

Minutes  
GIS/LIS Task Force Committee Meeting  
September 27, 2006

Members Present: Malcom Chapman, Marcia Elkins, Rob Miller, Doug Pavel, Delores Coffing

Others Present: Don Jarvinen, Tom Young

Call to Order

Miller called the meeting to order at 11:08 a.m.

Rapid City Valuation, LLC

Miller introduced Tom Young from Rapid City Valuation, LLC. Young reviewed his request for GIS parcel data, including all parcel lines, polygons, Sidwell numbers, Parcel ID numbers, abstract codes and property addresses.

Miller clarified that if the requested data were to be provided, Young would be able to create his own "RapidMap" system.

In response to a question from Chapman, Miller indicated that the parcel data has not previously been sold. A brief discussion followed. Miller reviewed the data that has been previously provided to Young. Young identified an alternative tabular format method of providing all of the information with the exception of the polygons and parcels. Miller indicated that the tabular format information could be provided in a fairly quick manner.

In response to a question from Elkins, Young indicated that he is not currently a licensed appraiser but will be obtaining his license once he has the requested information available.

In response to a question from Elkins, Miller reviewed the subscription process for providing information and monthly updates to appraisers.

In response to a question from Elkins, Young indicated that he would not be requesting updates to the data in the future.

In response to a question from Chapman, Miller reviewed the registered, licensed and certified classifications for appraisers. Miller indicated that most appraisers intern with an existing appraiser. Discussion followed.

Jarvinen noted that the Rapid City-Pennington County GIS Division has never sold the parcel data. Jarvinen presented the accuracy disclaimer utilized by the City of Sioux Falls. Jarvinen indicated that initially Sioux Falls had priced their data at \$30,000 noting they are currently charging \$2,000 for the data. Jarvinen indicated that regional data prices range from no charge to \$50 up to a maximum of \$6,000.

In response to a question from Elkins, Jarvinen reviewed the data accuracy and possible misuse of data issues.

**Elkins moved to recommend approval of the change to the GIS Standard Products and Data Pricing List to establish a \$2,000 fee per data set with a requirement that the purchaser execute documents precluding the resale or redistribution of the data. Chapman seconded the motion.** Discussion followed.

Young requested clarification on the cost recovery policy versus the reproduction costs. Miller reviewed the policy regarding distribution and redistribution of the data including the statutory requirements for the distribution of data and information. Miller indicated that relative to the \$1,000 charge for the road data, the recommended \$2,000 charge for the larger data set is supported by the expanded level of information provided. Discussion followed.

Young expressed his objections to the \$2,000 fee. In response to a question from Pavel, Young indicated that he felt an acceptable fee for the data set information would range from \$15 to \$50.

Miller indicated that he would be willing to provide Young with the tabular data to assist him in getting his business started. Discussion continued. In response to a question from Coffing, Young indicated that he would review the tabular form data to determine its usefulness. Discussion followed on the costs associated with updates.

**Elkins and Chapman accepted a friendly amendment to the main motion to include a \$40 fee on the GIS Standard Products and Data Pricing List for updates to be provided no more often than on a monthly basis.** Discussion continued.

**The motion to recommend approval of the change to the GIS Standard Products and Data Pricing List to establish a \$2,000 fee per data set with a requirement that the purchaser execute documents precluding the resale or redistribution of the data and to establish a \$40 fee for updates to be provided no more often than on a monthly basis carried unanimously.**

#### Pictometry International

Miller presented information on the Pictometry International product. Pavel reviewed his conversations with the salesperson regarding the project and requested Committee support for the submission of a grant request to undertake the Pictometry project. Discussion followed regarding grant funding, on-going fees, and software costs. Miller reviewed the project costs.

**Chapman moved, Pavel seconded and carried unanimously to retain the Pictometry International information for future reference and to recommend that the Pictometry project and grant funding for the project not be pursued at this time.**

#### Other Business

Jarvinen suggested that the given the inconsistency in GIS Standard Products and Data Price List there may be an error in the pricing list. Jarvinen recommended that the GIS Standard Products and Data Price List be revised to reflect the standard price list for products. **Coffing moved, Pavel seconded and carried unanimously to recommend that the GIS Standard Products and Data Price List be revised to reflect the standard price list for products.**

Pavel expressed his appreciation for all of the work that Jarvinen and Malik have been doing for the Dispatch Center.

#### Adjourn

**There being no further business Pavel moved, Chapman seconded and carried unanimously to adjourn.**