(Fiscal Years 2008-2012)

Prepared By:

Rapid City Transportation Planning Division

In Cooperation With:

Rapid City Public Works Department
Pennington County Highway Department
Meade County Highway Department
City of Box Elder
South Dakota Department of Transportation
Federal Highway Administration
Federal Transit Administration

Preparation of this document has been financed in part with Metropolitan Planning funds from the Federal Highway Administration

> Final Report August, 2007

RAPID CITY AREA TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

(Fiscal Years 2008 - 2012)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		<u>Page</u>
Abbre	eviations Used in this Document	iii
Metro	opolitan Transportation Planning Process Certification	v
l.	INTRODUCTION	
	A. Transportation Improvement Program	1
	B. Transportation Improvement Program in Perspective	2
II.	IDENTIFYING, EVALUATING AND SELECTING CANDIDATE PROJECTS	
	A. Project Selection and Prioritization	2
	B. Financial Constraint	3
III.	FUGITIVE DUST CONTROL	5
IV.	RECOMMENDED PROJECTS AND PROGRAMS	8
V.	LIST OF PROJECTS	9
	RAPID CITY REGIONAL AIRPORT FIVE-YEAR CIP PROGRAM	10
	PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS Rapid City Public Transportation	11
	MEADE COUNTY 2008 CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM	12
	PENNINGTON COUNTY FIVE-YEAR CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM 2008-2012 Project List	13 13
	RAPID CITY CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PROGRAM 2008-2012 Capital Improvements Program Project List	

V. LIST OF PROJECTS (con't)

PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS	
Public Transportation - Private Non-Profit Org	16
CTREET AND LUCUIMAY PROJECTS	
STREET AND HIGHWAY PROJECTS	
Interstate Maintenance Projects	
Major Arterial Construction/Reconstruction Projects	18
Major Arterial Resurfacing Projects	18
Bridge Replacement Projects	
Railroad Crossing Improvement Projects	19
Urban Systems Projects (STP)	19
Roadway Safety Improvement Projects	
County Secondary and Off Systems Projects	
Local Bridge Replacement Projects	
Special Projects	22
Transportation Enhancement Projects	
Pavement Preservation Projects	
Map of Street and Highway Projects	

RAPID CITY AREA TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENTS PROGRAM

(Fiscal Years 2008 - 2012)

- ABBREVIATIONS USED IN THIS DOCUMENT -

3-R Relates to either the interstate maintenance project funding category or the state system structure funding category (Resurfacing, Restoration and

Rehabilitation) provided by the DOT under the terms of the ISTEA of 1991.

ADA Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990. Mandates changes in building codes,

transportation, and hiring practices to prevent discrimination against persons with disabilities. This act affects all existing and new public places, conveyances, and employers. The significance of ADA in transportation will be most obvious in transit operations, capital improvements, and hiring practices.

CAAA Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990

C & G Curb and Gutter

CIP Capital Improvement Plan

CY Calendar Year

DM&E Dakota Minnesota and Eastern Railroad

DOT United States Department of Transportation

EPA United States Environmental Protection Agency

FAUS Federal-Aid Urban Systems Funds. Designated Federal-Aid routes within

urban areas (5,000 or more population). Projects and priorities are established by each urban area. FAUS projects were funded at approximately 78% federal

and 22% state. FAUS funds were replaced by STP funds under ISTEA.

FHWA Federal Highway Administration

FTA Federal Transit Administration

FY Fiscal Year

ISTEA Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991

MPO Metropolitan Planning Organization

NHS National Highway System

PCCP Portland Cement Concrete Pavement

ABBREVIATIONS USED IN THIS DOCUMENT (Cont.)

PL Metropolitan Planning Funds. Highway Trust Funds which have been set

aside for transportation planning activities in Urbanized Areas. Funding is on

an 81.95% - 18.05% federal/local basis.

RACT Reasonable Available Control Technologies which have been established by

the EPA.

RCATPP Rapid City Area Transportation Planning Process. The local cooperative

transportation planning program.

ROW Right-Of-Way

SAFETEA-LU Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for

Users. This five year highway bill was approved in August of 2005 and authorizes the Federal surface transportation programs for highways, highway safety, and transit for the 5-year period 2005-2009 and represents the largest

surface transportation investment in our Nation's history.

SEC 5307 Federal Program for capital improvements, i.e. terminals, shelters, mechanical

equipment other than buses, computers, office equipment, etc. These funds, formerly known as Section 9 funds, have been available since FY 1984 through the Urban Mass Transportation Act of 1964 as amended by the Federal Transit Act of 1991. They provide resources for planning, capital and operating assistance. The match on planning and capital is 80% federal and

20% local; while the operating subsidy is 50% federal and 50% local.

SEC 5310 These funds, formerly known as Section 16 funds, are available through the

Urban Mass Transportation Act of 1964 as amended. This authorizes capital grants to non-profit organizations to assist in providing transportation for the elderly and the handicapped. FTA provides 80% of the costs for equipment,

and the 20% match must come from other than federal funds.

SDDOT South Dakota Department of Transportation

STIP State Transportation Improvement Program

STP Surface Transportation Program

TIP Transportation Improvement Program

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION PLANNING PROCESS SELF-CERTIFICATION STATEMENT

In accordance with 23 CFR 450.334, the South Dakota Department of Transportation and the Rapid City Area Metropolitan Planning Organization for the Rapid City, South Dakota urbanized area hereby certify that the transportation planning process is addressing the major issues in the metropolitan planning area and is being conducted in accordance with all applicable requirements of:

- (1) 23 U.S.C. 134, 49 U.S.C. 5303, and this subpart;
- (2) Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended (42 U.S.C. 2000d-1) and 49 CFR part 21;
- (3) 49 U.S.C. 5332, prohibiting discrimination on the basis of race, color, creed, national origin, sex, or age in employment or business opportunity;
- (4) Section 1101(b) of the SAFETEA-LU (Pub. L. 109-59) and 49 CFR part 26 regarding the involvement of disadvantaged business enterprises in USDOT funded projects;
- (5) 23 CFR part 230, regarding the implementation of an equal employment opportunity program on Federal and Federal-aid highway construction contracts;
- (6) The provisions of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (42 U.S.C. 12101 et seq.) and 49 CFR parts 27, 37, and 38;
- (7) The Older Americans Act, as amended (42 U.S.C. 6101), prohibiting discrimination on the basis of age in programs or activities receiving Federal financial assistance;
- (8) Section 324 of title 23 U.S.C. regarding the prohibition of discrimination based on gender; and
- (9) Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (29 U.S.C. 794) and 49 CFR part 27 regarding discrimination against individuals with disabilities.

Rapid City, South Dakota MPO	South Dakota Department of Transportation
Metropolitan Planning Organization	State Department of Transportation
Cevila O Kujus Signature	Signature S
Chairman Title	acting Secretary
6-14-07 Date	6/28/07 Date

RAPID CITY AREA TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

(Fiscal Years 2008 - 2012)

I. INTRODUCTION

A. <u>The Transportation Improvement Program</u>

A Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) is a staged, multi-year program of transportation improvements including highway and transit projects. The TIP is a five (5) year priority list, including a financial plan. The Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) and the State Department of Transportation (SDDOT) cooperate in project selection. All projects funded by the Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users (SAFETEA-LU) must be included in the TIP.

