January 24, 2005

Name(s): Address:
Phone Number: 355- 0255
I (We) own Property within the Canyon Lake Overlay District Area Yes No
I (We) have the following comments regarding the proposed Canyon Lake Area Overlag
Zoning District Draft Ordinance:
I (We) believe that the proposed changes will have the following impact on our property:
Positive
Please include any additional comments you may have concerning this project
The cold blad Comments of Conde in oversord in comments of the condense of the
Thank you for your efforts in preserving
- OUT REAGNOOF DOCE.

Please submit comments by February 4, 2005 to the following:

January 24, 2005

Name(s): Janice Evans Address: 3608 Washington 5t.
Phone Number: 721 - 7910
I (We) own Property within the Canyon Lake Overlay District Area YesX No
I (We) have the following comments regarding the proposed Canyon Lake Area Overlay
Zoning District Draft Ordinance: I do not want to see a lot
If multi-family dwellings going up in our area. The streets are marrow and with more Cars in
the area that will pose a problem. The inglus of people
that come of go from apto. is huge and single duelling home owners tend to stay put for years & ene.
I (We) believe that the proposed changes will have the following impact on our property:
letirement. We don't need to have more problem
with Rids fighting, care sacing wards being wanded and I don't need it - don't recent it.
Please include any additional comments you may have concerning this project.

Please submit comments by February 4, 2005 to the following:

January 24, 2005

Phone Number:	Name(s): Deb Barber 3603 Ward St
I (We) have the following comments regarding the proposed Canyon Lake Area Overlay Zoning District Draft Ordinance:	Phone Number: 1000
Zoning District Draft Ordinance: I am against any more which are a well-by the parking on the sheet is a rord of the parking on the sheet is a rord of the parking of the mailman as well as the city religion of the proposed changes will have the following impact on our property:	
whis area. We have low money already. The garding on the sheet is a real as well as the course of th	I (We) have the following comments regarding the proposed Canyon Lake Area Overlay
warman as well as the course of the Course o	mittind ognifornit julingt islum Jenom vol mand, et en der der
Discos include any additional comments you may have concerning this project	a rest of the contract of the Court
Please include any additional comments you may have concerning this project.	Please include any additional comments you may have concerning this project.

Please submit comments by February 4, 2005 to the following:

January 24, 2005

Name(s): Richard Abelseth Address: Richard Abelseth
Phone Number: 605 - 731 - 0176
I (We) own Property within the Canyon Lake Overlay District Area Yes No
I (We) have the following comments regarding the proposed Canyon Lake Area Overlay
Zoning District Draft Ordinance: I sound like a good idea to me.
I (We) believe that the proposed changes will have the following impact on our property:
Please include any additional comments you may have concerning this project
Please include any additional comments you may have demonring the project.

Please submit comments by February 4, 2005 to the following:

Name(s): Address:
Phone Number: 342 310
I (We) own Property within the Canyon Lake Overlay District Area Yes No
I (We) have the following comments regarding the proposed Canyon Lake Area Overlay
Zoning District Draft Ordinance:
I (We) believe that the proposed changes will have the following impact on our property: Poduce The alterdy Cluttered Steets
Please include any additional comments you may have concerning this project. There should have been a proche where - if only a brief explaination -
Please submit comments by February 4, 2005 to the following:
Karen Bulman, Planner 1 Rapid City Growth Management Dept. 300 Sixth Street Rapid City, SD 57701 (605) 394-4120

	Name(s): Robert & SONIA Shawwon Phone Number: 605-341-9260
	Phone Number: 605-341-9260
	I (We) own Property within the Canyon Lake Overlay District Area Yes XX No
	I (We) have the following comments regarding the proposed Canyon Lake Area Overlay
	Zoning District Draft Ordinance: 57 d # 8 - the Team "lighting" should include
"A	ghted signage (IE it is not covered in the arryinal codes
st d	. #9-2 spaces is not sufficient, exp since occupants may have motorcycle
No.	torkonur, boats & other Vehicles needing space - Zoring should sperify
who	it vehicles could be gaste & there "Buffer" & visitor parking thrulk
Le	added - Streets are too narrow for larger units - zoning should
rei	added — Streets are too narrow for larger units - 30ming should tiet size & Hoginits (OR) specific that streets be wisened-cetowners I (We) believe that the proposed changes will have the following impact on our property: Expense
	p v v Andrews
	Please include any additional comments you may have concerning this project. Averall
Ä	reets are such as to have accomodately unity with
The state of the s	ley are too narrow to accomo late more than of anily units
Pin	of the and the transfer has this is NOT their
/	Please submit comments by February 4, 2005 to the following: enfarced at this
	Please submit comments by February 4, 2005 to the following: enfarced at this Karen Bulman, Planner 1 Rapid City Growth Management Dept. This overlay is still minimum 300 Sixth Street Rapid City, SD 57701 (605) 394-4120 The humber of minimum it.
	r

