September 5, 2002

No. 02PL051 - Preliminary and Final Plat

ITEM 9

GENERAL INFORMATION:

PETITIONER CSU Properties, LLC

REQUEST No. 02PL051 - Preliminary and Final Plat

EXISTING

LEGAL DESCRIPTION Lot RU-9A, Lots 1 thru 4 of Block 6, Lot 16 and the west

9.77 feet of Lot 15 in Block 7, and adjacent vacated 4th Street R.O.W. and 1/2 vacated adjacent alley in Original Town of Rapid City, Section 36, T2N, R7E, BHM, Rapid

City, Pennington County, South Dakota

PROPOSED

LEGAL DESCRIPTION Lot 1 of Professional Plaza Subdivision, Section 36, T2N,

R7E, BHM, Rapid City, Pennington County, South

Dakota

PARCEL ACREAGE Approximately 0.84 acres

LOCATION The southeast corner of the intersection of 5th Street and

North Street

EXISTING ZONING Office Commercial District w/PDD

SURROUNDING ZONING

North: Medium Density Residential District
South: Medium Density Residential District
East: Medium Density Residential District

West: Civic Center District

PUBLIC UTILITIES City water and sewer

DATE OF APPLICATION 05/10/2002

REPORT BY Lisa Seaman

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends that the Preliminary and Final Plat be continued to the October 10, 2002 Planning Commission meeting to allow the applicant to provide additional required information.

GENERAL COMMENTS: (Updates to the staff report are shown in bold.) This item was continued from the August 22, 2002 Planning Commission meeting. Staff has contacted the applicant and discussed the fact that progress has not been made toward completing this plat request. Staff is recommending that the Preliminary and Final Plat be continued to the October 10, 2002 Planning Commission with the understanding that staff will recommend that the Preliminary and Final Plat be denied without prejudice at the October 10, 2002 Planning Commission meeting if the

No. 02PL051 - Preliminary and Final Plat

ITEM 9

applicant has not submitted the required information. This Preliminary and Final Plat request has been submitted to create a 1.1 acre lot. The property is located southeast of the intersection of Fifth Street and North Street and is currently void of structural development. The applicant is proposing to construct a 12,276 square foot office building and parking lot on the property.

A Layout Plat was approved by the City Council for the property on June 4, 2001 with eight stipulations. On July 16, 2001 the City Council approved a Planned Development Designation for the subject property with the stipulation that no sign permits be allowed unless approved as part of a Final Development Plan. The applicant has submitted an Initial and Final Development Plan in conjunction with the Preliminary and Final Plat (see File # 02PD025).

<u>STAFF REVIEW</u>: Staff has reviewed the Preliminary and Final Plat and noted the following considerations:

<u>Site Plan</u>: The site plan submitted with this request does not reflect the proposed boundaries of Lot 1 of Professional Plaza Subdivision. To ensure that the proposed development of the property conforms with all the requirements of the Rapid City Municipal Code staff is requesting that the applicant submit a revised site plan reflecting the proposed property boundaries. The revised site plan was submitted on July 12, 2002 and is reflective of the proposed property boundaries.

<u>Haines Ave/Fifth Street Name:</u> The plat submitted with this request identified the right of way located on the west side of proposed Lot 1 as Haines Avenue. Staff has reviewed existing plats and believes that the right of way should be labeled Fifth Street.

<u>Sanitary Sewer</u>: The engineering plans submitted with this Preliminary and Final Plat request included the design of a sanitary sewer main along the south side of the subject property within a vacated alley. The sanitary sewer main must be centered within a 20 foot wide utility easement. Because the site plan does not reflect the proposed boundaries of Lot 1 of Professional Plaza Subdivision, it is difficult to determine the extent of the utility easement that can be granted as a part of the proposed plat and the remaining amount of easement that must be provided by a miscellaneous document. Staff is requesting that the applicant revise the site plan to include the proposed property boundaries plat, revise the plat to include the portion of the utility easement that lies within Lot 1 and submit documentation of a utility easement providing the balance of the 20 foot utility easement for review and approval. The miscellaneous document will need to be recorded by the Register of Deeds prior to City Council approval of the Final Plat. The revised engineering plans were submitted on July 12, 2002 and staff has reviewed the revised plans. **As of August 28, 2002, a signed utility easement has not been submitted for review and approval.**

Non-access easements: The Engineering Division has noted that non-access easements must be included along the Haines Avenue/Fifth Street frontage of the property and along the North Street frontage from the northwest property corner east for a distance of 176 feet. Staff is requesting that the applicant submit a revised plat providing the required non-access easements for review and approval. The revised plat submitted on July 12, 2002 shows the

No. 02PL051 - Preliminary and Final Plat

ITEM 9

required non-access easements along the Haines Avenue/Fifth Street frontage of the property and along the North Street frontage from the northwest property corner east for a distance of 176 feet.

Retaining Walls: The site plan shows proposed retaining walls to be constructed in close proximity to the Fifth Street right of way. The retaining wall plans submitted with this request are not of sufficient detail to determine if any portion of the wall, including tiebacks, will extend into the right of way. In addition, the Engineering Division has requested that the applicant submit detailed retaining wall plans that include schedules for reinforcement, tiebacks, backfill specifications and geotechnical engineer recommendations. The revised site plan submitted on July 12, 2002 included all the requested information. The site plan shows that landscaping material will be planted within the backfill area of the retaining wall. The retaining wall should be designed to accommodate the landscape material and irrigation. The Engineering Division has also noted that the Uniform Building Code, Chapter 33 requires that grade changes of more than 30 inches adjacent to a pedestrian walkway must be protected by a physical barrier such as a fence. Staff is requesting that the applicant submit a revised site plan including the barrier where necessary. The revised plans indicate that a physical barrier will be provided where grade changes trigger Section 33 of the Uniform Building Code. The specific details of the barrier were not provided and staff is recommending that prior to issuance of a building permit the applicant provide details showing a barrier that complies with all requirements of the Uniform Building Code.

Grading and Drainage Plans: The Engineering Division has noted that the grading plan submitted with this request is not complete and that no drainage plan was submitted. The grading plan does not include the proposed grading for the north or west side of the proposed building. Staff is requesting that the applicant submit a revised grading plan and complete drainage design plans. The revised site plan was submitted on July 12, 2002. As of August 28, 2002 a complete grading and drainage plan has not been submitted for review and approval.

<u>Utility Plans</u>: The Engineering Division has noted that further information must be included on the utility plans prior to Planning Commission approval of the Preliminary Plat. The required information includes identifying the location of water system components and the proposed grading to be completed in conjunction with the installation of the sanitary sewer main. The revised engineering plans include the information requested regarding the water system components. The revised plans included grading plans for the sanitary sewer main located on the south side of the subject property; however, City Utility Maintenance Staff have indicated that the proposed access is not sufficient for maintenance equipment and must be revised.