STAFF REPORT

May 25, 2000

VROW #00VR006 - Vacation of Twelve (12) feet of Jolly Lane Right of Way

ITEM 19

GENERAL INFORMATION:

PETITIONER City of Rapid City

REQUEST VROW #00VR006 - Vacation of Twelve (12) feet of Jolly

Lane Right of Way

LEGAL DESCRIPTION Lots 1 and 2 of Mullin Subdivision, Section 9, T1N, R8E, BHM,

Rapid City, Pennington County, South Dakota

PARCEL ACREAGE .0872

LOCATION South of Martin Lane on the west side of Jolly Lane

EXISTING ZONING Low Density Residential

SURROUNDING ZONING

North: Low Density Residential South: Low Density Residential East: General Commercial West: Low Density Residential

PUBLIC UTILITIES City Water and Sewer

REPORT BY Blaise Emerson

<u>RECOMMENDATION</u>: Staff recommends that the Vacation of twelve (12) feet of Jolly Lane Right of Way be approved.

GENERAL COMMENTS: In 1996, the City approved a plat for the subject property creating two lots. In the review of the plat, Staff had identified that Jolly Lane was an arterial street. One of the stipulations of approval required that an additional seventeen feet (17') of right-of-way be dedicated with the plat. The applicant complied with the dedication of the additional seventeen feet (17') of right-of-way. However, it subsequently came to Staff's attention that the current status of Jolly Lane on the adopted Major Street Plan is collector street. The City could only require dedication of right-of-way up to a collector street which is would have been an additional five feet (5') of right-of-way. The City is sponsoring this vacation of right-of-way for twelve feet (12') of the seventeen feet (17') right-of-way on the behalf of the land owner to correct the previous error.

STAFF REVIEW: Staff has reviewed the request and has noted the following considerations:

STAFF REPORT

May 25, 2000

VROW #00VR006 - Vacation of Twelve (12) feet of Jolly Lane Right of Way

ITEM 19

All the affected utilities companies have been notified of the vacation request. All the utilities have returned comments stating they have no utilities in this portion of the right-of-way. The Engineering Staff has stated concerns that the right-of-way being vacated at this time may be needed in the future for utilities and for the expansion of Jolly Lane. However, the City had no legal right-to require the dedication of the right-of-way in excess of the right-of-way identified through the Major Street Plan and the Street Design Criteria Manual. Should the City of Rapid City need the additional right-of-way, the City such would need to negotiate with the landowners to purchase the additional right-of-way. Staff supports the vacation of right-of-way request.

STAFF REPORT

April 20, 2000

«Actionidletters» #«Submittal_TableFilenumber» - «Action»

ITEM