Name(s): Address: Dean & Eonn SteinBerg
Phone Number: 605-342-8492
I (We) own Property within the Canyon Lake Overlay District Area Yes X No
I (We) have the following comments regarding the proposed Canyon Lake Area Overlay
Zoning District Draft Ordinance: PLAY YARD AREA Add ON MULTI-FAMILY
DONAL A HAS TREE IN OPEN AREANOT PLAY AREA
Sommary OFF SET OF FENCE FROM PROPERTY LINE? IS This 15'OR WHAT MOR
SUMMENT 9 IF ONLY 2 SPACES WHERE DO GUESTS PARK 2.5 WOULD BE WHAT IS NEED.
SUMMIT 2 ALSO ADTACT NEIGHBORS
20. Ta had had had had
I (We) believe that the proposed changes will have the following impact on our property: BUSCA STREET IS 17' & V4 MILE LONG. YES - New UNIT ON ELMHURST - ACROSS ST FROM IT. The HOUSE Deen ON MARKET FOR MONTHS - TRAFFIC ON STREET WILL BE NOT BE GOOD FOR FAMLYS WITH SMALL CHILDREN. Please include any additional comments you may have concerning this project. MAIN 15:! PARKING, PLAY AREA, TRAFFIC, JTI CITIES
Please submit comments by February 4, 2005 to the following:
Raren Bulman, Planner 1 Rapid City Growth Management Dept. 300 Sixth Street Rapid City, SD 57701 (605) 394-4120 REED PHONE # of NEED PHONE #

January 24, 2005

Name(s): Address: POUGLAS ONES 3621 JEFFERSON
Phone Number: 355-0255
I (We) own Property within the Canyon Lake Overlay District Area Yes No
I (We) have the following comments regarding the proposed Canyon Lake Area Overlay
Zoning District Draft Ordinance: Z BEHEVE THIS DOES NOT GO FAR ENOUGH TO PROTECT
HOMEOWNERS WHO CAN'T AFFORD TO LIVE ON TOP OF A DILL AND BY ALLOWING MORE
APARTMENTS TO BE BUILT IN THIS WORKING CLASS NEIGHBORHOUD IT WILL FORCE MORE
PEOPLE TO LIVE IN TRANER PARKS; PROBABLY OUTSIDE CITY UMITS, LISING SOME TAY BASE
I (We) believe that the proposed changes will have the following impact on our property:
IT WALLE SILL DEVALUE MY QUALTY OF LIFE AS WELL AS PROPERTY VALUE
DUE TO THE TRANSPENT WATURE OF APARTMENT PUBLIERS.
Please include any additional comments you may have concerning this project
PABICIANO IS NOT ADEQUATE DUE TO ACCESSION MEANS SUCH AS BOATS, MOTARCYCLES, ETC
PHYSIBLY TWO PARKING SPACES FOR EACH BEDROOM WOULD BE A CLOSER SOLUTION.
WHAT ABOUT VISTOMS? HOW ABOUT ENFORCEMENT OF WELLUT PARKING ON SIPEWALKS.