The TIP should contain at least the following basic elements:

- 1. Identification of the project;
- 2. Estimated total cost and amount of federal funds proposed to be obligated during the program period;
- 3. Proposed source of federal and non-federal matching funds;
- 4. Identification of the recipient and, state and local agencies responsible for carrying-out the project;
- 5. A priority list of projects and project segments; and,
- 6. A financial plan.

The TIP is a "living" document. It can be amended with the approval of the Executive Policy Committee and Technical Coordinating Committee. The TIP focuses on projects that will require five (5) or less years to implement. Within the first three (3) years of the TIP, projects may be delayed or accelerated according to present needs, without requiring an amendment. This flexibility provides coordination among local and state agencies, saves money and decreases disruptions to the transportation system. The TIP is evaluated at year-end, and an annual increment of improvements is added to maintain a full multi-year program.

The TIP does not constitute an appropriation of funds, nor does it replace the normal funding program. The TIP is intended to serve as a fiscal management tool to assist state and local agencies in matching needs with resources. All projects eligible for placement in the TIP must be selected from an approved Long Range Transportation Plan.

In developing the program, the MPO shall provide citizens, affected public agencies, representatives of transportation agency employees, other affected employee representatives, private transportation providers, and other interested parties a reasonable opportunity to comment on the proposed program. Because public involvement is a very important component of the TIP process, the public is given several opportunities to comment. The TIP is brought before the Rapid City Planning Commission, the Rapid City Council, and the Metropolitan Planning Organization committees. Public notices are printed in the local newspaper for all of the above meetings, and special public meeting notices are printed specifically for review of the TIP before the Metropolitan Planning Organization committees. The public is given the opportunity to comment in person at the meetings or submit comments during a specified

comment period. Responses are made in reply to any comment received, and significant comments are discussed between the Staff involved in the TIP process and ultimately the MPO committees for further discussion as identified in 23 CFR 416 (a)(2).

B. The Transportation Improvement Program In Perspective

SAFETEA-LU projects in urbanized areas must be included in a TIP which is based on a continuing, comprehensive planning process carried on cooperatively by the state and local communities. The rationale for requiring a TIP can be summarized in three (3) key points.

- 1. Transportation issues should be approached in a comprehensive fashion with participation from all affected parties;
- 2. A systematic, comprehensive approach to planning and initiating transportation improvements assists decision-makers in determining the location, timing and financing of needed improvements; and,
- 3. A cooperatively developed program of transportation improvements should facilitate the coordination of public and private improvements thereby eliminating duplication of effort and expense. The TIP development provides local officials and the general public the opportunity to identify, evaluate, and select shortrange community transportation improvements.

The Rapid City Area TIP includes all identifiable transportation related improvement projects that may be undertaken in the planning area over the next five (5) years. Emphasis has been on area needs stated in the Long Range Transportation Plan. The guiding principle used in developing the Rapid City Area TIP was that: "the document should be a comprehensive transportation planning and fiscal management tool designed to assist state and local officials in the task of matching needed transportation improvements with available resources to accomplish the community's transportation goals as efficiently and effectively as possible".

II. IDENTIFYING, EVALUATING AND SELECTING CANDIDATE PROJECTS

A. Project Selection And Prioritization

Candidate improvement projects were identified by the appropriate local and state staffs with input from elected officials, private transportation carriers, and the Citizen's Advisory Committee. This input was utilized in developing a Long Range Transportation Plan. Only projects identified in the approved Long Range Transportation Plan are selected as potential TIP projects.

The evaluation of candidate improvement projects and the selection of those to be included in the FY 2008-2012 TIP was based on the following considerations:

1. Prioritization of Projects: Candidate projects are prioritized to assess the relative importance of the projects, and to determine the appropriate year for project initiation. Consideration was given to compatibility with adopted community goals and objectives. Priority was given to those projects and programs, which have been documented as needs in recently completed transportation plans or studies.

- 2. Economic Feasibility of Project: This phase of the process consisted of an evaluation of the cost of each project relative to the community's "total" transportation needs and resources. The financial plan demonstrates what funding source will be utilized, and ensures adequate fund allocation to secure all selected projects.
- 3. Other considerations: These considerations included a subjective assessment of the potential environmental, social and energy related impacts of the candidate projects. Such concerns or impacts have been documented in the Long Range Transportation Plan. Finally, state projects were examined so that local projects could be coordinated.

In terms of selecting a project for construction, SAFETEA-LU provides additional flexibility within the period of the first four (4) years. Any projects identified within the initial four (4) year period may be accelerated or moved back based on current funds, needs or priorities. If a newly identified project is to be considered for placement in the TIP, then it must be presented to the transportation planning committees for approval. If approved, an amendment is then placed on the existing TIP to identify the new project.

B. <u>Financial Constraint</u>

SAFETEA-LU requires that Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) Transportation Improvement Program be financially constrained and include a financial plan which demonstrates that funding is available for programmed projects. The Rapid City Area Transportation Improvement Program has been developed to meet this requirement, and outlines the available funding in the respective project categories.

All projects sponsored by the City of Rapid City are excerpts from the City's Capital Improvement Program (CIP). The CIP is a five-year plan for construction and infrastructure improvements and a committee develops and administers the plan. The five-year plan is revised and updated annually. Streets and Drainage, Government Buildings and the Parks and Recreation Subcommittees submit their requested five-year plan to the CIP Committee. The Committee reviews the requests and formulates the five-year plan based on available funding and priority. The plan is then presented to the Mayor, Planning Commission and City Council for approval. The City of Rapid City Capital Improvements Projects as they relate to transportation are found on Pages 14-15.

The following funding sources have been identified for funding street projects.

- 1. **Assessments** Cost recoveries levied against real property based upon the cost of improvements made by the city.
- 2. **Bond funds** Funds derived from the issuance of general obligation or revenue bonds by the City. These bonds constitute an obligation of the city to repay principal and interest over a specified number of years from general or other revenues of the City.
- 3. **Enterprise Funds** Cost recoveries from user fees or surcharges against real property based upon the cost of improvement by the City. These costs are charged within a specific enterprise fund (water, wastewater, landfill, etc.).
- 4. **Federal Funds** Grants or loans from the federal government which are required to be used for specific purposes or projects.

- 5. **General Fund** The fund used to account for all financial resources, except those required to be accounted for in another fund. The City's general fund accounts for revenues and expenditures of general property taxes, first penny sales tax, licenses and permits, etc.
- 6. **Other Funds** Special revenue or trust funds that account for revenues restricted for specific purposes.
- 7. **State Funds** Grants or loans from the State of South Dakota for specific purposes or projects.
- 8. **Sales Tax (2nd Penny)** An additional one percent tax levied on gross receipts of retail business and service within the City's jurisdiction that may be used for specific purposes, primarily capital improvement projects and debt retirement.
- 9. **Tax Increment Financing** Financing used to fund public investments in an area by capturing, for a time, all of the increased property tax revenue that results when public investment stimulates private investment.
- 10. **Infrastructure Development Partnership Fund** Financing used to fund public improvements, including sewer and water system improvements, storm drainage improvements, street construction or street improvements and other public improvements.

Projects programmed for the upcoming year (2008) will be adopted as a part of the City budget. Projects scheduled for subsequent years (2009-2012) are tentatively programmed for implementation in those respective years. All projects beyond the current year are subject to annual review.

