	\$7,560.30	\$0.00
5	FUSE	EACH	79.00		\$711.00	10.00	\$711.00	\$0.00
	TOTAL				\$30,163.30		\$29,982.30	(\$181.00)

C.C.O. HISTORY INCREASE/(DECREASE)		PRIOR ADJUSTED CONTRACT PRICE:	\$30,163.30
CCO #1	(\$181.00)	NET INCREASE/(DECREASE):	(\$181.00
	, , ,	ADJUSTED CONTRACT PRICE:	\$29,982.30
		CHANGE TO DATE:	(\$181.00

Class Flor P	2-2-09
CONTRACTOR: CONRADS BIG "C" ELECTRIC, INC.; 1750 East North Street; Rapid City, SD 57701	DATE
An Aw	23JAN 2009
PROJECT MANAGER: John Less,	DATE
CITY ENGINEER: DALE TECH	DATE
MAYOR: ALAN HANKS	DATE
FINANCE: JAMES PRESTON	DATE
CONTRACT TIME CHANGE +/-: 0 NEW CONTRACT TIME: Days	

City Staff recommends approval of this Change Order #1 Final to Conrads Bid "C" Electric, Inc. for a decrease of \$181.00 based on unit pricing with the following appropriation:

\$30,163.30				\$30,163.30
Repair and Maintenance				=
0108				
4253				
0101		-		
(\$181.00)				(\$181.00)
\$29,982.30	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$29,982.30
	Repair and Maintenance 0108 4253 0101 (\$181.00)			

# CITY OF RAPID CITY CONSTRUCTION CHANGE ORDER #1 FINAL STREET LIGHT BREAKAWAY COUPLING REPLACEMENT PROJECT NO. SL08-1768 / CIP NO. 50747

#### **JANUARY 22, 2009**

ORIGINAL CONTRACT AMOUNT: \$30,163.30 CONTRACTOR: CONRAD'S BIG C ELECTRIC, INC.

LINE		
ITEM	DESCRIPTION	REASON FOR CHANGE
3	REPLACE BREAKAWAY COUPLING	Pole #13 on South Dakota Highway 44 fell over and had to be replaced using a different contractor due to the work being beyond the
		scope and unit items of this contract.

PREPARED BY: John Less

DATE: January 22, 2009