Please submit comments by February 4, 2005 to the following:

January 24, 2005

Name(s): Monte Loos Address: 2032 Monte Visia Dr.
Phone Number: 342-8047
(We) own Property within the Canyon Lake Overlay District Area YesX No
I (We) have the following comments regarding the proposed Canyon Lake Area Overlay
Zoning District Draft Ordinance: This appears to be a good idea, if it is passed, can it be made Retro-active?
I (We) believe that the proposed changes will have the following impact on our property:
These is streeting to be problems, with slum lords buying and property, NOT TAKING care of it. How weed to have an ordinance that protect current parvass.
an ordinance that protect corrent earners.
The present ordinance is introloguate, The cont that
you allowed at Conver of Caupen lake De & Monte Vision is a mass, Not enough parking, No landscaping, TRASH is not picked up. There is going to be a terrible accident so plays

Please submit comments by February 4, 2005 to the following:

January 24, 2005

24 Jan 2005

Name(s): Sylvan G & Mary Lou Faul son Address: Sylvan G & Mary Lou Faul son 2007 Frest St, Regisality, 5057702
2007 Frest St, Kapid City, 305 1102
Phone Number: 342-4128
I (We) own Property within the Canyon Lake Overlay District Area Yes No
I (We) have the following comments regarding the proposed Canyon Lake Area Overlay
Zoning District Draft Ordinance: (3.) what size is additional the buffer of shrubs or trees (1) what are the regumed handscaping points. * (ie 10 foot or single row)
of shrubs or Mes (4) what are the reguned tandscaping points.
2. Define high of two story bulking above surrounding
property also state it where height of building is
determined in sofit or highest point, Would like you to
Alternaid ie soft or highest point. Would like you to consider grandfathering perimeters of existing single family dewellings in case replacement is required.
I (We) believe that the proposed changes will have the following impact on our property:
We) believe that the proposed changes will have the following impact on our property: None today but in case of fine or fisaster requiring us to rebuild we probably would have to down size
because of setback as we have carryon lake drive on side
ind forest st on front.
Please include any additional comments you may have concerning this project. (1) Consider
Please include any additional comments you may have concerning this project. (1) Consider for on street parking if street want 30 wide, to enable fire a rescue vehicles full was of roadway.
(2) We know of one lot in this area with citystreets
(2) We know of one lot in this area, with city streets on three sides. If current setbacks were applied this lot could not be updated or improved. Please consider authorizing
Please submit comments by February 4, 2005 to the following: be grandfathered as
the state of the s
Rapid City Growth Management Dept. Rapid City Growth Management Dept. This home with year and into disrepair and
300 Sixth Street Banid City SD 57701 will be a non salable
(605) 394-4120 Note: atherwise we lot along with others
le the will help our and detract from our
area stop the large remaind build new on existing
completes on the narrow streets. lots the market is dead

January 24, 2005

Name(s): Connie Thomas, 211538th. St. Rapia City 5057702.
Phone Number: 605 721-8886
I (We) own Property within the Canyon Lake Overlay District Area Yes No
I (We) have the following comments regarding the proposed Canyon Lake Area Overlay
Zoning District Draft Ordinance:
the lity has stepped up to help resolve Canyon
Lake area building issue. Question-does the side settach include clecks x pulios? Can they be built
in the 15' setbuci If so, I suggest that an amendment
to the proposed ordinace limit pales & deads in the 15 setback.
I (We) believe that the proposed changes will have the following impact on our property:
Progress & growth is good for a commenty, but not at the
sensitive area that when clovelapers build large building it has a tramendous impact ornous community, we treasure our properties, that to a Please include any additional comments you may have concerning this project. Lesse over
With large rent al senite Come increased troffer which developme
you for the word you have clone & will support the
Please submit comments by February 4, 2005 to the following:
Karen Bulman, Planner 1

Karen Bulman, Planner 1 Rapid City Growth Management Dept. 300 Sixth Street Rapid City, SD 57701 (605) 394-4120

RECEIVAL

JAN 2 6 2005

Rapid City Crow?.
Management Dept.

Name(s): Address: Led & Kathmyn Grebner
Phone Number: (605) 343-2381
(We) own Property within the Canyon Lake Overlay District Area Yes No
(We) have the following comments regarding the proposed Canyon Lake Area Overlay
Zoning District Draft Ordinance: The Carryon Lake area is a
Small area with a large number of multigamly
desellings how. Our streets are marrow and of believe we need the proposed additional standards
to protect our noighborhood.
I We believe that the proposed changes will have the following impact on our property: In a additional multis family disellings about have backs, screening fences etc. Any thing less would devalue Please include any additional comments you may have concerning this project. We should be notified of any multi family hulding being planned in our neighborhaod hulding being planned in our neighborhaod of any the plans and have the opportunity to question the plans. Please submit comments by February 4, 2005 to the following:
Karen Bulman, Planner 1 Rapid City Growth Management Dept VEI 300 Sixth Street Rapid City, SD 57701 (605) 394-4120 IAN 2 2005 Rapid City Growth Rapid City Growth Management Department A August