Fund	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	Total
Streets (8910)	\$3,686,313	\$3,836,766	\$3,978,236	\$4,140,967	\$4,310,205	\$19,952,487
Drainage (8911)	\$2,432,542	\$2,532,844	\$2,652,158	\$2,760,644	\$2,873,470	\$13,251,658
Misc Improvements (8913)	\$150,000	\$150,000	\$150,000	\$150,000	\$150,000	\$750,000
STP (Urban Systems)	\$1,500,000	\$1,500,000	\$1,500,000	\$1,500,000	\$1,500,000	\$7,500,000
South Dakota DOT	\$19,925,000	\$10,149,000	\$11,572,000	\$17,815,000	\$20,444,000	\$79,905,000
Total	\$27,693,855	\$18,168,610	\$19,852,394	\$26,366,611	\$29,277,675	\$121,359,145

Figure 1 – City of Rapid City Projected Funding Sources

Local funding will be provided by developer contributions, Tax Increment Financing and other local sources. The projected annual funding sources for the City of Rapid City's Capital Improvements Plan identified in the 2008-2012 TIP (pages 14-15) are listed above. Adequate funds have been committed to fund the City's local match for transportation projects.

Figure 2 below identifies the transportation expenditures within Rapid City, including both MPO TIP projects and the City's CIP projects. A comparison between Figure 1 and Figure 2 identifies an adequate funding level for the transportation projects within the City's total Capital Improvements Program.

Figure 2 – MPO TIP and City of Rapid City CIP 2008-2012 Transportation Expenditures

Year	MPO TIP Projects	City of Rapid City CIP Projects	Proposed Transportation Expenditures
2008	\$19,925,000	\$5,377,000	\$25,302,000
2009	\$10,149,000	\$7,865,000	\$18,014,000
2010	\$11,572,000	\$7,043,400	\$18,154,400
2011	\$17,815,000	\$6,981,800	\$24,796,800
2012	\$20,444,000	\$7,480,300	\$27,924,300

Pennington County presently receives funding from the following sources: Intergovernmental Revenue, Charges for Goods and Services, and Miscellaneous Revenue. Pennington County has committed funds to those County Secondary and Off System Projects (SDDOT) listed within this TIP. The Pennington County Five-Year Construction Program for 2008-2012 and 2013-2014 are included on page 13.

Meade County presently receives funding from the following sources: Intergovernmental Revenue, Charges for Goods and Services, and Miscellaneous Revenue. The Meade County 2008 Construction Program is included on page 12.

III. FUGITIVE DUST CONTROL

The Clean Air Act Amendments (CAAA) of 1990 were signed into law November 15, 1990. These amendments established guidelines calling for substantial compliance and adoption of Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT) which are designed to improve air quality, including air quality related to transportation. Rapid City Municipal Code chapters 8.34-8.44 and Pennington County Air Quality Ordinance #12, Revised, are recognized as the local air quality improvement guidelines. Additionally, ARSD 74:36:18 regulate state facilities within the Rapid City area.

The Air Quality Control Zone is defined in Ordinance #12 Revised as: "The geographical portion of Pennington County, South Dakota, that encompasses the northwest corner of Section 15, Township 2N, Range 6E to the northeast corner of Section 14, Township 2N, Range 8E, to the southeast corner of Section 35, Township 1N, Range 8E to the southwest corner of Section 34, Township 1N, Range 6E, to the northwest corner of Section 15, Township 2N, Range 6E and those portions of Sections 10, 11 and 12 of Township 2N, Range 6E, Sections 7, 8, 9, 10, 11 and 12 of Township 2N, Range 7E, Sections 7, 8, 9, 10 and 11 of Township 2N, Range 8E lying within Pennington County and subject to the jurisdiction of the Board of Commissioners of Pennington County, South Dakota, excluding that portion located within the city limits of Rapid City." Rapid City Municipal Code chapters 8.34-8.44 address air quality issues within the city limits of Rapid City. ARSD 74:36:18 addresses air quality issues at state facilities within the Air Quality Control Zone.

This TIP has been developed to address air quality issues and projects. The Rapid City Metropolitan Transportation Planning Process incorporates several local government agencies

and each has instituted methods or procedures designed to reduce transportation generated fugitive dust.

The purpose of the RACT is to focus on preventive measures rather than mitigation measures; in other words, preventing the problem instead of having to fix the problem later. The following Control Measures, as recommended by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), are included in the local air quality ordinances:

- 1. Pave, vegetate, or chemically stabilize access points where unpaved traffic surfaces adjoin paved roads.
- 2. Require dust control plans for construction or land clearing projects.
- Require haul trucks to be covered.
- 4. Provide for traffic rerouting or rapid clean up of temporary (and not readily preventable) sources of dust on paved roads (water erosion runoff, mud/dirt carryout areas, material spills, and skid control sand). Delineate who is responsible for cleanup.
- 5. Require improved material specification for and reduction of usage of skid control sand or salt (e.g., require use of coarse, nonfriable material during snow and ice season).
- 6. Require dust control measures for material storage piles.
- 7. Provide for storm water drainage to prevent water erosion onto paved roads.
- 8. Require revegetation, chemical stabilization, or other abatement of wind erodible soil, including lands subjected to mining, abandoned farms, abandoned construction sites and vacant lots.

In March of 2001, the City of Rapid City submitted an updated Fugitive Dust Control Plan to the Rapid City Area Air Quality Board. The Board approved the plan and will be updated in the Spring of 2004. This plan identifies sources of fugitive dust under City control and presented recommendations for controlling particulate emissions.

The City has been very aggressive in its approach towards improving air quality. This approach has been implemented through stringent paving requirements, the refinement of Public Works operations, monitoring the Street Department's day to day operation, purchasing the latest control technology equipment, and amending the City Ordinance relating to the paving of private parking and circulation.

Since the original adoption of the Fugitive Dust Control Plan in 1980, only 32 miles of unpaved streets remain. Most of the paving funds have come from contributions from developers and individuals participating in the "Out of the Dust" program. These projects are designed to improve unpaved roads or alleys. "Out of the Dust" projects are funded with a forty-percent contribution from the City 1/2 Cent Sales Tax Fund dedicated to roadway improvements, and a sixty- percent contribution from adjacent landowners. These projects are typically initiated by a request or petition from a landowner. Thus, programming future projects may be difficult since project requests, surveying, cost estimates and actual construction may all be done in one year.

In early 1992 an alley inventory was completed so that a prioritization could be established concerning the paving of alleys. At that time, approximately eighty percent of the 32.5 miles of alleys in the City were not paved. Since the 1992 alley inventory, an additional 8.55 miles of alleys have been paved, reducing the number of unpaved alleys to approximately fifty percent. Due to the limited funding available, most of the emphasis on alley paving has been in high

traffic commercial and industrial areas. Future alley paving projects will be programmed as funding becomes available.

During the spring seasons of 1993 and 1994, Rapid City Transportation Planning Staff completed a survey of unpaved parking lots in the downtown core area. This information was used to determine the overall acreage of unpaved parking areas, acreage of unpaved parking, landowners, present use, and the combined contribution the lots make in creating fugitive dust. The Rapid City Council will also have this information at their disposal to use as a guide for revising the existing paving requirements and for finding means to pave existing unpaved parking areas that are exempt from paving requirements.

Concerning new streets, the City of Rapid City Subdivision Ordinance requires that newly platted private streets be designed and built to City standards. These standards require a minimum paving design of five inches of asphalt on a base, which increases relative to the projected traffic on the street. The City standards mandate that all contractors disturbing more than one acre of natural or existing surface area apply to the Rapid City Air Quality Division for a construction permit. Facility design is approved at the preliminary plat stage. Prior to final plat approval, the improvements must be implemented per City specifications or a performance bond must be posted.

