STAFF REPORT

July 5, 2001

No. 01CA015 - Amendment to the South Robbinsdale ITEM 7 Neighborhood Area Comprehensive Plan

GENERAL INFORMATION:

PETITIONER Doug Sperlich for Orthopedic Building Partnership REQUEST No. 01CA015 - Amendment to the South Robbinsdale Neighborhood Area Comprehensive Plan to change the future land use designation from Planned Residential Development with 1 dwelling unit per acre to General Commercial with a Planned Commercial Development, Planned Residential Development with 4 dwelling units per acre and Office Commercial with a Planned Commercial Development LEGAL DESCRIPTION Lot 1 of Old Rodeo Subdivision located in N1/2 of the SW1/4 of Section 26, T1N, R7E, BHM, Rapid City, Pennington County, South Dakota; Tract 1 located in SW1/4 NW1/4, NW1/4 SW1/4, SE1/4 NW1/4 and NE1/4 SW1/4 of Section 26, T1N, R7E, BHM, Rapid City, Pennington County, South Dakota; and the unplatted balance of S1/2 of SE1/4 of NW1/4 and unplatted balance of NE1/4 of SW1/4 of Section 26, T1N, R7E, Rapid City, Pennington County, South Dakota PARCEL ACREAGE Approximately 83.63 Acres LOCATION At the southeast corner of the intersection of U.S. Highway 16 and Catron Boulevard General Commercial District (PCD) - No Use District -EXISTING ZONING Low Density Residential District (PRD)

SURROUNDING ZONING North:

South: East: West: General Commercial District (PCD) - No Use District -Low Density Residential District (PRD) (County) (County) (County)

PUBLIC UTILITIES City Water and Sewer to be extended

REPORT BY Blaise Emerson

<u>RECOMMENDATION</u>: Staff recommends that the Amendment to the South Robbinsdale Neighborhood Area Comprehensive Plan to change the future land use designations be

STAFF REPORT

No. 01CA015 - Amendment to the South Robbinsdale ITEM 7 Neighborhood Area Comprehensive Plan

continued to the July 26, 2001 Planning Commission meeting.

<u>GENERAL COMMENTS</u>: The three landowners that are affected by the Comprehensive Plan amendment have not agreed to the revisions at this time. Staff recommends that the request be continued to the July 26, 2001 Planning Commission meeting to allow the land owners to continue their discussions.

The property being reviewed for the Comprehensive Plan Amendment consists of the Rushmore Waterslide Park (RichNobRon, Inc.), the Black Hills Orthopedic property (Orthopedic Building Partnership), and a 43 acre unplatted parcel (Butler, Foye and Shultz). The proposed amendment has two aspects to the request. The first is the relocation of the north/south collector road as identified on the South Robbinsdale Neighborhood Area Comprehensive Plan and the Rapid City Major Street Plan. The proposal is to move the north/south street approximently 375 feet to the east. By moving the collector street to the east, it would no longer provide direct access to the Rushmore Waterslide Park property or the Black Hills Orthopedic property. The plan does identify connections to these properties via a local road network. The second component of the proposal is to change the land use designation for three different areas. The land use designation changes all occur on the 43 acre unplatted parcel. The first change is for a 5 ± acre parcel located in the northeast east portion of the property. The change is from Planned Residential Development with a maximum density of 1 dwelling unit per acres to a Planned Residential Development with a maximum density of 4 dwelling unit per acres. The second change is for a 2.63 acre parecle located directly to the east of the Rushmore Waterslide Park property. The proposed change is from Planned Residential Development with a maximum density of 1 dwelling unit per acres to General Commercial with a Planned Commercial Development. The third change to the plan is for the western third of the property or a 10.3 acre parcel. The proposed change is from Planned Residential Development with a maximum density of 1 dwelling unit per acre to Office Commercial with a Planned Commercial Development.

The Future Land Use Committee has reviewed the proposed changes and has supported the proposal if all land owners agree to the proposed changes. To this date, Staff has not had confirmation from all the landowners regarding these changes. Until Staff has recieved this information, Staff is recommending to continue the request.