Name(s): Address: Bobby and Genae Sundby
Phone Number: 391-3509 Genze 381-2067 Bobby
I (We) own Property within the Canyon Lake Overlay District Area Yesx No
I (We) have the following comments regarding the proposed Canyon Lake Area Overlay
Zoning District Draft Ordinance: As we discussed on the phone, we are very concerned
as we have been working on our project for several years, based upon current ordinances, and other information from the City. We have spent considerable time and money aquiring properties (one with foundation problems and one with water problems) that we purchased based upon our reliance of our information from the City. WE STRONGLY FEEL THAT THIS NEW OVERLAY TAKE EFFECT AFTER OUR PROPERTY IS SUBMITTED. The "character" of this neighborhood is also apartments such as Leland Lane, Fremont, etc. We feel the main reasons for this overlay are because of the density of the Monte Vista buildings, which did not have to be approved as that was a PRD. Also, the narrowness of Elmhurst St creates density problems but these are the main two items. We would ask that we be treated fairly with respect to the years of investment we have on I (We) believe that the proposed changes will have the following impact on our property: the line.
Please include any additional comments you may have concerning this project.
Please submit comments by February 4, 2005 to the following: Karen Bulman, Planner 1 Rapid City Growth Management Dept. 300 Sixth Street Rapid City, SD 57701 (605) 394-4120 Particular Kanana JAN 27 2005 City Growth

Name(s): BEN & KELLA LEONARD
Address: 2029 MONTE UISTA DR. KREERIVEI)
Phone Number: 343 - 6349 JAN 23 2005
I (We) own Property within the Canyon Lake Overlay District Area Yes No Management Departs of Management Depa
I (We) have the following comments regarding the proposed Canyon Lake Area Overlay
Zoning District Draft Ordinance: In general, we welcome this. I was intrigued to find that this a unique
proposal in our City, and so am hesitant to fully enloyse it until I learn more about why our district is being "singled out."
why our district is being "singled out."
the believe that the proposed changes will have the following impact on our property: #10 This is critical due to narrow streets that
exist in the district. We are experiencing
traffic problems (engress, egress, parking). #9) Z spaces per unit should be Regnired. Wo street Please include any additional comments you may have concerning this project. Please include any additional comments you may have concerning this project.
Please include any additional comments you may have concerning this project. Parking is
Also weld like to see requirements addressing
Also we'd like to see requirements addressing garbage capacity, and on site storage capacity,
As we have seen immediate impact from the
inadequacies of both in our area. Please submit comments by February 4, 2005 to the following:
Karen Bulman, Planner 1 Rapid City Growth Management Dept. 300 Sixth Street Rapid City, SD 57701 (605) 394-4120 We appreciate your efforts in our behalf, and the
(605) 394-4120 Den at providing ease of providing

Additional comments regarding creation of an overlay district in the Canyon Lake Area,

We have seen from recent construction in our immediate area that developers may not be required to bring a project to completion before allowing tenants to inhabit the structure.

We think there should be some guidelines concerning how much of a project can be left undone before allowing occupancy. Specifically we notice exterior work that is not completed such as decks, porches, fences, drainage, curb and gutters, and landscaping. We can speculate from the exterior condition of the property that similar portions of the interior might remain unfinished.

There are rental properties, recently constructed in the neighborhood, that have shown adequate, indeed excellent attention to completion before occupancy. Property maintenance schedules can only be successful after a project is completed. And that is the real concern that we have...How can landlords be required to maintain a property if they have never got the property to an acceptable level of completion before occupancy begins? Once the property begins generating income for the landlord, unless regulations require completion there becomes less reason for the landlord to ever finish the job in an acceptable manner that allows for proper maintenance.

We would be interested to know if these concerns can be considered when drafting the district zoning overlay area ordinance.