The City Street Department operations have made several changes to positively affect the air quality. Snow removal procedures, and traction and deicing material application procedures follow the same general guidelines. These guidelines have been established to increase worker awareness to resourcefulness, air quality, and practical operating procedures.

In 1993, new specifications were written for deicing material, reducing the amount of calcite content by 50% to a maximum content of 25%. The City continues to use river sand (which meets the maximum calcite content of 25%) combined with approximately 20% salt and 90 gallons of magnesium chloride per nine cubic yard load for most deicing operations. The City originally began using the liquid deicer magnesium chloride (also called Magnesium Water or identified by its chemical name as MgCl2) in the downtown core area. This product performs very well on ice to one-quarter inch thick. On ice buildup or packed snow, a combination of magnesium chloride and salt will successfully melt through the buildup.

Experimentation with magnesium chloride has led to the following successful application methods:

- 1) Straight -- The solution is sprayed or distributed from a truck mounted tank.
- 2) Salt/Magnesium Chloride Combination -- Depending on the size of the truck, 45 to 90 gallons of Magnesium Water is added to the top of a load of straight salt.
- 3) Sand/Salt/Magnesium Chloride Combination -- Depending on the size of the truck, 45 to 90 gallons of Magnesium Water is added to the top of a load of a sand/salt mixture.

Further experimentation with magnesium chloride during various snowfall and icing events will help determine the most effective use of this material. When the most effective means of use is determined and additional application equipment is purchased, the application of this product will be extended to other key streets.

In the downtown core area and on principal arterials sand use has been discontinued; however, during periods of heavier snow pack some sand may be required as determined by the Director of Public Works. The amount of sand used per event has been significantly reduced on all City

streets. Sand is reapplied less frequently, and any new application is based upon traffic safety conditions in specific areas. Sweeping is conducted between sandy events when the temperatures are high enough to stay freezing.

The downtown streets and arterial streets are swept every other week and the downtown streets are water flushed once per month. However, Omaha Street, West Chicago Street and Deadwood Avenue are swept every week and Omaha Street is water flushed once per month due to the heavy truck traffic on these streets. The collectors and local streets are swept approximately every four to six weeks. Regenerative air vacuum sweepers and/or flushing trucks are used on arterial routes and major collector streets every three to four weeks, however, Omaha Street is flushed once per month. A mix of mechanical, vacuum, and regenerative air vacuum sweepers continue to work the residential streets with a circuit of the City being completed every six to eight weeks, depending on the amount of material on the streets and weather conditions.

Fugitive dust sources at the landfill are being controlled through the use of dust suppressants on temporary haul roads, at the working face and on gravel access roads. Gravel access roads are being paved as time and money permit. Trackout is limited through fifty foot sections of three inch gravel pads to knock muddy materials off wheels between the working face and the main access roads. Wind erosion is minimized through temporary surface application of yard waste grindings and compost on disturbed areas. This year include development of a reclamation plan for the site. Other fugitive dust emitting activities such as grinding and compost turning is curtailed during periods of high wind.

Utility maintenance has established a temporary drying bed for soil from water line breaks to minimize trackout from their shop area. Future plans include an engineered drying bed. Decanting water from trucks into water utility trenches and the use of filter fabric near tailgates limits muddy spillage onto roads which dries and produces fugitive dust. Dump truck loads of drysoil are covered with tarps to control fugitive dust during transportation.

The City of Rapid City understands the importance of air quality and has attempted to promote City ordinances and standards which further improve the air quality. Policies, which previously allowed development without the paving of all circulation and parking areas, have been replaced with tougher policies to ensure that all of the City's controls are directed towards improving rather than deteriorating the existing air quality.

In April 2006, the Environmental Protection Agency declared the Rapid City Air Quality Control Area as being in compliance with the Federal Requirements.

This TIP provides a means of monitoring and implementing projects, which will assist in alleviating air quality concerns. Dedicated paving improvements funds are continually programmed as long as there is an existing need.

IV. RECOMMENDED PROJECTS AND PROGRAMS

A listing of projects, programs, and funding sources during Fiscal Years 2008 – 2012 follows. The projects are listed in order of priority as designated by private citizens, the Citizen's Advisory Committee, the Technical Coordinating Committee, the Executive Policy Committee, Planning Staff, and the South Dakota Department of Transportation (SDDOT). The recommended projects and programs have been grouped into "System or Functional Element" categories.

The Rapid City Area Transportation Planning Organization and Rapid City Area Air Quality Board affirm that the projects identified in the Transportation Improvement Program will not cause or contribute to violations, increase the severity and frequency of existing violations, or delay any progress towards improving the air quality.

V. LIST OF PROJECTS

<u>!</u>	Page
RAPID CITY REGIONAL AIRPORT FIVE-YEAR CIP PROGRAM	10
PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS Rapid City Public Transportation	11
MEADE COUNTY 2008 CONSTRUCTION	12
PENNINGTON COUNTY FIVE-YEAR CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM 2008-2012 Project List	
RAPID CITY FIVE-YEAR CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM 2008-2012 Capital Improvements Program Project List	14
PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS Public Transportation - Private Non-Profit Org	16
STREET AND HIGHWAY PROJECTS Interstate Maintenance Projects	18 18 19 19 21 21 21 22

Airport Name: Rapid City Regional NPIAS No.: 46-0048
Associated City: Rapid City, SD Local Ident: RAP

County Name: Pennington

						_	CIP					N	PIAS	
ODO	Project	FAA Priority		2007			2008		2009			1 to 5 years	6 to 10 years	
	-	-	Entitlement	SA	Disc	Entitlement	SA	Disc	Entitlement	SA	Disc	,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
General Aviation	Security & Lighting		850,000											
	Upgrades													
Master Plan Update	Phase 2					300,000								
General Aviation	Taxilane and Perimeter					200,000								
(Old Term. Parking)	Fencing													
GA Apron	Reconstruct North					1,000,000								
•	GA Apron													
Elec. Vault Relocation	•					400,000		**600,000						
General Aviation	Old Terminal Demo.					ŕ		· ·	1,900,000					
	& Apron Expan.													
Commercial Terminal	Concourse Expansion											2,000,000		
Acquire Two SRE	Acquire Two SRE											600,000		
Replace CFR 18	Replace CFR 18											900,000		
Commercial Apron	De-icing Facility											1,200,000		
Commercial Terminal	Covered Walkway											500,000		
	to Parking											1,500,000		
Security Improvements	Perimeter & Survail.											,,		
Sanitary Sewer	Airport Property Only												1,000,000	
Connection to City	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,												, ,	
I-90 Access Road	Airport Property Only												2,000,000	
Acquire De-icer Truck	Acquire De-icer Truck												100,000	
Snow Removal	Snow Removal												750,000	
Chemical Storage	Chemical Storage												,	
Runway 14-32	Pavement Rehab.												2,000,000	
Commercial Terminal	Gate Expansion												7,000,000	
Airport Public Roads	Pavement Rehab.												2,000,000	
Acquire Two SRE	Acquire Two SRE												600,000	
Runway 5-23 & Taxi B	Pavement Rehab.												2,000,000	
Extend Runway 32													5,000,000	
•						** Inc	luded in All	31 & 32						

Project Number	Project Description	Estimated Costs	Funding Sources
Rcpts. 08-1	CALENDAR YEAR 2008 Annual Operating Assistance for Fixed Route and Dial-A-Ride service	\$707,006.00 \$609,581.00 <u>\$28,425.00</u> \$1,345,012.00	State
Rcpts. 08-2	Capital assistance for purchase of four 25ft. ADA approved paratransit vehicles \$304,000 FTA assistance for ADA service	\$304,000.00 <u>\$76,000.00</u> \$380,000.00	
Rcpts. 08-3	Purchase Storage/Maintenance & bus wash facilit Rehab/ADA improvements at City bus terminal Note: Included in 2007 TIP project still underway	\$2,800,000.00 <u>\$700,000.00</u> \$3,500,000.00	
Rcpts. 08-4	Capital assistance for purchase of security camera for transit vehicles and passenger benches	\$32,000.00 <u>\$8,000.00</u> \$40,000.00	
	CALENDAR YEAR 2009		
Rcpts. 09-1	Annual Operating Assistance for Fixed Route and Dial-A-Ride service	\$728,216.00 \$627,868.00 <u>\$28,425.00</u> \$1,384,509.00	State
Rcpts. 09-2	Capital assistance for purchase of three 25ft. ADA approved paratransit vehicles (Replace 2001 vehicles) \$249,000 FTA assistance for ADA service	\$249,000.00 \$51,000.00 \$300,000.00	
	CALENDAR YEAR 2010		
Rcpts.10-1	Annual Operating Assistance for Fixed Route and Dial-A-Ride service	\$750,062.00 \$646,704.00 <u>\$28,425.00</u> \$1,425,191.00	State
Rcpts. 10-2	Capital assistance for purchase of two 25ft. ADA approved paratransit vehicles (Replace 2002 vehicles) \$166,000 FTA assistance for ADA service	\$166,000.00 <u>\$34,000.00</u> \$200,000.00	
Rcpts. 11-1	CALENDAR YEAR 2011 Annual Operating Assistance for Fixed Route and Dial-A-Ride service	\$772,564.00 \$666,105.00 <u>\$28,425.00</u> \$1,467,094.00	State
Rcpts. 11-2	Capital assistance for purchase of four 25ft. ADA approved paratransit vehicles (Replace 2003/2004 vehicles)	\$340,300.00 \$69,700.00 \$410,000.00	

Meade County Planned Roadway Improvement Projects FY 2008

		Distance		A	nticipated
Year	Roadway	(Miles)	Improvement Type		Cost
2008/2009	Alkali Road	5.2	Minor Patching and Crack Seal	\$	5,000
2008/2009	Whitewood Service Road	0.4	Crack and Chip Seal	\$	7,500
2008	Vanocker Canyon	11.2	Chip Seal	\$	180,000
2008/2009	Blucksburg	0.5	Chip Seal	\$	8,000
2008/2009	Pleasant Valley Road	8.0	Chip Seal	\$	12,000
2008	Stage Stop Road	0.4	Chip Seal	\$	7,000
2008	Anderson Road	8.0	Chip Seal	\$	12,000
2008/2009	Peaceful Pines Road	2.1	Chip Seal	\$	30,000
2008/2009	Deadwood Ave/Mill Road	2.1	Reconstruction & Re-align	No	t Avail.
2008/2009	Erickson Ranch Road	6.4	Chip Seal & Overlay	\$	300,000
2008	Elk Creek Road	7.0	Patch and Chip Seal	\$	68,000
2009	Avalanche Road	1.7	Major Reconstruction	\$	700,000
			Total	\$	1,329,500

TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PLAN FOR PENNINGTON COUNTY 2008-2012

Year	Project	Length	Bridge Number	Location	Type of Improvement	Estimated Cost	Unorg Road Reserves		HES Funds	Federal Bridge	Federal Priority	Forest Highway	STP Funds	Rd & Brdg	Total Funding
2008	P-PH 8052(17)	1.2		Reservoir Road from SD44 N to Twilight Dr	Grading, Base Course, Curb & Gutter, Storm Sewer, Asphalt Surfacing	\$4,000,000	\$3,000,000	Reserves	\$1,500,000	Funds	Funds	Funds			\$4,500,000
2008	BRO 8052(55)	0.1	692-290	8.1 E of New Underwood (Highway 14/16) over Creek	Structure Rehabilitation	\$80,000				\$64,000				\$16,000	\$80,000
2008	BRO 8052(53)	0.1	677-290	6.7 E of New Underwood (Highway 14/16) over Creek	Structure Rehabilitation	\$80,000				\$64,000				\$16,000	\$80,000
2008	BRO 8052(54)	0.1	666-290	5.6 E of New Underwood (Highway 14/16) over Creek	Structure Rehabilitation	\$80,000				\$64,000				\$16,000	\$80,000
2009	BRF 6404(2)	0.2	319-268	1.1 E & 3 N of Johnson Siding over Box Elder Creek	Structure Rehabilitation	\$45,000				\$36,000				\$9,000	\$45,000
2009	P-BRF 6403(6)	9.5		South Rochford Road from Rochford south to end of Deerfield Road asphalt	Grading, Drainage, Base Course, & Asphalt Surface	\$10,200,000		\$1,500,000			\$7,500,000				\$9,000,000
2010	BRO 8052()	0.1	312-433	0.8 W of Keystone over Battle Creek	Structure Rehabilitation	\$80,000				\$64,000				\$16,000	\$80,000
2010	BRO 8052(45)	0.2	645-305	1.0 S & 3.5 E of New Underwood over Box Elder Creek	Structure & Approach Grading	\$247,000				\$197,600				\$49,400	\$247,000
2010	P 6480(4)	2.5		Sheridan Lake Road from Alberta Drive to Victoria Lake Road	Grading, Base Course, Curb & Gutter, Storm Sewer, Asphalt Surfacing	\$4,000,000		\$1,600,000					\$2,421,088		\$4,021,088
2011	P 6403(5)	2.5		Deerfield Road from Hill City Northwest	Grading, Drainage, Base Course, & Asphalt Surface	\$3,000,000						\$3,000,000		\$150,000	\$3,150,000
2013	P6164()	5.4		Rochford Road from Rochford east to the Lawrence County Line	Grading, Drainage, Base Course, & Asphalt Surface	\$5,800,000									Unfunded
2014	P6181()	9.8		Mystic Road from Rochford Road south to the Tigerville Junction	Grading, Drainage, Base Course, & Asphalt Surface	\$10,300,000									Unfunded
					Totals:	\$37,912,000	\$3,000,000	\$3,100,000	\$1,500,000	\$489,600		\$3,000,000	\$2,421,088	\$272,400	\$21,283,088
	Removed Bike				500 000 t : 4 500 000		- H D '								
***************	÷~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	unds on Reservoir Rd. f way Funds to South Ro	rom 500,000 to 1,500,000 chford Road	and plan to us	se Unorg Rd f	or balance				·····	***************************************		
	3333 5,000,00		occingin												

Capital Plan for Street, Drainage and MIP Projects 2008 through 2012 Summary July 2007