Thank you, Ben and Kella Leonard

January 24, 2005

Name(s): Address: IRA of Shirley Noble
Phone Number: 342-9530
I (We) own Property within the Canyon Lake Overlay District Area Yes X No
I (We) have the following comments regarding the proposed Canyon Lake Area Overlay
Zoning District Draft Ordinance:
RECEIVED
FEB - (2005
Rapid City Growth Management Department
I (We) believe that the proposed changes will have the following impact on our property:
Please include any additional comments you may have concerning this project
We believe that any building of 3 or more arpartments in one unit
should be on a "use on review" , as the majority of the streets in
the overlay area are to narrow th handle the kind of traffic that this would create.

Please submit comments by February 4, 2005 to the following:

Name(s): 1925 Elmhurst Dr.
Address: 1925 6 lm furst Nr . Phone Number: $721-1925$
I (We) own Property within the Canyon Lake Overlay District Area Yes No
I (We) have the following comments regarding the proposed Canyon Lake Area Overlay
Zoning District Draft Ordinance: Thank your for your efforts - it will be helpful with
any new developments RECEIV
FEB - 1 2005
Rapid City Growth Management Department
I (We) believe that the proposed changes will have the following impact on our property: Du is a little late to help our property but it will be an improvament for
Please include any additional comments you may have concerning this project.
feel they they should put up an 8 foot concrete barrier for privacy- also water drainage can be a concern in some areas
Please submit comments by February 4, 2005 to the following:

Bulman Karen

From: Horton Patsy

Sent: Tuesday, January 25, 2005 3:47 PM

To: Bulman Karen

Subject: FW: Canyon Lake overlay zoning ordinance.

----Original Message-----

From: Henriksen, Inc. [mailto:henrikseninc@rushmore.com]

Sent: Tuesday, January 25, 2005 3:18 PM **To:** transportationplanning@rcgov.org

Subject: Canyon Lake overlay zoning ordinance.

Reviewing the proposed ordinance it seems that the City is going to eliminate the development of this older neighborhood by making the ordinance so restrictive by adding the No. 9 requirement, which requires a planned residential development be attached to any multi-family project in the Canyon Lake Overlay Zoning District. By this requirement (No. 9) is almost impossible to develop a cost for the project until the PRD is completed and approved. The Builder/Owner/Developer can not finalize a cost until the PRD is approved and without a final cost established the lender will not guarantee the approval of the project. As you know everything is up for discussion for the approval of the PRD including the design of the proposed project. This would be subject to the neighborhoods, review committee, zoning board, and councils input as to the scale of the buildings, the exterior look of the buildings, as well as amenities planned for the facility. These items have a huge impact on the cost for a project and can often be the deciding factor on whether or not the project is built. This requirement is a sure way to stop development or improvement to the neighborhood and starts the beginning of the general decline of property values in the area. I do not believe that this is a good or necessary ordinance.

Lyle Henriksen 2211 Cedar Drive

Bulman Karen

From: Wright Jerry

Sent: Friday, February 04, 2005 11:23 AM

To: Bulman Karen

Cc: Elkins Marcia; Druckrey Cathy

Subject: Canyon Lake area study

I was not able to make the January 24, 2005 meeting at the Senior Center. I am opposed to any "strip zoning" along Jackson Blvd. that will diminish the "neighborhood unit" of the old Canyon Lake area. I have been a resident there since 1974 and see this area as one of the few remaining old neighborhoods with a school and a sense of community. I do not want to see that changed. If anything were to be done to improve the area, I would go for a neighborhood limited access plan, and begin to anchor the area as residential and also improve the environment and quality of life. I can share in detail my thoughts, but, at this time, I want to share with you my opposition to any zoning change as a means of changing the character of the "Avenue Area". Respectfully submitted, Jerry Wrigh

Bulman Karen

From: Druckrey Cathy

Sent: Friday, February 04, 2005 7:36 AM

To: Bulman Karen

Subject: Canyon Lake area -

Karen,

I want to forward my concerns about the proposed ordinance regarding the Canyon Lake area overlay. I would like to be sure that the ordinance include language to "not" allow any new commercial development to take place in the residential areas. For example, this would include "not allowing" any commercial development or rezoning at the end of all Avenues and Jackson Blvd.

The avenues are narrow and would not allow any additional traffic if they were rezoned to anything more than residential. The neighborhood is currently residential and includes nearby schools and in many cases no sidewalks for children to walk to and from school.

If you have any questions regarding my concern, please call me 343-0865.

Cathy Druckrey Property owner 2007 Second Ave Rapid City, SD 57702