Fund/Project Name	CIP#	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	Fund Total
8910 - Streets							
44th St. Reconstruction; W. Chicago to W. Main	50365-1435	350,000					350,000
Canyon Lake Dr. Watermain Reconstruction	50004-878					365,000	365,000
Centennial St Improvements, Elm to Michigan	50425					35,000	35,000
Centre St. Reconst., Lacrosse to Cambell	50141-990			600,000		-	600,000
Contingency/Inflation for 8910 - Streets	8910Inflat	113,500	118,500	124,500	130,800	137,300	624,600
Corral Dr. Reconstruction	50151					150,000	150,000
Dover Drainage Improvements	50025-1452	200,000					200,000
Downtown Alleys Reconstruction	50379	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	250,000
Downtown Area Improvement Project - Phase 2 Const.	50138-1432		868,000				868,000
Downtown Area Improvement Project - Phase 3 Const.	50119-1170		·		1,880,000		1,880,000
Downtown Area Improvement Project - Phase 4 Const.	50019					1,550,000	1,550,000
E. North Reconstruction, Rapid Creek to Cambell	50142-1511	77,000	1,227,000	1,227,000	1,150,000		3,681,000
East Blvd. Quincy to Rapid Creek Reconstruction	50565				25,000	500,000	525,000
Elm Ave. Reconstruction North - Phase 4	50643-1076			1,181,000			1,181,000
Elm Ave. Reconstruction South - Phase 3	50130-1075		1,680,900				1,680,900
Geotechnical Investigations Program	50592	20,000	20,000	20,000	20,000		80,000
Knollwood St Realignment at Haines	50380-1507					355,000	355,000
Lacrosse St./Monroe St. Traffic Signal	50679	148,000					148,000
Materials Investigation/Testing Program	50591	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000		40,000
Meade Street Reconstruction - Phase 2	50416-1333c	142,000					142,000
Mill & Overlay, Various Locations	50549-1640	400,000	400,000	400,000	400,000	400,000	2,000,000
Minnewasta St. Utilities	50383					79,000	79,000
Neighborhood Mill and Overlays	50636	300,000	450,000	300,000			1,050,000
Robbinsdale Reconstruction Project - Engineering	50134-1494	235,000	235,000	222,000	222,000	224,000	1,138,000
Robbinsdale Reconstruction Project - Phase Four	50389-1494					2,295,000	2,295,000
Robbinsdale Reconstruction Project - Phase Three	50421-1494			1,180,000			1,180,000
Robbinsdale Reconstruction Project - Phase Two	50424-1494		1,400,000				1,400,000
St. Andrew Reconstruction	50410-1604	119,000					119,000
Staton Place Street and Utilities Reconstruction	50367					58,000	58,000
W. Chicago Street/Drainage Reconst, Seeaire- Wedge	50364-1187				680,000		680,000
Woodlawn Drive Sanitary Sewer Replacement	50623				25,000		25,000
Project Total 8910 - Streets		2,164,500	6,459,400	5,314,500	4,592,800	6,198,300	24,729,500
Budget for 8910 - Streets		3,686,313	3,836,766	3,978,236	4,140,967	4,310,205	19,952,487
Budget Minus Project Total		1,521,813	(2,622,634)	(1,336,264)	(451,833)	(1,888,095)	(4,777,013)
8911 - Drainage							
44th St. Reconstruction; W. Chicago to W. Main	50365-1435	275,000					275,000
Box Culvert @ Cambell (Element 5)	50020				390,000		390,000
Box Culvert @ SD 44 East of Cambell (Element 3)	50021				285,000		285,000
Canyon Lake Dr. Watermain Reconstruction	50004-878					105,000	105,000
Centre St. Reconst., Lacrosse to Cambell	50141-990			300,000			300,000
Contingency/Inflation Funding for 8911 - Drainage	8911Inflat	62,500	65,000	68,000	72,000	75,000	342,500
Dover Drainage Improvements	50025-1452	250,000					250,000
Downtown Area Improvement Project - Phase 2 Const.	50138-1432		112,800				112,800
Downtown Area Improvement Project - Phase 3 Const.	50119-1170				238,000		238,000
Downtown Area Improvement Project - Phase 4 Const.	50019					270,000	270,000
E. North Reconstruction, Rapid Creek to Cambell	50142-1511	6,000	106,000	106,000	100,000		318,000
East Blvd. Quincy to Rapid Creek Reconstruction	50565				10,000	40,000	50,000

Capital Plan for Street, Drainage and MIP Projects 2008 through 2012 Summary July 2007

Fund/Project Name	CIP#	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	Fund Total
Elm Ave. Reconstruction North - Phase 4	50643-1076			234,900			234,900
Elm Ave. Reconstruction South - Phase 3	50130-1075		481,800				481,800
Jackson Blvd Reconstr. Mt. View to Rapid Creek	50351-1509a		35,000	400,000			435,000
LaCrosse/Philadelphia Drainage Improvements	50438-1157	210,000					210,000
Lime Creek Metering Dam (Elem 388) Construction	50009				515,000		515,000
Meade Street Reconstruction - Phase 2	50416-1333c	1,433,100					1,433,100
Metering Dam Expansion, SLR & Minnewasta	50384	250,000					250,000
Mt View Drainage Improvements	50349				385,000		385,000
Robbinsdale Pk Detention Pond Improvements	50403	300,000					300,000
Robbinsdale Reconstruction Project - Engineering	50134-1494	70,000	65,000	60,000	60,000	52,000	307,000
Robbinsdale Reconstruction Project - Phase Four	50389-1494					575,000	575,000
Robbinsdale Reconstruction Project - Phase Three	50421-1494			460,000			460,000
Robbinsdale Reconstruction Project - Phase Two	50424-1494		440,000				440,000
St. Andrew Reconstruction	50410-1604	57,000					57,000
St. Martin's Detention Pond 305	50311					65,000	65,000
Viewfield Detention Dam Outlet Structure	50412	123,000					123,000
W. Chicago Street/Drainage Reconst, Seeaire- Wedge	50364-1187				225,000		225,000
Project Total 8911 - Drainage		3,036,600	1,305,600	1,628,900	2,280,000	1,182,000	9,433,100
Budget for 8911 - Drainage		2,432,542	2,532,844	2,652,158	2,760,644	2,873,470	13,251,658
Budget Minus Project Total		(604,058)	1,227,244	1,023,258	480,644	1,691,470	3,818,558
8913 - Misc Improvements							
11th St. Railroad Crossing	50173-1504				9,000		9,000
Canyon Lake Road Sidewalk	50691	41,400					
City Library Sidewalk and Curb Repair	50689	20,000					
Maple Ave. Railroad Crossing	50170-5163	15,000					15,000
Miscellaneous Improvement Projects (MIP)	50298	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	250,000
Out-of-the-Dust, Various Locations	50297	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	250,000
Project Total 8913 - Misc. Improvements		176,400	100,000	100,000	109,000	100,000	585,400
Budget for 8913 - Misc. Improvements		150,000	150,000	150,000	150,000	150,000	750,000
Budget Minus Project Total		(26,400)	50,000	50,000	41,000	50,000	164,600
Budget Totals - Streets, Drainage, MIP	Carry Over	6,268,855	6,519,610	6,780,394	7,051,611	7,333,675	34,815,914
Project Totals - Streets, Drainage, MIP	From 2007	5,377,500	7,865,000	7,043,400	6,981,800	7,480,300	34,748,000
Budget Totals Minus Project Totals Total Cumulative Balance		891,355	(1,345,390)	(263,006)	69,811	(146,625)	67,914
	861,769	1,753,124	407,734	144,728	214,539	67,914	

PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION (PRIVATE NONPROFIT)

The Rapid City Area Metropolitan Planning Organization anticipates the following requests for vehicles from the local private nonprofit groups for Section 5310 (formerly Section 16) funding. Applications will be forwarded directly to the Office of Local Transportation Programs, South Dakota Department of Transportation, for consideration against the applications received Statewide. This list does not imply that any of the following vehicle requests will be funded within the Rapid City Area Metropolitan Planning Organization

Effective FY08, a locally developed community coordination transportation plan must accompany the grant application or vehicle request for Section 5310 vehicles. For more information, please contact the Office of Local Transportation Programs at 605/773-7038 or 605/773-4169.

VEHICLE TYPES	FY2008	FY 2009	FY2010
30 Passenger Bus w/ lift	1	0	2
9/2 Mini-busses w/lift (11 passenger)	4	3	4
8 Passenger Vans			
19 Passenger Mini-busses	1	1	2
6 Passenger Station Wagon			
15 Passenger Vans			
Wheel chair lift assembly	4	1	2
Total vehicles requested	6	4	8
Total funds requested	\$365,000	\$240,000	\$550,000
rotal fullus requested	ψ505,000	Ψ270,000	ψ550,000

Item	Project Number	PC#	County	Length	Route	Location of Project	Type of Improvement	Federal Funds	Fiscal Year	Total Cost(Mil \$)
						Interstate Maintenance Projects	;			
8.10	*IM 0901(108)40	3465	Meade	5.1	190E	South I90 Service Road from Exit 40 to Exit 44 & North I90 Service Road from Exit 44 to Exit 46	Purchase Protective ROW	8.696	2009	9.559
23.00	IM 0901(145)0	01F4	Areawide Jackson Lawrence Meade Pennington	0.0	190E	On I90 in the Rapid City Region	Bump & Heave Repair	0.657	2009	0.722
							2009	5.1	Miles	10.281
23.20	IM 0901(108)40	3465	Meade	5.1	190E	New structures over Elk Creek, Little Elk Creek, and Creek crossings on the South I90 Service Road between Exit 40 and Exit 44	Str.s	2.525	2010	2.776
33.00	IM 0903(79)68	00GG	Jackson Pennington	0.0	190E 190W US14E	Over Co Rd, 8.0 E of New Underwood Underwood Intch; Over Co Rd & Co Rd, 8.0 & 6.0 W of Wasta Intch; Over Whitewater Crk, 6.3 NW of SD240S Intch, Over Co Rd, 1.9 E of the Box Elder Interchange; Over RR; Wasta Interchange; US14E Over 190; US14 Interchange; Over Co Rd 4.4 SE of US14 Interchange	Epoxy Deck Seal	0.801	2010	0.969
							2010	5.1	Miles	3.745
19.00	IM 0901(108)40	3465	Meade	5.1	190E	South I90 Service Road fm Exit 40 to Exit 44 & reconstruct North I90 Service Road fm Exit 44 to Exit 46	Relocate Service Roads	7.628	2011	11.470
							2011	5.1	Miles	11.470
							Total for Category 02	15.3	Miles	25.496

Item	Project Number	PC#	County	Lengt	h Route	Location of Project	Type of Improvement	Federal Funds	Fiscal Year	Total Cost(Mil \$)
					Мајо	Arterial Construction/Reconstruction	n Projects			
30.00	P 0044(129)42	00X9	Pennington	1.3	SD44	SD44 (Jackson Blvd.) fm Rapid Creek to Mt View Rd in Rapid City	Grading, Storm Sewer, C&G, Sidewalk, Lighting, Signals & PCC Paving	7.055	2011	10.345
							2011	1.3	Miles	10.345
34.00	*NH 2016(17)64	6875	Pennington	3.5	US16B	From US16 to SD79 in Rapid City	Grading & PCC Paving	11.141	2012	16.170
							2012	3.5	Miles	16.170
							Total for Category 06	4.8	Miles	26.515
						Major Arterial Resurfacing Project	ts			
43.00	NH 0016()061	01B5	Pennington	5.7	US16 US16E US16W	US 16 - Fm Spring Creek Rd to Cathedral Drive in Rapid City	Mill & AC Overlay	2.521	2010	3.076
49.00	P 0044()046	01BP	Pennington	3.3	SD44	SD44 - Omaha St. from Poplar St. to Longview Dr. in Rapid City	Pavement Restoration	1.671	2010	2.038
							2010	9.0	Miles	5.114
							Total for Category 08	9.0	Miles	5.114
						Bridge Replacement Projects				
30.00	BRF 2016()69 BRF 0044()46	00KS 01CZ	Pennington	0.0	SD44 US16EB US16WB	SE Connector - Over SD44/Railroad & Over Rapid Creek, SD44 Over Rapid Creek 0.8 W of the US16B Jct	Epoxy Deck Seal	0.298	2012	0.374
							2012	0.0	Miles	0.374
							Total for Category 22	0.0	Miles	0.374

Item	Project Number	PC#	County	Length	Route	Location of Project	Type of Improvement	Federal Funds	Fiscal Year	Total Cost(Mil \$)		
	Railroad Crossing Improvement Projects											
2.00	PP 000S(152)	5159	Butte Custer Fall River Lawrence Meade Lawrence Pennington	0.0		Dakota, Minnesota & Eastern Railroad Crossings, Fm Nebr S of Oelrichs to Wyoming NW of Belle Fourche	Replace Railroad Crossing Crossbuck Signs	0.099	2008	0.110		
14.00	PS 8047()	01CK	Meade	0.0		Foothills Rd., Mill Rd & Peaceful Pines Rd. by Blackhawk, DOT 199-664R & 190-297E	Consolidate Crossings at new location, Peaceful Pines, with RR Signals & crossing surface	0.288	2008	0.320		
							2008	0.0	Miles	0.430		
21.00	PP 8052(41)	1432	Pennington	0.0		11th St W of West Blvd in Rapid City DM&E #190-273R	Railroad Crossing Flashing Light Signals	0.081	2011	0.090		
							2011	0.0	Miles	0.090		
							Total for Category 60	0.0	Miles	0.520		
						Urban Systems Projects						
1.00	P 1648(00)	H020	Pennington	0.3		Anamosa St fm LaCrosse to Milwaukee Street in Rapid City	Grading, Widening, C&G, & PCC Paving, Storm Sewer, Lighting & Sidewalks	0.000	2008	1.249		
2.00	P 1648(02)	0116	Pennington	0.3		Anamosa St fm LaCrosse East to the End of the Existing Road	Grading, Widening, C&G, PCCP Paving, Storm Sewer, Lighting & Sidewalks; Preliminary Engineering	1.281	2008	1.563		
10.00	P 1650(00)	005Q	Pennington	0.3		Eglin Street, Fm East North Street E to the DOT Complex Entrance in Rapid City	Grading, Traffic Signals, Lighting, Storm Sewer, Curb & Gutter, Lighting & PCC Paving	0.000	2008	0.950		

								Federal	Fiscal	Total
Item	Project Number	PC#	County	Length	Route	Location of Project	Type of Improvement	Funds	Year	Cost(Mil \$)
11.00	P 1774()	01FN	Pennington	0.0		Urban Systems Projects (con't) E. North Street fm Cambell Street to	Preliminary Engineering & Design	0.069	2008	0.084
	`,		Ü			Rapid Creek	2008	0.9	Miles	3.846
13.00	P 1648(00)	H021	Pennington	0.1		Anamosa St fm Haines Ave to Wood Ave/Willsie Ave in Rapid City	Grading, Widening, Storm Sewer, C&G & PCC Paving, Intersection Impr, Traffic Signals, Lighting & Sidewalks	0.000	2009	1.379
							2009	0.1	Miles	1.379
22.00	P 1648(00)	0118	Pennington	0.2		Anamosa Street Fm Midway Street to Wood Ave/Willsie Ave in Rapid City	Grading, Widening, C&G, PCC Paving, Storm Sewer, Traffic Signals, Lighting, Intersection Impr, & Sidewalks	0.000	2010	1.020
							2010	0.2	Miles	1.020
29.00	P 1648(00)	005V	Pennington	0.5		Anamosa Street fm Midway Street to Milwaukee Street in Rapid City	Grading, Widening, C&G, PCCP Surfacing, Storm Sewer, Intersection Improvements, Traffic Signals, Sidewalk & Lighting	0.000	2011	1.446
30.00	P 1608(00)	00JF	Pennington	1.1		Mall Drive Fm Lowes Driveway to Disk (Maple) in Rapid City	Grading (2 Lanes to 4 Lanes), Intersection Imp, Traffic Signals, C&G, Storm Sewer, Sidewalk, PCCP & Lighting	0.000	2011	1.530
							2011	1.6	Miles	2.976
36.00	P 1608()	01FP	Pennington	0.0		East Mall Drive fm Disk (Maple) to LaCrosse Street in Rapid City	Grading (2 Lanes to 4 Lanes), Intersection Imp, Traffic Signals, C&G, Storm Sewer, Sidewalk, PCCP & Lighting	1.885	2012	2.300
							2012	0.0	Miles	2.300
							Total for Category 70	2.8	Miles	11.521

Item	Project Number	PC#	County	Length	Route Location of Project	Type of Improvement	Federal Funds	Fiscal Year	Total Cost(Mil \$)
					Roadway Safety Impr	ovement			
2.00	P-PH 8052(17)	6292	Pennington	1.2	East 53rd St. (Reservoir Rd) fm SD44N to Twilight Dr	Grading, Base Course, C&G, Storm Sewer & AC Surface	1.495	2008	1.550
		Also Fund	ded In:						
		Item 7.00	Category	ndon: ond 0#	Puntam Dunia eta	Total Project Cost 1.150 2.700			
		7.00	County Seco	ndary and On	System Projects	1.150 2.700			
						2008	1.2	Miles	1.550
						Total for Category 75	1.2	Miles	1.550
					County Secondary and Off S	System Projects			
					County Secondary and On C	ystem i rojects			
7.00	P-PH 8052(17)	6292	Pennington	1.2	East 53rd St. (Reservoir Rd) fm SD44N to Twilight Dr	Grading, Base Course, C&G, Storm Sewer & AC Surface	0.920	2008	1.150
		Also Fund	ded In:						
		Item	Category			Total Project Cost			
		2.00	Roadway Sa	fety Improvem	ent	1.550 2.700			
						2008	1.2	Miles	1.150
45.00	P 6480(04)	5777	Pennington	13.7	Sheridan Lake Road, Fm Albert W. to Victoria Lake Rd.	a Dr. Grading, Base Course, C&G, SS & AC Surfacing	2.400	2010	3.000
						2010	13.7	Miles	3.000
66.00	P 6491(00) P 6446(00)	6358 6359	Meade	10.0	Elk Vale Road fm Pennington C Line 6 N & 4 W	o Grading & Gravel Surfacing	0.000	2012	1.600
						2012	10.0	Miles	1.600
						Total for Category 92	24.9	Miles	5.750
					Local Bridge Replaceme	ent Projects			
33.00	BRO 8052(56)	H100	Pennington	0.0	Str Over Rapid Creek on Creek of St Patrick St in Rapid City	Dr N Structure & Approach Grading	0.448	2009	0.560
						2009	0.0	Miles	0.560

Item	Project Number	PC#	County	Length	Route	Location of Project	Type of Improvement	Federal Funds	Fiscal Year	Total Cost(Mil \$)
						·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			(······ +)
					L	ocal Bridge Replacement Projects	(con't)			
90.00	BRO 8052(58)	H081	Pennington	0.2		Str on Spruce Street Over a Creek in Box Elder	Structure & Approach Grading	0.189	2011	0.236
							2011	0.2	Miles	0.236
							Total for Category 93	0.2	Miles	0.796
						Special Projects				
2.00	EM 1648(01)	00UR	Pennington	0.7		East Anamosa Street, From 1200 Feet East of N. LaCrosse Street to E. North Street in Rapid City	Preliminary Engineering, Construction of new 5 Lane Pavement and a Railroad Grade Separation	5.208	2008	7.067
4.00	EM 1608(03)	012F	Pennington	1.3		East Mall Drive fm North LaCrosse Street to Exit 60 (East North Street)	Preliminary Engineering, Construction of new 5 Lane Pavement, C&G, Sidewalk & Storm Sewer	4.820	2008	5.882
							2008	2.0	Miles	12.949
17.00	EM 8052(59)	010E	Pennington	0.0		Greenway Pedestrian & Bike Path Extension in Rapid City	Bike Path	1.819	2009	2.220
							2009	0.0	Miles	2.220
27.00	EM 0902(108)61	0123	Pennington	0.0	190E 190W	I90, Exit 61.	Approach Slabs & Adjacent Surfacing of Ramps Mainline for Exit 61	1.029	2010	1.469
							2010	0.0	Miles	1.469
							Total for Category 94	2.0	Miles	16.638
						Transportation Enhancement Proj	jects			
9.00	P OENH(171)	0114	Pennington	0.0		Fairgrounds Bike Path in Rapid City	Bike Path	0.136	2009	0.166
							2009	0.0	Miles	0.166
							Total for Category 96	0.0	Miles	0.166

Item	Project Number	PC#	County	Length	Route	Location of Project	Type of Improvement	Federal Funds	Fiscal Year	Total Cost(Mil \$)
						Pavement Preservation Projects				
32.00	IM 0901(140)9 P 0231(09)81 NH-P 0034(127)35 P 0044(136)26 P 0079(63)111 NH 0016(66)50 NH 0018(145)62 NH 0085(64)34	01JT 01KO 01JX 01JY 01JZ 01JU 01JV 01JW	Butte Fall River Lawrence Meade Pennington Shannon	0.0	190E SD231 SD34 SD44 SD79 US16E US18 US85	I90E&W Exit 10, 84, 107, 109 Ramps, Cross Roads & road to DOT Shop; W. Chicago to Meade County; SD 34, SD 34E&W from Sturgis to Belle Fourche River and East of Belle Fourche River to Union Center; SD 44 from US385 to Rapid City and from the Rapid City Airport to Creston; From Hwy. 34 north to Jct. Hwy. 212; US16E&W Keystone Y to Spring Creek; End of Divided at Jct US385 to Ogala; US85 from MRM 78 to 99 & US85 N&S Exit 17 South	Crack seal; crack seal; crack seal; crack seal; crack seal; crack seal Hwy 18; crack seal	0.413	2008	0.504
37.00	IM 0901(141)44 P 0231(10)81 P P 3274()NULL	01KF 01KG 01KH	Meade Pennington	0.0	190E SD231	Exit 44 - Parts of all ramps; Service Road - U Haul; Commercial Gate Entrance to EAFB	Asphalt resurfacing; asphalt resurfacing; asphalt resurfacing	0.096	2008	0.118
							2008	0.0	Miles	0.622
							Total for Category 81	0.0	Miles	0.622

